1. (a) Observe first that, for every non-zero rational number x, we have  $\nu_p(x) > 0$ . This immediately implies property (i) of a metric space. Property (ii) is obvious. The inequality

$$\nu_p(x+y) \le \max\{\nu_p(x), \nu_p(y)\} \le \nu_p(x) + \nu_p(y),$$

holds trivially if x=0 or y=0. Assume that  $x,y\neq 0$  and  $x=p^r\frac{a_0}{b_0}$ ,  $y=p^s\frac{a_1}{b_1}$  with  $r\geq s$  (otherwise, interchange x and y) and  $a_0,b_0,a_1,b_1$  are not divisible by p. Then  $x+y=p^s\frac{p^{r-s}a_0b_1+a_1b_0}{b_0b_1}$ , and  $b_0b_1$  is not divisible by p. So we must have  $x+y=p^{s'}\frac{c_0}{d_0}$  with  $s'\geq s$  and  $c_0,d_0$  not divisible by p, which implies

$$\nu_p(x+y) = p^{-s'} \le p^{-s} \le \max\{p^{-r}, p^{-s}\} \le p^{-r} + p^{-s} = \nu_p(x) + \nu_p(y).$$

Finally,

$$d_p(x,y) + d_p(y,z) = \nu_p(x-y) + \nu_p(y-z) \ge \max\{d_p(x,y), d_p(y,z)\}$$
  
 
$$\ge \nu_p((x-y) + (y-z)) = \nu_p(x-z) = d_p(x,z).$$

- (b) We have  $d_p(x_n, 0) = \nu(p^n) = p^{-n} \to 0$ .
- (c) Assume that  $n \geq m$ . Then

$$d_p(x_n, x_m) = \nu_p(\sum_{j=m}^{n-1} (a^{p^{j+1}} - a^{p^j})) \le \max\{\nu_p(a^{p^{j+1}} - a^{p^j}) \mid m \le j \le n-1\}.$$

Since  $a^{p^{j+1}}-a^{p^j}=a^{p^j}(a^{(p-1)p^j}-1)$  and  $\varphi(p^{j+1})=(p-1)p^j$ , we conclude from Euler's Theorem that  $p^{j+1}|(a^{p^{j+1}}-a^{p^j})$ . This implies that

$$d_p(x_n, x_m) \le p^{-(m+1)} \to 0$$

as  $m \to \infty$ . This shows that  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence.

(d) We know from Euler's Theorem that

$$a^{p^n} \equiv a^{p^{n-1}} \equiv a^{p^{n-2}} \equiv \dots \equiv a \mod p.$$

 $x_n \to \pm 1$  would mean that  $x_n \pm 1 \to 0$  and, in particular  $p|(a^{p^n} \pm 1)$  for n large enough. Together with  $p|(a^{p^n} - a)$ , this would imply that  $p|(a \pm 1)$ , contradicting to  $2 \le a \le p - 2$ .

Using Euler's Theorem  $a^{(p-1)p^n} \equiv 1 \mod p^{n+1}$  yields

$$p^{n+1}|(x_n^{p-1}-1),$$

i.e., 
$$d_p(x_n^{p-1}, 1) \le p^{-(n+1)} \to 0$$
.

- 2. Homework! Will be given in a later solution sheet.
- 3. (a) Fix an  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for  $n, m \geq n_0$ :

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$
.

In particular, we have for all  $n \geq n_0$ :

$$d(x_{n_0}, x_n) < \epsilon$$
.

Choose  $x = x_{n_0}$  and  $R = \max\{\epsilon, d(x, x_1), \dots, d(x, x_{n_0-1})\}$ . Then we have, obviously,

$$d(x, x_n) \le R$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) Since  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, they are bounded by (a), i.e.,  $|x_n|, |y_n| < C$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_n - x_m|, |y_n - y_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2C}$$
 for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ .

This implies for  $n, m \ge n_0$  that

$$|x_n y_n - x_m y_m| = |x_n (y_n - y_m) + y_m (x_n - x_m)|$$
  

$$\leq |x_n| \cdot |y_n - y_m| + |y_m| \cdot |x_n - x_m| < C \frac{\epsilon}{2C} + C \frac{\epsilon}{2C} = \epsilon,$$

i.e.,  $(x_n y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.