

ELEMENTARY NUMBER THEORY AND CRYPTOGRAPHY II
SOLUTIONS FOR PROBLEM SHEET 3
EPIPHANY TERM 2015

- (1) We consider the cases,
 If $n = 2m$ is even then $2^n = (2^m)^2 + 0^2$.
 If $n = 2m + 1$ is odd then $2^n = (2^m)^2 + (2^m)^2$.
- (2) Suppose $n = a(a+1)/2 + b(b+1)/2$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $4n+1 = 2a(a+1) + 2b(b+1) + 1 = (a^2 - 2ab + b^2) + (a^2 + b^2 + 2ab + 2a + 2b + 1) = (a-b)^2 + (a+b+1)^2$.
- (3) Suppose $n = a^2 + b^2$ is the sum of two square with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that a^2 or b^2 can be congruent only to 0 or 1 modulo 4. Therefore, n can be congruent only to 0, 1 or 2 and can't be congruent to 3 modulo 4. But one of four consecutive integers will be always congruent to 3 modulo 4 and therefore can't be written as a sum of two squares.
- (4) Suppose $p = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2$, where p, p_1, p_2, p_3 are primes. If neither of p_1, p_2, p_3 equals three then $p_1^2 \equiv p_2^2 \equiv p_3^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and p is divisible by 3, but $p \geq 2^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 = 12$.
- (5) Note that $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (otherwise $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\gcd(a, b) \neq 1$). Then $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that $(a/b)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. So -1 is a quadratic residue modulo p and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, all prime divisors of $a^2 + b^2$ are congruent to 1 modulo 4. This implies that any divisor of $a^2 + b^2$ satisfies the same condition and is therefore a sum of two squares.
- (6) If $p = 8k + 1$ then both -1 and 2 are quadratic residues modulo p . If $p = 8k + 3$ then both -1 and 2 are not quadratic residues modulo p . Therefore, in both above cases -2 is a quadratic residue modulo p . Now mimic the proof of Theorem that $p = a^2 + b^2$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (or equivalently, if -1 is a quadratic residue modulo p).

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that α^2 is congruent to -2 modulo p . Then $x^2 + 2y^2 \equiv (x - \alpha y)(x + \alpha y) \pmod{p}$.

Consider the set $S = \{(x_0, y_0) \mid 0 \leq x_0, y_0 < \sqrt{p}\}$.

Then S contains more than $\sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{p} = p$ elements and there are two different elements $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in S$ such that

$$x_1 - \alpha y_1 \equiv x_2 - \alpha y_2 \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore, for $x_0 = x_1 - x_2$ and $y_0 = y_1 - y_2$, we have $-\sqrt{p} < x_0, y_0 < \sqrt{p}$, $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$, and $x_0^2 + 2y_0^2 \equiv (x_0 - \alpha y_0)(x_0 + \alpha y_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

But this means that $0 < x_0^2 + 2y_0^2 < 3p$ and, therefore, $x_0^2 + 2y_0^2$ equals to either p or $2p$. In the first case our problem is solved. In the second case x_0 is divisible by 2 and substituting $x_0 = 2x_1$ we obtain $y_0^2 + 2x_1^2 = p$.

- (7) We note that

$$2^{2n+1} = (2^{2n-k} + 2^{k-1})^2 - (2^{2n-k} - 2^{k-1})^2$$

Hence for any given n , and for $k = 1, \dots, n$ we get n different ways to write the integer 2^{2n+1} as the difference of two squares.

- (8) Since n cannot be written as the sum of two squares then by the theorem in the lectures there exist a prime p , with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ such that $p^k | n$ and $p^{k+1} \nmid n$ for some odd k . If n could be written as the sum of two squares of two rational numbers then we would have

$$n = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^2$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to

$$n(bd)^2 = (ad)^2 + (bc)^2$$

However when we consider the prime factorization of the above numbers, in the left hand side of the above equation the prime p appears in an odd power. However in the right hand side, since the number is the sum of two squares, has to appear in an even power. Contradiction

- (9) Let r be an odd primitive root modulo p . (why does it always exist?) Then for some integer $k > 1$ we have

$$r^k \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$$

or $r^{2k} \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$. Moreover we have $r^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. So together

$$r^{2k+\frac{p-1}{2}} + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we can rewrite

$$r^{2(k+\frac{p-1}{4})} + 2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

or

$$\left(r^{k+\frac{p-1}{4}}\right)^2 + 2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

So p divides the sum of two squares $\left(r^{k+\frac{p-1}{4}}\right)^2 + 2^2$ which are relative prime since $\gcd(r, 2) = 1$, and clearly both exceed 3.

- (10) We rewrite the equation as

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + x + y + z = 1.$$

We multiply by 4 and get

$$(2x)^2 + (2y)^2 + (2z)^2 + 4x + 4y + 4z = 4$$

and by adding 3 to both sides

$$(2x)^2 + 4x + 1 + (2y)^2 + 4y + 1 + (2z)^2 + 4z + 1 = 7$$

or

$$(2x+1)^2 + (2y+1)^2 + (2z+1)^2 = 7.$$

In particular if there exist x, y, z which solve the original equation then we could write 7 as the sum of three cubes, which we know it is not possible.

- (11) If $p = a^3 + b^3$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ then $p = (a+b)(a^2 - ab + b^2)$ implies that $a+b = p$ and $a^2 - ab + b^2 = 1$. But the second condition gives $(a-b)^2 + ab = 1$, $ab \leq 1$ and therefore $a = b = 1$.
- (12) (a) $187 = \mathbf{3} \cdot 57 + 16$; $57 = \mathbf{3} \cdot 16 + 9$; $16 = \mathbf{1} \cdot 9 + 7$;
 $9 = \mathbf{1} \cdot 7 + 2$; $7 = \mathbf{3} \cdot 2 + 1$; $2 = \mathbf{2} \cdot 0$. Therefore, $187/57 = [3; 3, 1, 1, 3, 2]$.
 (b) $71 = \mathbf{1} \cdot 55 + 16$; $55 = \mathbf{3} \cdot 16 + 7$; $16 = \mathbf{2} \cdot 7 + 2$;
 $7 = \mathbf{3} \cdot 2 + 1$; $2 = \mathbf{2} \cdot 0$. Therefore, $71/55 = [1; 3, 2, 3, 2]$.

- (c) $118/303 = \mathbf{0} \ 303 + 118/303$; $303/118 = \mathbf{2} \ 118 + 67/118$; $118 = \mathbf{1} \ 67 + 51$;
 $67 = \mathbf{1} \ 51 + 16$; $51 = \mathbf{3} \ 16 + 3$; $16 = \mathbf{5} \ 3 + 1$; $3 = \mathbf{3} + 0$. Therefore, $118/303 =$
 $[0; 2, 1, 1, 3, 5, 3]$.

- (13) Use the relations $p_0 = a_0$, $q_0 = 1$, $p_1 = a_0 a_1 + 1$, $q_1 = a_1$ and for $k \geq 2$,
 $p_k = a_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}$ and $q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}$:

$$a_k : \quad -2 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 6 \quad 8$$

$$\text{a) } p_k : \quad -2 \quad -3 \quad -14 \quad -87 \quad -710$$

$$q_k : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 9 \quad 56 \quad 457$$

and the number equals the last convergent $p_5/q_5 = -710/457$.

$$a_k : \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 4$$

$$\text{b) } p_k : \quad 4 \quad 9 \quad 13 \quad 48 \quad 61 \quad 170 \quad 741$$

$$q_k : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 11 \quad 14 \quad 39 \quad 170$$

and the number equals the last convergent $p_6/q_6 = 741/170$.

$$a_k : \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 1$$

$$\text{c) } p_k : \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 7 \quad 30 \quad 97 \quad 224 \quad 321$$

$$q_k : \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 10 \quad 43 \quad 139 \quad 321 \quad 460$$

and the number equals the last convergent $p_7/q_7 = 321/460$.

- (14) Use that $q_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 2$, $q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2} \geq 2q_{k-2}$ to obtain
 $q_{2n} \geq 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For odd indices use that $q_{2n-1} \geq q_{2n-2}$.

- (15) (a) Let $\overline{[2; 3]}$. Then the relation $x = 2 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{x}}$ implies that $x = 1 + \sqrt{15}/3$.

- (b) Let $x = \overline{[1; 2, 3]}$. Then $x = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{x}}}$ implies that

$$a_k : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad x$$

$$p_k : \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 10 \quad 10x + 3$$

$$q_k : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 7 \quad 7x + 2$$

Therefore, $x = (10x+3)/(7x+2)$ gives $7x^2 - 8x - 3 = 0$, $x = (4 + \sqrt{37})/7$
 and $x^{-1} = [0; 1, 2, 3] = (\sqrt{37} - 4)/3$.