

Exercises

$$1. \quad S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} =: P$$

↓

$$\mathbb{C}P^n$$

S^1 -balle mit
 S^1 -aktion:

$$((z_0, \dots, z_n), w) \xrightarrow{\quad \eta \quad} (z_0 w, \dots, z_n w)$$

$S^1 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$S_k : \begin{cases} S^1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \\ z \mapsto \text{mult}_z k \end{cases}$$

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$

→ associated bundle

$$P \times_{S_k} \mathbb{C}$$

↓

$$\mathbb{C}P^n$$

complex
line
bundle

(Btw.: $E = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}^g} V = \mathbb{P}^* V / G$
 free $\wedge p \in P$
 $V \rightarrow E_{\pi(p)}$ acting by $R_g \times S(g)$
 $v \mapsto [p, v]$
 is an
 isom.
 (eq. class
 of (p, v) wrt.
 G -action on
 $\mathbb{P}^* V$)

Oftoh

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \{(l, \underline{z}) \mid l \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n, \\
 &\quad \underline{z} \in l\} \\
 &\subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim:

$$\delta^{2n+1} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \cong H^{\otimes k}$$

if $k \geq 0$

$$\cong (H^*)^{\otimes |k|}$$

if $k < 0$

where $H^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(H, \mathbb{C})$

Let's try to define start with s_1

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f_1} & H \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f}_1 & \downarrow \\ P \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \end{array}$$

Suggestions for f_1 ?

$$((z_0, \dots, z_n), w) \xrightarrow{f_1}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1} ((z_0, \dots, z_k), w \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_n))$$

for \mathfrak{S}_1 we have:

$$((z_0, \dots, z_n), w) \sim ((z_0, \dots, z_n), \bar{w} \cdot w)$$

$$w \in S^1$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_1(\bar{w}) = \bar{w}^*$$

$\Rightarrow f_1$ descends to a
bundle lemma.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \times_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} H$$

H is an even. or fibers

\Rightarrow it is a bundle even.
because of:

General fact:

$$\mathrm{GL}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n)$$

$$(\mathrm{Aut}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(V))$$

$$B \mapsto B^+$$

is a smooth map

(polynomial for $\mathrm{U}(n), \mathrm{O}(n), \dots$)

applied here.

$$\underline{k > 0} \quad P \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f_k} H^{\otimes k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((z_0, \dots, z_n), w) &\mapsto \\ \stackrel{:=?}{=} ([z_0 : \dots : z_n], w \cdot \underline{z \otimes \dots \otimes z}) \end{aligned}$$

Under the action of G on
 $P \times \mathbb{C}$ via $P_g \times S_k$ we have

$$(\underline{z}, w) \sim (\underline{z} \cdot u, u^k w)$$

$u \in S'$ because

$$\underline{z} \cdot u \otimes \dots \otimes \underline{z} \cdot u = u^k \cdot \underline{z}^k$$

As before:

$$f_k \text{ descends to} \\ P \times_{S^k} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_k} H^{\otimes k}$$

which is a fibrewise
conm \Rightarrow bundle conm.

$k < 0$:

$$\underline{k = -1} \quad H^* = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{C})$$

Candidate?

$$P \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} H^* \\ (\varepsilon, w) \mapsto w \cdot \langle \varepsilon, - \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

inner product on
 \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , linear
in second
argument
(sequentially, i.e.
anti-linear in
first argument)

$$(\underline{\Sigma}, w)$$

$$\underline{\Sigma}^* = \langle \underline{\Sigma}, - \rangle$$

$\int u + \underset{\text{with}}{\underset{\underline{\Sigma}_-}{\delta^*}}$

$$(\underline{\Sigma} \cdot u, u \cdot w) \xrightarrow{f_-} u \cdot w \underbrace{\langle \underline{\Sigma} \cdot u, - \rangle}_{= u \cdot w \cdot \bar{u} \langle \underline{\Sigma}, - \rangle} = w \cdot \langle \underline{\Sigma}, - \rangle$$

so f_- descends to

$$P_{\times_{\underline{\Sigma}_-} \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{f_-} H^* \text{ can}$$

similarly

$$P_{\times_{\underline{\Sigma}_k} \mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{f_k} (H^*)^{\otimes k}$$

$$[\underline{\Sigma}, w] \mapsto w \cdot \underbrace{\underline{\Sigma}^* \otimes \dots \otimes \underline{\Sigma}^*}_{(k\text{-times})}$$

$$[x, y]^\# = [x^\#, y^\#]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{TP}_g & \xleftarrow{\#} & y \\ dR_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ad}_{g^{-1}} \\ \text{TP}_{g^{-1}} & \xleftarrow{\#} & y \end{array}$$

$$(dR_g)(x^\#) = (\text{ad}_{g^{-1}}(x))^\#$$

$$x_{Rg}^\# = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (Rg e^{tx})$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (Rg \underbrace{e^{tx} g^{-1} g}_{=\text{Ad}_g e^{tx}})$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} R_g \circ R_{\text{Ad}_g e^{tx}}(P)$$

$$= dR_g$$

$$\text{ad}_{g^{-1}}(X) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(e^{tX})$$

$$\Rightarrow (\text{ad}_{g^{-1}}(X))_{PQ}^{\#}$$

$$= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} P g e^{s\text{ad}_{g^{-1}}(X)}$$

Prop.
of
exp-
map

$$= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} P g \underbrace{g^{-1} e^{sx} g}_1$$

$$= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} P e^{sx} g$$

$$= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} R_g(P e^{sx})$$

$$= dR_g(X_P^{\#})$$



$$[X, Y]^{\#} = [X^{\#}, Y^{\#}]$$

Recall: $* [X, Y] = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} e^{sX}(Y)$

* Lie-derivative of η on N

If ξ, η are v.f. on a manifold

ϕ_{ξ}^t flow of ξ , meaning:

solves: $\frac{d}{dt} \phi_{\xi}^t(p) = \xi(\phi_{\xi}^t(p))$

$$[\xi, \eta](p) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} d\phi_{\xi}^{-t}(\eta(\phi_{\xi}^t(p)))$$

either def.
or show
to be equiv.

↑
this is a
path
in $T_p N$

Let: $G \rightarrow P$
 \downarrow
 Ω

* $\phi_{X^{\#}}^t = R_{e^{tX}}$ by
 def. of
 $X^{\#}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} R_{e^{tx}}(p) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \underbrace{R_{e^{(t+\epsilon)x}}(p)}_{e^{\epsilon X}}$$

$$= X_{pe^{tx}}^{\#} = X_{R_{e^{tx}}(p)}^{\#}$$

because

$$R_{e^{(t+\epsilon)x}} = R_{e^{tx}} \circ R_{e^{\epsilon x}}.$$

$$[X^{\#}, Y^{\#}](p)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{dt} R_{e^{-tx}}(Y^{\#} e^{\alpha}(p))$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} dR_{e^{-tx}} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (pe^{tx})^{\#} e^{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} R_{e^{-tx}}(Y^{\#} e^{-tx}(p))$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} R_{ad_{e^{tx}}(Y)}(p)$$

$$= [X, Y]^{\#}(p).$$