THE EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS

FRANZ LEMMERMEYER

Abstract. This article, which is an update of a version published 1995 in Expo. Math., intends to survey what is known about Euclidean number fields; we will do this from a number theoretical (and number geometrical) point of view. We have also tried to put some emphasis on the open problems in this field.

1. Definitions and General Properties

An integral domain R is called *Euclidean* with respect to a given function $f : R \to \mathbb{N}$ if f has the following properties:

(E1) $f(\alpha) = 0 \iff \alpha = 0$

(E2) for all $\alpha, \beta \in R \setminus \{0\}$ there is a $\gamma \in R$ such that $f(\alpha - \beta\gamma) < f(\beta)$.

We call such an f a *Euclidean function* on R. There are equivalent definitions of Euclidean rings and functions, most of which are studied in [114]. For example, a function $f : R \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying (E1) and (E2) is called Euclidean if it also satisfies

(E3) For every $\kappa > 0$ the set $\{f(\alpha) : \alpha \in R,\ f(\alpha) < \kappa\}$ is finite.

It is easily seen that an integral domain which is Euclidean with respect to a real-valued function is also Euclidean with respect to a suitably chosen integer-valued function. Variants of Euclidean functions have been studied by Picavet [157], Lenstra [114], and Hiblot [91].

For any integral domain R we can define the Euclidean minimum $M(R, f)$ of R with respect to a given integer-valued function f satisfying (E1) by

$$M(R, f) = \inf \{\kappa > 0 : \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in R \setminus \{0\} \text{ there exists } \gamma \in R \text{ such that } f(\alpha - \beta\gamma) < \kappa \cdot f(\beta)\}.$$

Obviously R is (resp. is not) Euclidean with respect to f if $M(R, f) < 1$ (resp. $M(R, f) > 1$). If $M(R, f) = 1$, both possibilities actually occur. If $\beta \neq 0$ is a non-unit in R, then we have $M(R, f) \geq f(\beta)^{-1}$.

Let S be an integral domain contained in R; then

$$M(R/S, f) = \inf \{\kappa > 0 : \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in S \setminus \{0\} \text{ there exists } \gamma \in R \text{ such that } f(\alpha - \beta\gamma) < \kappa \cdot f(\beta)\}$$

is called the relative Euclidean minimum of S in R. It would be interesting to find non-trivial inequalities relating $M(R, f)$, $M(S, f)$ and $M(R/S, f)$, especially if $S = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $R = \mathcal{O}_L$ are the rings of integers in an extension L/K of number fields and f is the absolute value of the norm (cf. Sect. 2).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11 R 04; Secondary 11 H 50.

Key words and phrases. Euclidean algorithm, geometry of numbers.

If R is Euclidean, the function f_{min} defined by

$$f_{min}(\alpha) = \min\{f(\alpha) : f \text{ is a Euclidean function on } R\}$$

is called the minimal Euclidean function on R. It is easily seen that f_{min} is in fact a Euclidean function on R. For any integral domain R, define the Motzkin sets E_k, $k \ge 0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{0\}, \\ E_1 &= \{0\} \cup R^*, \text{ the unit group of } R \text{ and, generally,} \\ E_k &= \{0\} \cup \{\alpha \in R : \text{ each residue class } \bmod \alpha \text{ contains a } \beta \in E_{k-1}\}, \\ E_\infty &= \bigcup_{k \ge 0} E_k \end{aligned}$$

The Motzkin sets of $R = \mathbb{Z}$ are easily computed:

$$E_0 = \{0\}, E_1 = \{0, \pm 1\}, E_2 = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}, \ldots, E_k = \{0, \pm 1 \ldots, \pm(2^k - 1)\}.$$

The following observation is due to Motzkin [140]:

Proposition 1.1. *R is Euclidean if and only if $E_\infty = R$. If $E_\infty = R$, then the function f_M defined by $f_M(\alpha) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha \in E_k\}$ coincides with the minimal Euclidean function on R.*

Our next result provides us with examples of Euclidean functions f such that $M(R, f) = 1$:

Proposition 1.2. *Let R be an integral domain; then*

1. *$R = E_1$ if and only if R is a field;*
2. *if R is not a field, then $R \ne E_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$; if, moreover, R is Euclidean, then $M(R, f_{min}) = 1$.*

In 1976, Cooke [46] introduced the following more general concept: let R be an integral domain. A sequence of equations (with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i, \rho_i \in R$)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \beta\gamma_1 + \rho_1, \\ \beta &= \rho_1\gamma_2 + \rho_2, \\ &\vdots \\ \rho_{k-2} &= \rho_{k-1}\gamma_k + \rho_k \end{aligned}$$

is called a *k-stage division chain* starting from the pair (α, β); we say that R is *quasi-Euclidean*, if we can find a function $f : R \to \mathbb{N}$ with the properties

(Q1) $f(\alpha) = 0 \iff \alpha = 0$,

(Q2) for every pair $\alpha, \beta \in R \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a k-stage division chain for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(\rho_k) < f(\beta)$.

If we can replace $(Q2)$ by the stronger condition

(Q'2) there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every pair $\alpha, \beta \in R \setminus \{0\}$ there exists an n-stage division chain for some $n \le k$ with $f(\rho_k) < f(\beta)$,

then R is called *k-stage Euclidean* with respect to f. We also can introduce k-Euclidean minima in an obvious way. Several equivalent definitions of quasi-Euclidean rings have been studied by Cooke [46], Bougaut [14, 15, 16], Décoste [59, 60] and Leutbecher [125]. See also some papers on Nagata's pairwise algorithm by Chen and Leu,[34] and Nagata [142, 143, 144, 145, 146].

Lenstra [121], inspired by papers of Fontené [72] and Cahen [19], introduced *Euclidean ideal classes*; they generalize Euclidean rings because the trivial ideal class $[R]$ is Euclidean if and only if R is Euclidean. Euclidean ideal classes have been investigated by van der Linden [134, 135]. Non-trivial Euclidean ideal classes seem to occur very rarely: if K is a real quadratic field which contains a non-trivial Euclidean ideal class, then disc $K = 40, 60, 85$. The known examples in degree ≥ 3 are:

- the cubic field with $\operatorname{disc} K = -283$ and $h(K) = 2$ (van der Linden),
- the cubic field with $\operatorname{disc} K = -331$ and $h(K) = 2$ (Lemmermeyer),
- the quartic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{13}\,)$ with $h(K) = 2$ (Lenstra).

Schulze [169] defined Euclidean systems; they generalize Euclidean ideal classes, and the simplest Euclidean systems correspond to the Dedekind-Hasse-test (cf. [86]):

Proposition 1.3. *R is a principal ideal ring if and only if there is a function $f : R \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (E1) with the following property: for every $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $\beta \nmid \alpha$ there exist $\lambda, \mu \in R$ such that $0 < f(\lambda\alpha - \mu\beta) < f(\beta)$.*

A different notion of a Euclidean system was introduced by Treatman in his thesis [177].

2. The Norm as a Euclidean Function

Let K be an algebraic number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. If the absolute value of the norm is a Euclidean function, $\mathcal{O}_K$ (or, by abuse of language, K) is called norm-Euclidean. The Euclidean minimum of K with respect to the norm is called norm-Euclidean minimum and will be denoted by $M(K)$. More generally, for a set S of primes in $\mathcal{O}_K$, let $\mathcal{O}_S$ denote its ring of S-integers. We can define the S-norm (or simply norm) S_N by $N_S\,\mathfrak{a} = (\mathcal{O}_S : \mathfrak{a})$ for any non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{O}_S$ as usual and put $N_S\alpha := N_S(\alpha\mathcal{O}_S)$. The first example of a norm-Euclidean ring $\mathcal{O}_S$ was apparently given by Wedderburn[1] [186].

The following theorem of Weinberger [187] (whose proof builds on previous work by Hooley) suggested strongly the existence of number fields that are Euclidean with respect to functions different from the norm (GRH denotes a certain set of generalized Riemann hypotheses):

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that GRH holds; then every number field K with unit rank ≥ 1 has class number 1 if and only if K is Euclidean with respect to a suitably chosen function f.*

On the other hand, the work of O'Meara [152] and Vaserstein [183] (cf. Cooke [46, 47]) shows unconditionally

Proposition 2.2. *Every number field K with unit rank ≥ 1 has class number 1 if and only if it is k-stage norm-Euclidean for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

For every $\xi \in K$, define $M(\xi) = \inf\,\{|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi - \eta)| : \eta \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$. $M(\xi)$ is called the *Euclidean minimum* at ξ, and we have $M(K) = \sup\,\{M(\xi) : \xi \in K\}$. Obviously, $M(\xi) = M(\xi - \eta)$ for every $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K$, i.e. $M(\xi)$ only depends on the class of ξ in $K/\mathcal{O}_K$. Now let

$$C_1 = \{\xi \in K/\mathcal{O}_K : M(\xi) = M(K)\}$$

[1] I thank Keith Dennis for bringing this to my attention.

and define the *second Euclidean minimum* of K by

$$M_2(K) = \sup \{M(\xi) : \xi \in (K/\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus C_1\}.$$

Obviously $M_2(K) \le M(K) = M_1(K)$, and if this inequality is strict, we say that $M_1(K)$ is *isolated*. The Euclidean minima $M_k(K), k \ge 2$, are defined in a similar way. There are number fields with an infinite sequence of strictly decreasing Euclidean minima, and fields whose second minimum is not isolated. Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4, 5, 6] showed

Proposition 2.3. *If K is a number field with unit rank ≥ 1 and if C_1 is finite, then the minimum $M_1(K)$ is isolated.*

In order to prove that a given number field K is norm-Euclidean, we choose a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\}$ of K and let $\phi : \alpha = \sum a_i\alpha_i \to (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; after identifying K and $\phi(K)$, we find that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a lattice in $\overline{K} = \mathbb{R}^n$, and that K is dense in $\overline{K}$. We extend the norm $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\alpha = \prod_\sigma \alpha^\sigma$ on K (here σ runs through all $n = (K : \mathbb{Q})$ embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$) to a continuous function

$$N : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \mapsto N(x) = \prod_\sigma \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \alpha_j^\sigma \Big).$$

Obviously K is norm-Euclidean if and only if for all $\xi \in K$ we can find $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|N(\phi(\xi) - \phi(\eta))| < 1$; we see that it suffices to show that for every real $\xi \in \overline{K}$ we have $|N(\xi - \phi(\eta))| < 1$ for a suitably chosen $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Therefore we define the Euclidean minimum at $x \in \overline{K}$ by

$$M(x) = \inf \{|N(x - \phi(\eta))| : \eta \in \mathcal{O}_K\},$$

and call $M(\overline{K}) = \sup \{M(x) | x \in \overline{K}\}$ the inhomogeneous minimum of K; it is clear by definition that $M(K) \le M(\overline{K})$. Let $x \in \overline{K}$ and a real $\varepsilon > 0$ be given; it follows from the definition of $M(\overline{K})$ that we can find $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $|N(x - \phi(\eta))| < M(\overline{K}) + \varepsilon$. If we can satisfy the stronger inequality $|N(x - \phi(\eta))| \le M(\overline{K})$ for every $x \in \overline{K}$ we shall say that the minimum $M(\overline{K})$ is *attained*.

Proposition 2.4. *We have $M(K) = M(\overline{K})$ for every number field K with unit rank 1, and there exist $x \in \overline{K}$ with $M(x) = M(\overline{K})$.*

This equality has been observed by Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4]; they proved it for $n = 2$, and van der Linden [134, 135] gave a proof for fields with unit rank 1. Computations seem to suggest the following conjectures for number fields K with unit rank ≥ 1:

1. $M(K)$ is isolated even if C_1 is not finite;
2. $M(K)$ is always rational;
3. $M(K) = M(\overline{K})$ for every number field with unit rank ≥ 1;
4. in Prop. 2.4, some $x = \sum a_j\alpha_j$ has coordinates $a_i \in K$;
5. in Prop. 2.4, x can be chosen from the dense subset K (i.e. x can be chosen to have rational coordinates a_i; such x are called rational points in $\overline{K}$).

Call $ESp(K) = \{M(x) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ the *Euclidean spectrum* of K; $ESp(K)$ is known to be closed as a subset of the reals (Theorem L of Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer). Let $\partial\ ESp(K)$ be the boundary of $ESp(K)$ (with respect to the usual topology on $\mathbb{R}$). Another question is

6. Is $\partial\ ESp(K) \subset K$ if K is totally real?

For related questions, we refer the reader to Berend and Moran [11]. The background necessary for the computation of Euclidean minima has been provided by Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer; although the presentation of some of the proofs given in their papers [4, 5] can be simplified, these articles still are worth reading, and they are recommended to anyone interested in computing minima of number fields of small degree.

The inequality $M(K) \le 2^{-n}\sqrt{d}$ for totally real number fields of degree n and absolute value of discriminant d is called the "Minkowski conjecture" (cf. O. Keller, *Geometrie der Zahlen,* Enzyklop. d. math. Wiss. I 2, 2. Aufl.); it is known to hold for $n \le 5$, and Chebotarev could prove that $M(K) \le 2^{-n/2}\sqrt{d}$. Similar results (not even a conjecture) for fields with mixed signature are not known except for a theorem of Swinnerton-Dyer [173] concerning complex cubic fields (see Sect. 5).

There are several methods for getting bounds on $M(K)$, and in particular for showing that a given number field is not norm-Euclidean. The simplest criterion uses totally ramified primes:

Proposition 2.5. *Let K/k be a finite extension of number fields, and suppose that the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ is completely ramified in K/k, i.e. that $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P}^2$. If $\beta \equiv \alpha^n \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and if there do not exist $b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that*

1. *$b \equiv \beta \bmod \mathfrak{p}$;*
2. *$b = N_{K/k}\delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$;*
3. *$|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}\, b| < N\mathfrak{p}$;*

then K is not Euclidean.

In the special case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$, there are only two $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (1) and (3), because $|N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}\, b| = |b|$ and $|N\mathfrak{p}| = p$. Moreover, if K is totally complex, only positive $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be norms from K.

Our next result exploits the action of the unit group E_K on the factor group $K/\mathcal{O}_K$; it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Orb}(\overline{\xi}) = \{\varepsilon\overline{\xi} : \varepsilon \in E_K\}$ is finite for every class $\overline{\xi} = \xi + \mathcal{O}_K \in K/\mathcal{O}_K$. The following theorem is essentially due to Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field with unit group E_K. If, given a $\xi \in K$ and a real number $k > 0$, there exists a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $N(\xi - \gamma) < k$, then there exists a $\zeta = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j\alpha^j \in K$ with the following properties:*

1. *$\zeta + \mathcal{O}_K = \xi_j$ for some $\xi_j \in \mathrm{Orb}(\overline{\xi})$;*
2. *$|a_i| < \mu_i$ $(0 \le i < n)$ for some constants $\mu_i > 0$ depending only on K;*
3. *$N(\zeta) < k$.*

Since the number of $\zeta \in K$ satisfying 1. and 2. is finite, this theorem allows us to compute $M(\xi, K)$.

In light of Weinberger's result we are interested in functions f that might serve as Euclidean functions on number fields K with unit rank ≥ 1 and class number 1. Of course, if R is Euclidean we can always take $f = f_{min}$; but this function is not very useful if we want to prove that R is Euclidean because f_{min} is rather hard to compute. Lenstra [114] proposed to look at "weighted norms" instead: first we define a multiplicative function $\phi : I_K \to \mathbb{R}$, where I_K denotes the group of fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$, by giving its values on the prime ideals; to this end choose a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, a real number $c > 1$, and define $\phi(\mathfrak{p}) = c$, $\phi(\mathfrak{q}) = N(\mathfrak{q}) := (R : \mathfrak{q})$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \ne \mathfrak{p}$. Then extend ϕ multiplicatively to all ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and put $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K)$ for elements $\alpha \in K^\times$. Then $\phi = \phi_{\mathfrak{p},c}$ is a well defined multiplicative function with the property (E3), and

$$w(\mathfrak{p}) = \{c > 0 : \phi_{\mathfrak{p},c} \text{ is a Euclidean function on } \mathcal{O}_K\}$$

is called the *Euclidean window* of the weighted norm ϕ.

Proposition 2.7. *The Euclidean window $w(\mathfrak{p})$ of a weighted norm f is a (possibly empty) interval contained in $(1, \infty)$.*

Using an incredibly simple idea, Clark [37] succeeded in proving that $f = f_{\mathfrak{p},c}$ is a Euclidean function in the quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69})$ for $\mathfrak{p} = (23, \sqrt{69}) = (\frac{23+\sqrt{69}}{2})$ and every $c > 25$. This was done as follows: first one observes that M_1 is isolated and that $M_2 < 1$. For every $\xi \in \overline{K} \setminus C_1$ we can find $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|N(\xi - \eta)| < 1$, where N denotes the usual norm; if the numerator of $\xi - \eta$ is not divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$, we will also have $f(\xi - \eta) < 1$. In order to take care of the points $\xi - \eta$ with numerator divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$, we show that for every $\xi \in \overline{K} \setminus C_1$ we can find $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|N(\xi - \eta_j)| < 1$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $\eta_1 - \eta_2 \not\equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{p}$. Unfortunately, this method does not seem to work for other quadratic number fields; there are, however, numerous examples in degree 3 (cf. Sect. 5)

Building on work of Gupta, M. Murty and V. Murty [83] on the Euclidean algorithm for S-integers, Clark and M. Murty [40] devised a method for proving number fields to be Euclidean with respect to functions different from the norm; this method applies to totally real Galois extensions of degree ≥ 3 with an additional property. In his thesis, Clark [36] verified this condition for the 165 totally real quartic number fields with class number 1 and discriminant less than 10^6 as well as the cyclic cubic number fields with discriminant less than $5 \cdot 10^5$ and class number 1. See Mandavid [103] for a detailed exposition.

Cooke and Weinberger have made some very interesting observations concerning the k-stage Euclidean algorithm in number fields: define continued fractions $[\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k]$ of length k (with coefficients $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$) by

$$[\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_k] = \gamma_1 + \cfrac{1}{\gamma_2 + \cfrac{1}{\gamma_3 + \cdots + \cfrac{1}{\gamma_k}}}$$

Let $CF_k(K)$ be the set of all continued fractions of length $\leq k$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ there exists a k-stage division chain of length $k \leq n$ starting from (α, β) such that $|N(\rho_k)| < |N(\beta)|$ if and only if we can find $\gamma \in CF_k(K)$ with $|N(\alpha/\beta - \gamma)| < 1$.

The k-stage Euclidean minimum of K is the real number

$$M^k(K) = \inf \{\kappa : \text{ for all } \xi \in K \text{ there is a } \gamma \in CF_k(K) : |N(\xi - \gamma)| < \kappa\}$$

and the inhomogeneous minimum of K is defined by replacing K by $\overline{K}$.

Let us define sets $B_k = \{\xi \in K : |N(\xi - \gamma)| \geq 1 \text{ for all } \gamma \in CF_k(K)\}$ for $k \geq 1$; obviously we have $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq B_\infty = \bigcap B_k$; if $B_\infty = B_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that K has *Euclidean depth* k and write $\mathrm{Ed}(K) = k$.

Theorem 2.8. *Assume that GRH holds. Then $\mathrm{Ed}(K) \leq 4$ for every number field K with unit rank ≥ 1, and $\mathrm{Ed}(K) \leq 2$ if K has at least one real embedding.*

The inequalities $\mathrm{Ed}(K) \le 5$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ed}(K) \le 3$) are due to Cooke and Weinberger [48]; it can be shown, however, that these inequalities are strict (cf. the remarks of Lenstra in [48], as well as [110]).

Using results of Vaserstein, Cooke [47] was able to show that B_∞ is discrete. By defining a suitable equivalence relation on the points in B_∞, Cooke could show that the number of equivalence classes equals $h-1$, where h is the class number of K.

In his paper [39], Clark could remove the assumption of the validity of GRH from Thm. 2.8 for a certain class of real normal fields.

For methods of computing the greatest common divisor in algebraic number fields which are not norm-Euclidean, see Kaltofen and Rolletschek [106] and F. George [77] for quadratic fields, and H. Cohen [42] in general.

3. Imaginary Quadratic Number Fields

If K is an imaginary quadratic number field, i.e. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}\,)$, m a square free integer, the situation is completely clear (we write $D(-m)$ for the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}\,)$):

Proposition 3.1. *The rings $D(-m)$ are Euclidean if and only if $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$, and in these cases the norm is a Euclidean function.*

In order to prove Prop. 3.1 we have to show:

a) $D(-m)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$;

b) if f is a Euclidean function on $D(-m)$, then $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 11$.

The first proofs of a) are due to Gauss ($m = 1, 3$ [74, 75, 76]), Dirichlet [64], Cauchy ($m = 1, 3$ [25]), Wantzel [184], Traub ($m = 1, 2$ [176]), and Dedekind [61], who also noticed that these values of m are the only ones for which K is norm-Euclidean. Proofs for this fact have later been given by Birkhoff [12] and Schatunowsky [167]. In 1948, Motzkin [140] gave the first proof of b); this result has been rediscovered several times, for example by Dubois and Steger [65] or Chadid [24].

Wantzel [184] and Traub [176] were the first to show that $M(f) = 1$ for $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}\,]$, where f is the norm, although the following proposition can easily be deduced from a result of Dirichlet [64]:

Proposition 3.2. *The Euclidean minimum $M(R, N)$ of $R = D(-m)$ with respect to the norm is given by*

$$\frac{(|m|+1)}{4}, \text{ if } R = \mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-m}\,\right], \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{(|m|+1)^2}{16m}, \text{ if } R = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-m}}{2}\right]$$

This implies the inequalities $\frac{|d|}{16} < M(K) \le \frac{|d|+4}{16}$ for imaginary quadratic fields K with discriminant d.

Concerning k-stage Euclidean rings, we have the result of P. Cohn [44]:

Proposition 3.3. *$D(-m)$ is k-stage Euclidean if and only if it is Euclidean.*

The Dedekind-Hasse-test 1.3 with $f = N$ has often been applied to show that $D(-19)$ is a principal ideal domain; cf. Wilson [188], Campoli [20], Feyzioglu [71]. The results of Prop. 3.2 can be used to improve the Minkowski bounds of quadratic extensions of imaginary quadratic Euclidean fields ([112], as well as [136]).

4. Real Quadratic Number Fields

As in Sect. 2, let $D(m)$ denote the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$, where m is assumed to be squarefree. The real quadratic number fields which are norm-Euclidean are known:

Theorem 4.1. *The rings D(m) are norm-Euclidean if and only if*

$$m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 21, 29, 33, 37, 41, 57, 73.$$

The if-part of Thm. 4.1 can be proved easily; it is the "only if" that causes the difficulties. The following table shows the evolution of the proof:

1848 Wantzel [185] shows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 2, 3, 5$, and claims that this holds also for $m = 6, 7, 13, 17$.

1927 Dickson [62] shows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean if $m = 2, 3, 5, 13$ and asserts that these are the only such values.

1932 Perron [155] detects Dickson's error by showing that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 6, 7, 11, 17, 21, 29$; moreover he asks if every real quadratic number field with class number 1 is norm-Euclidean. In a letter to Perron (see [155]), Schur shows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{47}\,)$ is not norm-Euclidean.

1934 Oppenheim [153] finds a clever method to prove that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 17, 21, 29, 33, 37, 41$, and shows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is not norm-Euclidean for $m = 23, 31, 53$.

1935 Fox [73] and Berg [10] show that if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean and $m \equiv 2, 3 \bmod 4$, then $m = 2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 19$, and Berg is able to prove that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{19}\,)$ is indeed norm-Euclidean. Hofreiter [92, 93] shows that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{57}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean; moreover he proves that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21}\,)$ is the only norm-Euclidean field among the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ with $m \equiv 21 \bmod 24$.

1936 Behrbohm and Redei [9] find all norm-Euclidean $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ with $m \equiv 5 \bmod 24$.

1938 Schuster [170] treats the case $m \equiv 9 \bmod 24$. Erdös and Ko [70] show that there are only finitely many norm-Euclidean D(m) with $m \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ prime, and Heilbronn [87] extends this to composite values of m.

1940 Brauer [18] shows $m \le 109$ for all norm-Euclidean $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ with $m \equiv 13 \bmod 24$.

1942 Rédei [161] finds all norm-Euclidean $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$, $m \equiv 17 \bmod 24$, and shows that $D(73)$ is norm-Euclidean. Moreover he shows that $D(m)$ is not norm-Euclidean for $m = 61, 89, 109, 113, 137$. This leaves only the $m \equiv 1 \bmod 24$ undecided. Rédei also claims that $D(97)$ is norm-Euclidean.

1944 Hua [94, 95] shows $m < e^{250}$, if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ is norm-Euclidean and $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ is prime.

1945 Hua and Shih [96] gave another proof that $D(61)$ is not norm-Euclidean.

1947 Inkeri [100] shows that the only norm-Euclidean fields with $\operatorname{disc} K < 5000$ are the known ones.

1948 Davenport ([57], published 1951) proves that $\operatorname{disc} K < 2^{14} = 16384$ for every norm-Euclidean real quadratic number field.

1949 Chatland [31] shows that there are no norm-Euclidean fields whose discriminants lie between 601 and 16 384.

1950 Chatland and Davenport [32], unaware of the results of Inkeri, show that there are no norm-Euclidean fields with $193 \le \operatorname{disc} K \le 601$.

1952 Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4] discover that $D(97)$ is not norm-Euclidean.

We know the following bounds for Euclidean minima of quadratic fields:

Theorem 4.2. *For real quadratic fields K with $d = \operatorname{disc} K$, we have*

$$\frac{\sqrt{d}}{16+6\sqrt{6}} \ \le \ M(K) \ \le \ \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{d}$$

The upper bound, due to Minkowski (see Cassels [23]), is easily seen to be best possible:

Proposition 4.3. *Let n be an odd integer, put $m = n^2+1$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$; then the Euclidean minimum of R is $M = \frac{n}{2}$, and this minimum is attained exactly at the points $\xi \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{m} \bmod R$.*

Since m is squarefree for an infinite number of n, and $R = D(m)$ in this case, the upper bound in Thm. 4.2 is in fact best possible. Heinhold [90], Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4, 5, 6], and Varnavides [182] have given results of this kind for a lot of other orders in real quadratic fields.

The lower bound $D \ge \frac{1}{128}$ for the "Davenport constant" $D = \sup\ M(K)/\sqrt{d}$ is due to Davenport himself (cf. [53]). It was improved to $D \ge \frac{1}{51}$ by Cassels [22], and to the result given in Thm. 4.2 by Ennola [69].

The minima $M_i(\overline{K})$, $i \ge 1$, have been investigated thoroughly for many quadratic number fields; we cite a few examples that show some of the phenomena that can occur (cf. Davenport [49, 50, 51] for more examples):

Proposition 4.4. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}\,)$; then $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5}\,)$ is the fundamental unit of K, and we have $M(K) = \frac{1}{4}$. There is an infinite sequence of isolated minima $M_i(K)$ given by*

$$M_{i+1}(\overline{K}) = \frac{F_{6i-2}+F_{6i-4}}{4(F_{6i-1}+F_{6i-3}-2)}$$

for all $i \ge 1$, where F_i is the i-th number in the Fibonacci sequence defined by $F_0 = F_1 = 1, F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$. The sequence of minima begins with $M_1 = \frac{1}{4}, M_2 = \frac{1}{5}, M_3 = \frac{19}{121}, \ldots$, and we have $M_\infty(\overline{K}) = \lim M_i(\overline{K}) = \frac{1}{4\omega}$.

The sets $C_i(\overline{K}) = \{x \in \overline{K} : M(x) = M_i(\overline{K})\}$, where the minima are attained, are $C_1 = \{(0,\frac{1}{2}),(\frac{1}{2},0)\}, C_2 = \{(0,\pm\frac{1}{5}),(0,\pm\frac{2}{5})\}$, and, generally

$$C_k = \Big\{\xi \in K : \xi \equiv \frac{\omega^{6i-3}+1}{2(\omega^{6i-2}-1)}\varepsilon \bmod \mathcal{O}_K,\ \varepsilon \text{ a unit}\Big\}.$$

As Varnavides [179] has shown, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ has similar properties; in general, however, the results are much more complicated (Inkeri [102]):

Proposition 4.5. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13}\,)$; then $M_1(\overline{K}) = \frac{1}{3}, M_2(\overline{K}) = \frac{4}{13}$, and*

$$C_1 = \left\{ \left(\pm\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\right),\ \left(\pm\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{6}\eta^k, \pm\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{6\sqrt{13}}\eta^k\right) \right\},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\left(-3+\sqrt{13}\right)$, and

$$C_2 = \left\{ \left(0,\pm\frac{2}{13}\right), \left(0,\pm\frac{3}{13}\right) \right\}.$$

The minimum $M_1(\overline{K})$ is not attained.

Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4] have generalized Prop. 4.5 to all fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ with $m = (2n+1)^2+4, n \ge 1$. They also computed an infinite sequence of minima for $m = 13$ and noticed that this sequence continues even beyond the limit $M_\infty = \lim_{k\to\infty} M_k$. On the other hand we know (cf. Godwin [79]):

Proposition 4.6. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{23}\,)$; then the first minimum $M_1(\overline{K}) = \frac{77}{46}$ is attained and isolated, whereas $M_2(\overline{K}) = \frac{1}{46}\left(20\sqrt{23} - 31\right)$ is not isolated.*

It is easy to see that the points

$$x_k = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{23} + \frac{2}{23}\varepsilon^{-k}\right)\sqrt{23}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

have an attained minimum $\mu = \frac{1}{46}\left(20\sqrt{23} - 31\right)$. Moreover, it is obvious that the x_k converge to $x = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{23}\right)\sqrt{23}$, and that $M(x) = M_1(\overline{K}) = \frac{77}{46}$. Godwin has shown that x is (up to conjugation and translation mod $\mathcal{O}_K$) the only point such that $M(x) > \mu$, and that each x_k is the limit of a series $x_{k,i}$ of (rational) points in K such that $\mu = \lim M(x_{k,i})$; since the $M(x_{k,i})$ are rational and M is not, $M_2(K)$ is not isolated. It seems likely that the x_k generate C_2, which would imply that M_2 is attained.

The same thing happens for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69}\,)$ (see [29]):

Proposition 4.7. *In $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69}\,)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \tfrac{25}{23}, & C_1 &= \left\{ \pm \tfrac{4}{23}\sqrt{69}\right\}, \\ M_2 &= \tfrac{1}{1058}\left(3795 - 345\sqrt{69}\right), & C_2 &= \left\{(\pm P_k, \pm P_k')\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_k = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-k} + \left(\frac{4}{23} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{69}}\varepsilon^{-k}\right)\sqrt{69}, \quad P_k' = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-k} - \left(\frac{4}{23} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{69}}\varepsilon^{-k}\right)\sqrt{69}.$$

Here $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\left(25 + 3\sqrt{69}\,\right)$ is the fundamental unit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69}\,)$, and the points P_k, P_k' have the limits $\pm\frac{4}{23}\sqrt{69}$ in C_1. The minimum $M_1(K) = M_1(\overline{K})$ is isolated, but $M_2(K) = M_2(\overline{K})$ is not.[2] In fact, the series of points $P_n = -\frac{3}{2} - \frac{15}{46}\sqrt{69} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n - 1}$ have minima that converge to $M_2(K)$ from below.

The first example of a quadratic number field with an infinite set C_2 such that C_1 is the set of accumulation points of C_2 is also due to Godwin [78]: in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{73}\,)$, $M_2(\overline{K})$ is isolated, and C_2 consists of irrational points converging to rational points of C_1; in particular, $M_2(K) < M_2(\overline{K})$!

The Euclidean and inhomogeneous minima $M_i(K)$ of real quadratic fields K may or may not have the following properties:

- A_i : $M_i(\overline{K})$ is attained;
- F_i : $C_i(\overline{K})$ is finite;
- E_i : $M_i(\overline{K}) = M_i(K)$;
- I_i : $M_i(\overline{K})$ is isolated;
- AP_i : I_i holds, and $C_i(\overline{K})$ is the set of accumulation points of $C_{i+1}(\overline{K})$;

we know that $F_i \Rightarrow A_i$, and that $F_2 \Rightarrow \neg AP_i$. Moreover, E_1 is true, and we conjecture that I_1 always holds.

The following combinations are known to occur:

[2] In the original version of this manuscript I falsely claimed that $M_2(K) < M_2(\overline{K})$.

m	A_1	F_1	AP_1	A_2	F_2	I_2	AP_2	E_2
5	x	x	*no*	x	x	x	*no*	x
7	x	x	*no*	x	x	x	x?	x
13	*no*	*no*	*no*	x	x	x	*no*	x
23	x	x	x	x?	*no*	*no*	–	x
69	x	x	x	x	*no*	x	?	*no*

Here "x" means, that D(m) has the corresponding property, while "x?" denotes a conjecture. This leaves, of course, a lot of questions unanswered:

- is there a $D(m)$ such that F_2 and AP_1 are simultaneously false?
- is there a $D(m)$ such that F_2 holds, but I_2 does not?
- etc.

It should be remarked that in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{69}\,)$, the weighted norm $f_{\mathfrak{p},c}$ (with $\mathfrak{p} = (23, \sqrt{69}\,))$ and large enough c ($c \geq 49$ is sufficient) has an irrational Euclidean minimum $M_1(\mathcal{O}_K, f_{\mathfrak{p},c}) = \frac{1}{23}(-600 + 75\sqrt{69}\,) = 0.9998604...$ (see [29]).

The known examples of 2-stage norm-Euclidean rings $D(m)$ are

$m =$	14,	22,	23,	31,	38,	43,	46,	47,	53,	59,	61,	62,
	67,	69,	71,	77,	89,	93,	97,	101,	109,	113,	129,	133,
	137,	149,	157,	161,	173,	177,	181,	193,	197,	201,	213,	253.

The following observation concerning Euclidean windows can be proved easily using ideals of small norm:

Proposition 4.8. *Let* $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14}\,)$ *and define a weighted norm* f *in* K *by* $f(\mathfrak{p}) = c$, *where* $\mathfrak{p} = (2, \sqrt{14})$ *is the unique prime ideal above* (2). *If* f *is a Euclidean function on* $D(14)$, *then necessarily* $5 < c^2 < 7$, *i.e.,* $w(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq (\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7})$.

This shows again that $D(14)$ is not norm-Euclidean, because the absolute value of the norm coincides with $f_{\mathfrak{p},2}$, and $c = 2$ lies outside the Euclidean window of $\mathfrak{p}$. It is tempting to try the value $c = \sqrt{6}$; Nagata [144, 146] conjectured that this value makes $f_{\mathfrak{p},c}$ into a Euclidean function on $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}]$ and did some computations which support this conjecture. Bedocchi [7] has studied a function that – although not even being multiplicative – does not differ much from $f_{\mathfrak{p},\sqrt{6}}$. So far it has not been possible to prove that the Euclidean window of $\mathfrak{p}$ is non-empty using the method of Clark that succeeds for $D(69)$; even a modification of this idea due to R. Schroeppel and G. Niklasch does not seem to work (see also Hainke's thesis [84]). Cardon [21] shows that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is Euclidean with respect to the absolute value of the S-norm, and Harper [85] showed that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}, \frac{1}{p}]$ is Euclidean for each prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Euclidean minima of real quadratic number fields have been computed by Heinhold [90], Davenport [49, 50, 51], Varnavides [178, 179, 180, 182], Bambah [2, 3], Inkeri [102], Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer [4, 5, 6], Godwin [79], Bedocchi [8], and Lemmermeyer [110]; the table at the end of our survey gives the minima for all $m \leq 102$.

5. Cubic Number Fields

5.1. **Complex Cubic Number Fields.** It was Davenport [54] who first could prove that there are only finitely many norm-Euclidean complex cubic number fields; the best lower bound for $M(\overline{K})$ so far has been obtained by Cassels [22] (also, cf. van der Linden [132, 133, 134, 135], who notes that this bound does not seem to be best possible):

Proposition 5.1. *If K is a complex cubic number field with $d = |\operatorname{disc} K|$, then*

$$\frac{\sqrt{d}}{420} \le M(\overline{K}) \le \frac{d^{2/3}}{16\sqrt[3]{2}}.$$

In particular, $d < 170\,520$ if K is norm-Euclidean.

The upper bound is due to Swinnerton-Dyer (for fields with $|d| \le 1236$, Prop. 5.1 has been proved by direct computation), who also showed that the exponent $2/3$ and the constant $16\sqrt[3]{2}$ cannot be improved. Note that we cannot define a Davenport constant since we do not know if the exponent $1/2$ in the lower bound is best possible or not; it seems that no one has yet dared to conjecture that this exponent can be improved to $2/3$.

Already in 1848 Wantzel [185] claimed that the cubic field with discriminant -23 is norm-Euclidean. The next result concerning the Euclidean algorithm in complex cubic fields was obtained more than a hundred years later by Prasad [158], who showed $M(K) = \frac{1}{5}$ for the cubic field with $\operatorname{disc} K = -23$. In 1967, Godwin [81] showed that the fields with $-23 \ge \operatorname{disc} K \ge -152$ are norm-Euclidean, and E. Taylor [174, 175] found all norm-Euclidean fields with $0 > \operatorname{disc} K > -680$. The pure cubic number fields wich are norm-Euclidean were determined by Cioffari [35]: there are only three, namely $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{m})$ with $m = 2, 3, 10$. See the tables at the end of this survey for known results on Euclidean minima of cubic fields.

In the tables below, let E denote the number of fields in a given interval which are norm-Euclidean; the number of those which are not norm-Euclidean will be denoted by N.

Table 1

$\operatorname{disc} K$	E	N	Σ
$0 < d \le 200$	18	1	19
$200 < d \le 400$	15	9	24
$400 < d \le 600$	16	10	26
$600 < d \le 800$	7	20	27
$800 < d \le 1000$	2	29	31
$1000 < d \le 1200$	0	29	29
$1200 < d \le 1400$	0	35	35
$1400 < d \le 1600$	0	27	27
Σ	58	160	218

It is surprising that all cubic fields with $0 > \operatorname{disc} K > -500$ have an attained Euclidean minimum $M_1(\overline{K})$ with finite $C_1(K)$; this has to be seen in contrast to the situation for quadratic fields, where already $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13}\,)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{29}\,)$ have infinite $C_1(K)$ and minima $M_1(\overline{K})$ which are not attained.

As in the quadratic case it is possible to compute the Euclidean minima of an infinite sequence of fields:

Proposition 5.2. *Let K be the number field defined by the real root α of $f(x) = x^3 + 2ax - 1$ (where $a \ge 1$) and let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Then $M(K) = M(\overline{K}) = \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - a + 1)$, and this minimum is attained exactly at $\xi \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2) \bmod R$.*

This result is due to Swinnerton-Dyer [173] for sufficiently large $a \geq 1$; Lemmermeyer [110] observed that it is valid for all $a \geq 1$. This sequence incidentally shows that the upper bound in Prop. 5.1 is best possible. Similar results for sufficiently large a are known for other families of cubic number fields (cf. Swinnerton-Dyer [173]).

The idea of Clark [37] has been used to show that the complex cubic fields with discriminants $-199, -327$, -351 and -367 are Euclidean with respect to weighted norms.

Let K be the field generated by a root α of the polynomial $x^3 + 3x^2 + 6x + 1$, and let $f = f_{\mathfrak{p},c}$ be the weighted norm for the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (11, \alpha - 1)$. The Euclidean minimum $M_1(K)$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ with respect to f is not known for all values $c \in w(\mathfrak{p})$, but it can be shown that $M_f(K) = \frac{187}{189}$ for all $c \geq \frac{189}{8}$. This minimum is attained $\bmod \mathcal{O}_K$ at the points

$$P = \pm\frac{1}{21}(10 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2), \pm\frac{1}{21}(12 + 3\alpha + 10\alpha^2), \pm\frac{1}{21}(15 + 16\alpha + 9\alpha^2).$$

On the other hand, $M_f(K) = \frac{11}{c}$ for all real c in the interval $[11, \frac{189}{17})$, and this minimum is attained at the points $P = \pm(5 + 2\alpha + 6\alpha^2)/11 \bmod \mathcal{O}_K$.

5.2. **Totally Real Cubic Number Fields.** Remak [162] proved Minkowski's conjecture for the cubic case, i.e.

Proposition 5.3. $M(\overline{K}) \leq \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\operatorname{disc} K}$ *for totally real cubic fields.*

This implies in particular that the cubic number field with $\operatorname{disc} K = 49$ is norm-Euclidean. Some minima $M(K)$ have been computed by Davenport [52] ($\operatorname{disc} K = 49, 81$), Clarke [41] ($\operatorname{disc} K = 148$), Samet [164, 165] (for an infinite class of fields whose discriminants are "big enough"), Smith [172], and Lemmermeyer [110]. Clark [38] independently has shown some fields to be norm-Euclidean.

5.2.1. *Cyclic Fields.* Heilbronn [88] proved that the number of norm-Euclidean cyclic cubic fields is finite, but could give no bound for the discriminants of such fields. Smith [171] examined the cyclic cubic fields with discriminant $< 10^8$ and found that

- the fields with conductors $\mathfrak{f} = 7, 9, 13, 19, 31, 37, 43, 61, 67$ are Euclidean with respect to the norm;
- the fields with conductors $\mathfrak{f} = 73, 79, 97, 139, 151$, and $163 < \mathfrak{f} < 10^4$ are not norm-Euclidean.

Since fields with class number 1 have conductors which are prime powers, this left only the fields with conductors $\mathfrak{f} = 103, 109, 127, 157$ undecided; these were shown to be Euclidean by Godwin and Smith [82]. In the meantime, Lemmermeyer [110] had found that there are no norm-Euclidean fields with conductors $10^4 < \mathfrak{f} < 5 \cdot 10^5$.

5.2.2. *Non-cyclic Totally Real Fields.* Heilbronn [88] has conjectured that there are infinitely many norm-Euclidean fields of this type. The numerical results obtained so far are in favour of Heilbronn's conjecture, and in fact most of the fields with discriminants $\operatorname{disc} K < 10^4$ are norm-Euclidean. The following table gives the number E of totally real cubic fields (cyclic and non-cyclic) that are known to be norm-Euclidean; since the proportion of non-Euclidean fields is growing, it is tempting to conjecture that the norm-Euclidean cubic fields have density 0.

Table 2

disc K	E	N	Σ
$0 < d \le 1000$	26	1	27
$1000 < d \le 2000$	29	5	34
$2000 < d \le 3000$	31	4	35
$3000 < d \le 4000$	36	6	42
$4000 < d \le 5000$	28	7	35
$5000 < d \le 6000$	35	7	42
$6000 < d \le 7000$	30	8	38
$7000 < d \le 8000$	37	10	47
$8000 < d \le 9000$	30	11	41
$9000 < d \le 10000$	29	10	39
$10000 < d \le 11000$	34	9	43
$11000 < d \le 12000$	37	16	53
$12000 < d \le 13000$	31	6	37
Σ	382	94	476

Explicit information on the real cubic fields with disc $K < 13,000$ is given at the end of this article. There you can also find a table with cubic fields that have been shown to be Euclidean with respect to a weighted norm ([38],[27],[29]).

6. Quartic Number Fields

6.1. **Totally Complex Quartic Fields.** Davenport [55, 56] and Cassels [22] proved that the number of norm-Euclidean totally complex quartic fields is finite and gave a bound for the discriminants of such fields; his computation of the bound, however, was shown to contain a mistake by van der Linden [134].

Proposition 6.1. *If K is a totally complex quartic field and $d = \operatorname{disc} K$, then $M(K) > C \cdot \sqrt{d}$ for some constant $C > 0$. The best known C gives* disc $K <$ 230 202 117 *for Euclidean fields.*

There exist slightly better bounds for quadratic extensions of imaginary quadratic fields given by van der Linden ([134], 10.2), who used them to find all totally complex cyclic quartic fields that are norm-Euclidean:

Proposition 6.2. *The only norm-Euclidean totally complex cyclic quartic fields are $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and the quartic subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{13})$, where ζ_m denotes a primitive m-th root of unity.*

Let $D(m,n)$ denote the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n}\,)$; the norm-Euclidean rings $D(-m,n)$, $m > 0$, have been determined by Lemmermeyer [110]:

Theorem 6.3. *The following list of norm-Euclidean rings $D(-m,n)$ with $m > 0$ is complete:*

$$\begin{array}{llll} m = 1, & n = \ 2,3,5,7; & m = 3, & n = \ 2,5,-7,-11,17,-19; \\ m = 2, & n = \ -3,5; & m = 7, & n = \ 5. \end{array}$$

Eisenstein [68] established the Euclidean algorithm in $D(-1,2)$ and $D(-1,3)$ (these are the rings of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$, respectively); other proofs were given later by Masley [137, 138], and Lakein [108] showed that $D(-m,n)$ is norm-Euclidean for all the values (m,n) above except $(2,5)$, $(3,17)$, $(3,-19)$, and $(7,5)$. Sauvageot [166] showed that certain rings $D(m,n)$ are not norm-Euclidean, for example $D(-1,n)$ with $n \ge 15$. The proof of Thm. 6.3 in [113] is an extension (and correction) of her arguments; surprisingly, it is far less difficult than the proof of the corresponding result for real quadratic fields.

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose that $m > 0$ is no square and 4th-power free; then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-m})$ is norm-Euclidean if and only if $m = 2,3,7,12$.*

This is largely due to Cioffari [35], who showed that if K is Euclidean then $m = 2,3,7,12,44,67$, or $2p^2$ for prime p; moreover he showed that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-m})$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 2,3,7$.

Apart from Prop. 6.1 – Prop. 6.4, there are only partial results on the Euclidean nature of complex quartic fields (cf. [110, 113])

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that K is a norm-Euclidean complex quartic field;*

i) *if K contains $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, then K is one of the fields $k(\sqrt{-1})$, $k(\sqrt{-3})$, $k(\sqrt{-5-2\sqrt{2}})$;*
ii) *if K contains a real quadratic number field and 2 is totally ramified in K, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{-1})$;*
iii) *if K contains a real quadratic number field and 2 is the square of a prime ideal in K, then K is one of the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt{2})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt{-2})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5},\sqrt{-2})$;*
iv) *if $K = \mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{a+bi})$ with $i^2 = -1$ and $a+bi \equiv \pm 1 + 2i \bmod 4$, then $a+bi = \pm 1+2i, \pm 3+2i, \pm 5+2i, \pm 1+6i, \pm 7+2i$.*

All the fields given above are norm-Euclidean.

Best upper bounds on $M(\overline{K})$ seem to depend on the existence of a quadratic subfield of K; Davenport and Swinnerton-Dyer [58] found

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose that K is a totally complex quartic field which does not contain a real quadratic subfield. Then $M(\overline{K}) < C \cdot d^{3/4}$.*

They also claimed that the exponent $3/4$ is best possible. For fields K that have real quadratic subfields, the best possible bound on $M(\overline{K})$ is $\frac{1}{32}\sqrt{d}$, as can be deduced from

Proposition 6.7. *Let $n \ge 1$ be odd, and put $m = n^2+1$; then the order $R = \mathbb{Z}[i,\sqrt{m},\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{m}+\sqrt{-m})]$ has Euclidean minimum $M = \frac{m}{4}$, and M is attained exactly at the points congruent to $\frac{1}{2}(1+i+\sqrt{m}) \bmod \mathcal{O}_K$. If m is squarefree, we find $R = \mathcal{O}_K$, $\operatorname{disc} K = (8m)^2$, and $M(\overline{K}) = M(K) = \frac{1}{32}\sqrt{d}$.*

I do not know a family of totally complex quartic fields such that $M(K)$ is asymptotically equal to $C \cdot d^{3/4}$.

6.2. **Quartic Fields with Unit Rank 2.** Thanks to computations of R. Quême [159] we know quite a few examples of norm-Euclidean fields; on the other hand, negative results are quite rare:

Proposition 6.8. *There are only finitely many norm-Euclidean fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{m})$.*

Egami [66] proved (5.8) for all $m \ne 2p^2$ using estimates from analytic number theory; Lemmermeyer [110] gave an elementary proof using the technique of

Behrbohm and Redei [9] and showed that in fact

$$m = 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 13, 20, 28, 52, 61, 116, 436,$$

if $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{m})$ is norm-Euclidean. It should not be too hard to complete the classification of norm-Euclidean pure quartic fields. The fields with $m = 2$, 5, 12 and 20 are meanwhile known to be norm-Euclidean, and those with $m = 7$, 28, 52 and 436 are not. This leaves the open cases $m = 3$, 13, 61 and 116.

The following theorem is due to Davenport and Swinnerton-Dyer, who also claim that the exponent 2/3 is best possible:

Theorem 6.9. *$M(K) < C \cdot |d|^{2/3}$ for quartic fields with unit rank 2.*

Many quartic fields with mixed signature that are known to be Euclidean have been found by Lenstra [114, 118] using the method described in Sect. 9 below; in his dissertation, G. Kacerovsky [105] contributed the five quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ with smallest discriminants. Finally Quême (1997) used a computer to find lots of new Euclidean fields of this type.

6.3. **Totally Real Quartic Fields.** Almost no negative results are known; using the method of Heilbronn [89], Egami [67] has shown that there are some classes of cyclic fields which are not norm-Euclidean. A few more examples can be found in Clark's thesis [36], for example the bicyclic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14}, \sqrt{22})$.

The norm-Euclidean real quartic fields were found by Godwin [80], Kacerovsky [105], Cohn and Deutsch [43], Lemmermeyer [110], Niklasch and Quême [150], and R. Quême [159].

7. Quintic Number Fields

Most norm-Euclidean quintic fields before 1997 have been found with Lenstra's method (see Section 9); exceptions are the fields discovered by Godwin [80] and Schroeppel [168].

R. Quême has shown that the following quintic fields are Euclidean: the 92 fields with one real prime and discriminants $0 > \operatorname{disc} K \geq -37532$ except possibly $\operatorname{disc} K = -18463, -24671$, 146 fields with three real primes and discriminants $0 < \operatorname{disc} K \leq 17232$ except possible the field with $\operatorname{disc} K = 16129$, and the 25 totally real fields with $0 < \operatorname{disc} K \leq 161121$.

8. Cyclotomic Fields

It is known that the rings $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ ($m \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$) have class number 1 if and only if

$$m = 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 40, 44, 45, 48, 60, 84;$$

among these rings, the following are known to be norm-Euclidean:

$$m = 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 21, 24,$$

and Lenstra [115] has shown that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{32})$ is not norm-Euclidean; his proof actually shows that $M(K) \geq \frac{97}{64}$. There are only a few Euclidean minima known so far:

m	1	3	4	8	12
$M(K)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

If we define $\Lambda(K) = \min\{N\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{a}$ is an integral ideal $\neq (0), \mathcal{O}_K\}$, then we have $M(K) = \Lambda(K)^{-1}$ in all these cases (of course we always have $M(K) \geq \Lambda(K)^{-1}$).

A masterful exposition of the interesting history of the Euclidean algorithm in cyclotomic fields can be found in Lenstra [122]; the names of the many mathematicians involved are displayed in the following table:

$(K:\mathbb{Q})$	m	
1	1	Euclid (ca. 300 B.C.)
2	3	Gauss, Wantzel (1847, 1848)
	4	Gauss (1801), Dirichlet (1844)
4	5	Kummer (1844), Cauchy [26], Ouspensky [154], Branchini [17], Chella [33], Landau [109], Lenstra (1975)
	8	Eisenstein (1850), Cauchy [26], Chella (1924), Lakein (1972), Masley (1975), Lenstra (1975)
	12	Eisenstein (1850), Cauchy [26], Chella (1924), Lakein (1972), Masley (1975), Lenstra (1975)
6	7	Kummer (1844), Cauchy [26], Chella (1924), Lenstra (1975)
	9	Cauchy [26], Chella (1924), Lenstra (1975)
8	15	Cauchy [26], Lenstra (1975)
	16	Ojala (1977)
	20	Lenstra (1975)
	24	Lenstra (1978)
10	11	Lenstra (1975)
12	13	McKenzie [104]

Kummer conjectured (in a letter to Kronecker) that the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, $p = 17$, 19 are also Euclidean, but this has not been verified so far. For more details on Euclid's algorithm in cyclotomic number fields, see Akhtar [1] and Philibert [156].

It was known for a long time that only a finite number of complex subfields of cyclotomic fields have class number 1, and recently they have been determined (K. Yamamura, The determination of the imaginary abelian number fields with class number one; Math. Comp. 62 (1994), 899–921); there are exactly 172 such fields. The Euclidean fields among them are known for $(K:\mathbb{Q}) = 2, 4$.

9. Exceptional Sequences

In 1974, Lenstra discovered that a modification of an idea originally due to Hurwitz [97, 98, 99] yields a new method to find Euclidean number fields K of high degree ($5 \leq (K:\mathbb{Q}) \leq 10$): he called a sequence $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_m$ an exceptional sequence of length m in K if the differences $\omega_i - \omega_j$, $i \neq j$, are units in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Let r (resp. $2s$) denote the number of real (resp. non-real) embeddings of K in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $d = |\mathrm{disc}\, K|$. Then Lenstra was able to prove

Theorem 9.1. *There exist constants $\alpha_{r,s} > 0$ with the following property: if K contains an exceptional sequence of length $m > \alpha_{r,s}\sqrt{d}$, then K is norm-Euclidean.*

Lenstra showed that the "Minkowski bounds"

$$\alpha_{r,s} = \frac{n!}{n^n}\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^s, \quad \pi = 3.14159\ldots, \quad n = (k:\mathbb{Q}) = r + 2s,$$

were good enough to find many new Euclidean fields, and that, for most of the values r, s, the bounds of Rogers are even better. For totally real fields, the $\alpha_{r,s}$ given by Lenstra have been sharpened by Niklasch and Quême [150].

For a given number field K, the length of exceptional sequences is bounded: if $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_m$ is an exceptional sequence of maximal length in K, then $\lambda(K) = m$ is called *Lenstra's constant.* If $\Lambda(K)$ denotes the minimal norm of an integral ideal $\neq (0), (1)$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$, then it is easily seen that $\lambda(K) \le \Lambda(K)$. Note the analogy $M(K) \ge \Lambda(K)^{-1}$; computations have confirmed that both inequalities tend to be equalities for fields with very small discriminants. Moreover, we know the values of $\lambda(K)$ and $\Lambda(K)$ for cyclotomic fields $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ of prime conductor: the decomposition law for abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ shows that $\Lambda(K) = p$. Lenstra [114, 118] found that in fact $\lambda(K) = \Lambda(K)$:

Proposition 9.2. *Let p be prime, $\zeta = \zeta_p$ a primitive p-th root of unity, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Then the sequence*

$$\omega_j = \frac{\zeta^j - 1}{\zeta - 1}, 1 \le j \le p,$$

shows that $\lambda(K) = \Lambda(K) = p$.

The analogous question for the maximal real subfields $k = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is not yet completely settled: here $\Lambda(k) = p$ unless $p \ge 5$ is a Fermat prime ($p = 2^{2^n} + 1$), where $\Lambda(k) = p - 1$. Lenstra [118] could show that $\lambda(k) \ge \frac{p+1}{2}$, and Leutbecher and Niklasch [131] improved this to $\lambda(k) \ge p - 1$. For all $p \le 17$ it is known that $\lambda(k) = \Lambda(k)$, but the general case is still open.

Similar questions can be asked for ray class fields of prime conductor over imaginary quadratic number fields; Mestre [139] used elliptic curves to construct exceptional sequences for such fields, but it is not known how far from best possible his bounds are.

Exceptional sequences have been studied in Lenstra [114, 118], Leutbecher and Martinet [129, 130] (these two articles contain particularly many open problems), Leutbecher [126, 127], Niklasch [147], Leutbecher and Niklasch [131], and Niklasch and Quême [150].

Even sequences where many (not all) differences are units can be used to show that a given number field is norm-Euclidean; see e.g. Leutbecher and Niklasch [131] or Leutbecher [128].

Lenstra's theorem was generalized by Lemmermeyer [110]: call $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_m$ a k-exceptional sequence of length m if $\omega_i - \omega_j$ is a nonzero element of the Motzkin set E_k for all $1 \le i < j \le m$. Then the following theorem gives a device to discover k-stage norm-Euclidean number fields:

Proposition 9.3. *If K contains a k-exceptional sequence of length $m \ge \alpha_{r,s}\sqrt{d}$, for the same constants $\alpha_{r,s}$ as in Thm. 9.1, then K is k-stage norm-Euclidean.*

As a corollary of Prop. 9.3, we conclude that every Euclidean number field is also k-stage norm-Euclidean for a suitable $k \ge 1$: choose any sequence $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_m$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $m \ge \alpha_{r,s}\sqrt{d}$; since $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ is Euclidean, we have $R = E_\infty(R)$ by Motzkin. Therefore, the $\omega_i - \omega_j$, $1 \le i < j \le m$, are non-zero elements of E_k for some $k \ge 1$, and R is k-stage Euclidean with respect to the norm.

It is not known if the length of exceptional k-sequences is finite for $k \ge 3$.

Another generalization of Thm. 9.1 is due to Blöhmer [13]; he considered sequences $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that the $N(\omega_i - \omega_j)$ are ± 1 or prime and showed that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is principal if $m \ge \alpha_{r,s}\sqrt{d}$.

10. Gauss' Measure Function

Let K be a number field; in order to prove that $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|$ is a Euclidean function on $\mathcal{O}_K$ it is sufficient to find a function $F : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

a) $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| \le F(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in K$;
b) for all $\xi \in K$, there is a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $F(\xi - \gamma) < 1$.

Define $\mathcal{M}_K(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n}\sum |\sigma(\alpha)|^2$, where $n = (K : \mathbb{Q})$ is the degree of K, and where the sum is over all n embeddings $\sigma : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Except for the factor $\frac{1}{n}$, this function was introduced by Gauss. It was then used by Lenstra [115], Ojala [151] and McKenzie [104] to find Euclidean cyclotomic fields. This function $\mathcal{M}$ has the following properties:

Proposition 10.1. *Let $K \subseteq L$ be number fields, and put $n = (K : \mathbb{Q})$. Then*

1. $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| \le \mathcal{M}_K(\alpha)^{n/2}$;
2. $\mathcal{M}_L(\alpha) - \mathcal{M}_L(\alpha - \beta) = \mathcal{M}_K\big(\frac{1}{(L:K)}\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha)\big) - \mathcal{M}_K\big(\frac{1}{(L:K)}\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) - \beta\big)$ *for all* $\alpha \in L$, $\beta \in K$.
3. *If* $L = K(\zeta_m)$, *then* $(L : K)\mathcal{M}_L(\alpha) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{M}_K\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha\zeta_m^j)\big)$.

These slight generalizations of results of Lenstra [115] can be found in [110]. If we put

$$F_K = \{\xi \in K : \mathcal{M}(\xi) \le \mathcal{M}(\xi - \gamma) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$$

and $c(K) = \sup\{\mathcal{M}_K(\xi) : \xi \in F_K\}$, then for every $\xi \in K$ there is a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\xi - \gamma) \le c(K)$. Thus K is norm-Euclidean if $c(K) < 1$; sometimes even $c(K) = 1$ is sufficient. Call $c' \in \mathbb{R}$ a usable bound if $c' \ge c(K)$, and if for all $\xi \in F_K$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\xi) = c'$ there exists a root of unity $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and a $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\xi - \gamma) = \mathcal{M}(\xi - \gamma - \zeta) = c'$. In particular, every $c' > c(K)$ is a usable bound.

Proposition 10.2. *If c' is a usable bound for K, then K is norm-Euclidean.*

The central result is

Theorem 10.3. *Let ζ_m be a primitive m^{th} root of unity, and $L = K(\zeta_m)$. Then $c(L) \le (L : K)c(K)$, and if c' is a usable bound, then so is $(L : K)c'$.*

It is an easy exercise to show that $c(\mathbb{Q}) = \frac{1}{4}$, and that $c(K)$ is a usable bound. This implies at once that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 3, 4, 5, 8, 12$. Lenstra [115] determined the exact value of $c(K)$ for cyclotomic fields of prime conductor:

Proposition 10.4. *For $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, p an odd prime, $c(K) = \frac{p+1}{12}$ is a usable bound.*

Thus $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ is norm-Euclidean for $m = 7, 11$ (directly) and for $m = 9, 15, 20$ (by using the subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)$).

Since $c(K) = M(K)$ for imaginary quadratic number fields, only $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}\,)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-4}\,)$ have $c(K) \le \frac{1}{2}$; by an elementary argument (related to Dirichlet's result 3.2) one can compute $c(K)$ for real quadratic fields:

Proposition 10.5. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$ be a real quadratic number field (m is assumed to be squarefree). Then*

$$c(K) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+m}{4}, & \text{if } m \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{(1+m)^2}{16m}, & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Thus $c(K) = \frac{9}{20}$ for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}\,)$, hence the fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-1}\,)$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-3}\,)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}\,)$ are norm-Euclidean.

We also know $c(K)$ for certain families of biquadratic number fields:

Proposition 10.6. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be squarefree and put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n}\,)$; then*

$$c(K) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+|m|}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1+|m|}{4|m|}\,|n|\right) & \text{if } \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n}, \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{mn})], \\ \frac{(1+|m|)^2}{16|m|}(1 + |n|) & \text{if } \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{m}), \sqrt{n}, \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{mn})], \end{cases}$$

and these bounds are usable.

This leaves the case $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{m}), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{n}), \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sqrt{m})(1 + \sqrt{n})]$ open; it is easy to see that $c(K) \le \frac{(1+|m|)^2}{16|m|}(1 + \frac{|n|}{4})$, and this implies that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{5}\,)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-7}\,)$ are norm-Euclidean.

Our last result on usable bounds is

Proposition 10.7. *Let $\mu = a + b\sqrt{-3}$ be a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, where a is odd and $a + b \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Put $p = a^2 + 3b^2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a + b\sqrt{-3}}\,)$; then $c(K) \le \frac{1}{12}(4 + \sqrt{p}\,)$.*

The best possible bound is not known here, but this result is good enough to show that $\mu = -1 + 2\sqrt{-3}$, $3 + 2\sqrt{-3}$, 5, $-5 + 2\sqrt{-3}$, -7, $-3 + 4\sqrt{-3}$, $7 - 2\sqrt{-3}$ yield norm-Euclidean fields.

We conjecture that there are only finitely many number fields with bounded $c(K)$.

11. Number Fields of Degree ≥ 6

Most of the norm-Euclidean number fields of degree ≥ 6 have been found with Lenstra's method; exceptions are some cyclotomic fields (cf. Sect. 8), those found by R. Quême [159], and the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{32}+\zeta_{32}^{-1})$ that was shown to be norm-Euclidean by J.-P. Cerri [30] in 1997. The discriminants and generating polynomials for the other fields can be found in the papers of Lenstra, Leutbecher, Martinet, Mestre, Niklasch, and Quême cited in Sect. 9.

We conclude our survey with the now traditional

Table of all known norm-Euclidean number fields (November 1999).

$r+s$ \ n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Σ
1	1	5											6
2		16	58	118									192
3			413	681	92	28							1214
4				257	146	37	39	45					524
5					25	12	26	65	92	50			270
6						7	4	5	2	0	0	1	19
7							0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8								1	0	0	0	0	1
Σ	1	21	471	1056	263	84	70	115	94	50	0	1	2226

Similar tables can be found in Lenstra [118], Leutbecher [126], Leutbecher and Niklasch [131].

Moreover, the following fields are known to be Euclidean with respect to a weighted norm (Clark [38], Niklasch [148], Cavallar and Lemmermeyer [29]):

TABLE 3

disc K	$M_1(K)$	$M_2(K)$	$N\mathfrak{p}$	$\mathrm{w}(\mathfrak{p})$
-367	1	$9/13$	13	$(13, 279/8)$
-351	1	$9/11$	11	$(11, \infty)$
-327	$101/99$	< 0.9	11	$(101/9, \infty)$
-199	1	< 0.47	7	$(7, \infty)$
985	1	$5/11$	5	$(5, \infty)$
1345	$7/5$	< 0.4	5	$(7, \infty)$
1825	$7/5$	< 0.5	5	$(7, \infty)$
1929	1	$3/7$	7	$(7, \infty)$
1937	1	$5/9$	3	$(3, \infty)$
2777	$5/3$	$17/19$	3	$\varnothing$
2836	$7/4$	$7/8$	2	$(7, \infty)$
2857	$8/5$	< 0.5	5	$(8, \infty)$
3305	$13/9$	$37/45$	3	$(\sqrt{13}, 5)$
3889	$13/7$	1	7	$(13, \infty)$
4193	$7/5$	< 0.65	5	$(7, \infty)$
4345	$7/5$	$11/13$	5	$(7, \infty)$
4360	$41/35$	$7/10$	7	$(41/5, \infty)$
5089	$17/11$	$7/11$	11	$(17, \infty)$
5281	1	< 0.6	5	$(5, \infty)$
5297	$21/11$	$23/33$	11	$(21, \infty)$
5329	$9/8$	$63/73$	2^3	$(9, 73)$
5369	$21/19$	$17/19$	19	$(21, \infty)$
5521	$23/7$	$8/7$	7	$(23, \infty)$
7273	$973/601$	$729/601$	601	$(973, \infty)$
7465	1	< 0.8	5	$(5, \infty)$
7481	1	< 0.7	5	$(5, \infty)$

As we have mentioned in Sect. 2, Clark has found a lot of totally real cubic and quartic number fields which are Euclidean with respect to functions different from the norm, for example the quartic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14}, \sqrt{22})$ (see Clark and Murty [40]).

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Prof. Sigrid Böge for her support and Prof. Michel Olivier for providing a table of complex cubic fields; I am also deeply indebted to Gerhard Niklasch, Michael Zieve, and David Clark for having read preliminary drafts of this survey and for having corrected several mistakes. Last not least I thank Stefania Cavallar, Roland Quême, Rich Schroeppel and Paul Voutier for their help.

12. Tables

The following table gives the minima $M_1(K)$ for all real quadratic number fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, $m \le 102$:

m	M_1	m	M_1	m	M_1
5	1/4	2	1/2	47	253/94
13	1/3	3	1/2	51	287/102
17	1/2	6	3/4	55	9/4
21	5/7	7	9/14	58	3/2
29	4/5	10	3/2	59	125/59
33	29/44	11	19/22	62	13/4
37	3/4	14	5/4	66	15/4
41	23/32	15	3/2	67	341/162
53	9/7	19	170/171	70	891/500
57	14/19	22	27/22	71	7393/3479
61	1611/1525	23	77/46	74	5/2
65	1	26	5/2	78	7/2
69	25/23	30	3/2	79	585/158
73	1541/2136	31	45/31	82	9/2
77	19/11	34	9/4	83	631/166
85	16/9	35	5/2	86	10030/5203
89	1004287/1000004	38	11/4	87	169/58
93	44/31	39	5/2	91	5/2
97	33679354/31404817	42	7/4	94	4708623/2143294
101	5/4	43	11829/6962	95	7/2
		46	79877/48668	102	19/4

To the best of my knowledge, there are no minima known for fields beyond this limit, except for some sequences of fields like $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, $m = n^2 \pm r$, $r|4$ etc. (compare 3.3).

This is what we know about minima for the 2-stage algorithm:

m	$M^2(K)$	B_1	$B_2 = B_\infty$	Eucl. depth
6	1/4	$\varnothing$	$\varnothing$	1
10	1	$\{(0, \frac{1}{2})\}$	$\{(0, \frac{1}{2})\}$	1
14	1/4	$\{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$	$\varnothing$	2
15	1	?	$\{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$	2
26	1	?	$\{(0, \frac{1}{2})\}$	2
30	3/2	?	$\{(0, \frac{1}{2})\}$	2
34	1	?	$\{(\pm\frac{1}{3}, \pm\frac{1}{3})\}$	2
35	7/5	?	$\{(0, \pm\frac{2}{5}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$	2
39	5/2	?	$\{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$	2
65	1	$\{(\frac{1}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4})\}$	$\{(\frac{1}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4})\}$	1
85	1	?	$\{(\pm\frac{1}{6}, \pm\frac{1}{6})\}$	2

Only the classes mod $\mathcal{O}_K$ of the sets B_1 and $B_2 = B_\infty$ are given.

The table below gives the known Euclidean minima for complex cubic number fields with $|\mathrm{disc}\, K| \le 971$:

d_K		$M_1(K)$	$M_2(K)$	d_K		$M_1(K)$	$M_2(K)$
-23	E	$1/5$	$\ge 1/7$	-116	E	$1/2$	
-31	E	$1/3$	$< 1/4$	-135	E	$3/5$	
-44	E	$1/2$	$1/4$	-139	E	$1/2$	
-59	E	$1/2$	$1/4$	-140	E	$1/2$	
-76	E	$1/2$	$1/3$	-152	E	$1/2$	
-83	E	$1/2$		-172	E	$3/4$	
-87	E	$1/3$		-175	E	$3/5$	
-104	E	$1/2$		-199	N	1	< 0.47
-107	E	$1/2$		-200	E	$1/2$	
-108	E	$1/2$	$1/3$	-204	E	$61/116$	
-211	E	$59/106$		-283	H	$3/2$	
-212	E	$5/8$		-300	E	$23/30$	
-216	E	$1/2$		-307	N	$9/8$	$3/4$
-231	E	$7/9$		-324	E	$23/36$	$7/11$
-239	E	$8/9$		-327	N	$101/99$	
-243	E	$11/18$		-331	H	$3/2$	
-244	E	$1/2$		-335	N	1	
-247	E	$5/7$		-339	N	$9/8$	1
-255	E	$13/15$		-351	N	1	$9/11$
-268	E	$13/22$	$\ge 6/11$	-356	E	$7/8$	
-364	N	$9/8$		-451	E	$41/48$	
-367	N	1	$9/13$	-459	N	$9/8$	
-379	E	$397/648$	$\ge 11/18$	-460	E	$43/50$	$23/30$
-411	E	$17/22$	$\ge 8/11$	-472	E	$46/61$	
-419	E	$4/5$		-484	E	$59/76$	
-424	E	$19/27$	$\ge 53/76$	-491	H	2	≥ 1
-431	E	$43/64$		-492	E	$25/32$	
-436	N	$79/78$		-499	E	$23/27$	
-439	N	$17/15$	≥ 1	-503	E	$\ge 307/544$	
-440	E	$737/1090$		-515	E	$4/5$	$\ge 11/14$
-516	E	$36/53$		-628	E	$625/664$	
-519	E	$44712/45747$		-643	H	$25/16$	
-524	N	$5/4$		-648	H	$5/4$	
-527	N	$13/7$		-652	E	$21/23$	
-543	E	$\ge 158664/170633$		-655	N	$40/23$	
-547	N	$9/8$		-671	N	$25/19$	
-563	H	2		-675	N	$9/8$	
-567	N	$25/17$	$\ge 19/17$	-676	H	$7/4$	
-588	H	$5/2$		-679	N	$9/8$	
-620	N	$13/8$	$5/4$	-680	N	$(*)$	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
-687	E	$937/945$	-751	H	$25/9$
-695	N	$25/13$	-755	N	1
-696	E	$186/199$	-756	N	$306/293$
-707	N	$271/270$	-759	N	$11/8$
-716	N	$121/109$	-771	E	$223/252$
-728	E	(§)	-780	N	$499/498$
-731	H	2	-804	N	$\geq 2771/2568$
-743	N	1	-808	N	$\geq 2031/1964$
-744	E	$992/999$	-812	N	$44/31$
-748	N	$62/51$	-815	E	$24543/25325$
-823	N	$37/25$	-891	H	$7/2$
-835	N	$110353/106265$	-907	N	$\geq 113/108$
-839	N	$25/17$	-908	N	$227/91$
-843	N	$134/131$	-931	H	$7/2$
-856	N	$\geq 454951/428544$	-932	N	$68425/56788$
-863	N	$29/11$	-940	N	$407/358$
-867	N	$1115/1028$	-948	N	$\geq 2120/1959$
-876	E	$353/372$	-959	N	$19/7$
-883	N	$49/47$	-964	N	$\geq 132/127$
-888	N	$2715/2602$	-971	N	$829/778$
-972	N	$5/4$	-1036	N	$133/101$
-972	N	$179/162$	-1048	N	$617/488$
-980	H	$7/4$	-1055	N	$\geq 1483/1370$
-983	N	$31/11$	-1059	N	$2381/1854$
-984	N	$\geq 22367/21296$	-1067	N	$\geq 160/121$
-996	N	$\geq 6713/5646$	-1068	N	$\geq 1499/1350$
-999	N	$\geq 294557/272112$	-1075	N	$777/680$
-1004	N	$3167/2298$	-1080	N	$\geq 10253/1000$
-1007	N	$41/23$	-1083	H	$3/2$
-1011	N	$271/207$	-1087	N	$15/8$
-1096	N	$\geq 207/199$	-1176	H	$4/3$
-1099	H	$47/26$	-1187	N	$11/8$
-1107	H	2	-1188	N	$\geq 22319/14072$
-1108	N	$\geq 4995/4384$	-1191	N	$11/9$
-1135	N	$5115/4033$	-1192	H	$265/168$
-1144	N	$4867/3222$	-1196	N	$197/94$
-1147	N	$136/99$	-1203	N	$\geq 4775/4608$
-1164	N	$\geq 1064/918$	-1207	N	$13/9$
-1172	N	$572/443$	-1208	N	$845/656$
-1175	N	$37/13$	-1219	N	$\geq 709/622$

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
−1228	H	7/2	−1291	N	196/139
−1228	H	9/2	−1292	N	98/53
−1228	H	9/2	−1295	N	11/7
−1231	N	15/8	−1300	N	1381/978
−1235	N	283/169	−1315	N	249/157
−1236	N	$\geq$ 5017/4246	−1316	N	931/601
−1255	H	$\geq$ 8/5	−1319	N	49/17
−1259	N	13/8	−1323	H	5/2
−1267	N	$\geq$ 1503/1048	−1327	N	56/31
−1272	N	$\geq$ 16648/15987	−1336	N	967/844
−1347	N	47441858/35095129	−1399	H	37/9
−1351	N	81/43	−1407	N	15/8
−1355	N	$\geq$ 95/79	−1419	N	1903/1406
−1356	H	7/4	−1420	N	1193/561
−1356	H	9/4	−1423	H	25/7
−1356	H	5/3	−1427	N	41236/26029
−1363	N	892/663	−1431	N	119/59
−1371	H	9/2	−1432	N	$\geq$ 46751/33530
−1383	N	227/131	−1439	N	$\geq$ 51777/550016
−1388	N	10711/5780	−1448	N	9395/6268
−1452	N	3425/1947	−1563	H	9/2
−1464	N	$\geq$ 98048/93807	−1567	N	311/171
−1480	N	$\geq$ 5801/3930	−1572	H	7/4
−1484	N	$\geq$ 14503/10874	−1579	N	1197/824
−1491	N	$\geq$ 17053/12018	−1580	N	223/109
−1512	N	$\geq$ 49952/32217	−1583	N	1049/337
−1515	N	$\geq$ 24182/17025	−1588	H	345/172
−1539	N	15906827/11384640	−1599	N	13/8
−1547	N	250/149	−1603	N	812/513
−1559	N	$\geq$ 150079/137093	−1607	N	
−1612	N	1162/645	−1704	N	603881/403974
−1615	N	200/107	−1708	N	4861/2662
−1619	N	122771/77458	−1720	N	$\geq$ 703375/471968
−1620	N	17/8	−1727		
−1647	N	89/47	−1732	N	15/8
−1668			−1736		
−1675	N	4623/2860	−1740		
−1687	N	349/159	−1743	N	1127/491
−1691	N	47834/34207	−1751	N	$\geq$ 361/257
−1700	N	2011/1126	−1755	N	655/412

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
-1759	N	$19/8$	-1844		
-1763			-1868	N	$351/172$
-1772	N	$359/160$	-1871	N	$61/11$
-1807	N	$71/39$	-1879	N	2
-1812			-1892	N	$\geq 42925/25304$
-1815	N	$\geq 1132813/702413$	-1895	N	$73/25$
-1823	N	$49/11$	-1915	N	$28160/15201$
-1836	N	$20/7$	-1927	N	$73/15$
-1836	N	$9/2$	-1931	N	$9/4$
-1843	N	$3335/1852$	-1932	N	$\geq 8884/5931$
-1951			-2003	N	$475/224$
-1955	N	$2312/1115$	-2023	N	$519/289$
-1959	N	$2599/1859$	-2028	N	$9/4$
-1960	N	$109/60$	-2036	N	$\geq 366301/210500$
-1963	N	$9/2$	-2039	N	$71/13$
-1967	N	$1384/777$	-2047	N	$125/71$
-1971	N	$668/339$	-2051	N	$59/19$
-1972	N	$5/2$	-2052	N	$153887/85938$
-1988	N	$17689/10652$	-2063		
-1999	N	$7/2$	-2068	N	3

In this table as well as in those below, E means that the corresponding field is Euclidean (more exactly: that $M(K) < 0.999$), N indicates that it is not norm-Euclidean although it has class number 1, and H that the field has class number > 1. Instead of upper bounds on $M(K)$ we have sometimes given lower bounds, especially in those cases where we conjecture them to be exact without being able to prove this. The table is ordered in the same way as those at Bordeaux (i.e. for fields with the same discriminants, such as -972 or -1228).

(*) The Euclidean minimum $M(K)$ for the field with $\operatorname{disc} K = -680$ is

$$M(K) = \frac{81956632}{81182612}.$$

It is attained at the points

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{828394}(152556 - 267595\alpha - 332013\alpha^2),$$
$$P_2 = \frac{1}{828394}(-273732 + 188225\alpha + 300357\alpha^2),$$
$$P_3 = \frac{1}{828394}(-374312 + 21305\alpha + 407143\alpha^2).$$

(§) The Euclidean minimum $M(K)$ for the field with $\operatorname{disc} K = -728$ is

$$M(K) = \frac{7483645229}{8158377554}.$$

Euclidean minima of totally real cubic number fields

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
49	E	1/7	469	E	1/2	788	E	1/2
81	E	1/3	473	E	1/3	837	E	1/2
148	E	1/2	564	E	1/2	892	E	1/2
169	E	5/13	568	E	1/2	940	E	1/2
229	E	1/2	621	E	1/2	961	E	16/31
257	E	1/3	697	E	13/31	985	N	1
316	E	1/2	733	E	1/2	993	E	31/63
321	E	1/3	756	E	1/2	1016	E	1/2
361	E	8/19	761	E	1/3	1076	E	1/2
404	E	1/2	785	E	3/5	1101	E	1/2
1129	E	1/3	1425	E	13/15	1708	E	1/2
1229	E	16/29	1436	E	1/2	1765	E	13/20
1257	E	9/25	1489	E	29/43	1772	E	1/2
1300	E	7/10	1492	E	1/2	1825	N	7/5
1304	E	1/2	1509	E	1/2	1849	E	22/43
1345	N	7/5	1524	E	1/2	1901	E	1/2
1369	E	31/37	1556	E	3/4	1929	N	1
1373	E	1/2	1573	E	19/22	1937	N	1
1384	E	11/16	1593	E	< 0.36	1940	E	1/2
1396	E	1/2	1620	E	1/2	1944	E	1/2
1957	H	2	2241	E	3/5	2636	E	1/2
2021	E	1/2	2292	E	1/2	2673	E	64/81
2024	E	1/2	2296	E	1/2	2677	E	139/224
2057	E	9/11	2300	E	27/40	2700	E	83/120
2089	E	1/2	2349	E	11/18	2708	E	1/2
2101	E	1/2	2429	E	1/2	2713	E	< 0.5
2177	E	< 0.39	2505	E	5/9	2777	H	5/3
2213	E	1/2	2557	E	1/2	2804	E	1/2
2228	E	1/2	2589	E	9/16	2808	E	1/2
2233	E	56/121	2597	H	5/2	2836	N	7/4
2857	N	8/5	3137	E	< 0.59	3325	E	
2917	E	8/13	3144	E	1/2	3356	E	
2920	E	13/20	3173	E	< 0.59	3368	E	
2941	E	1/2	3221	E	1/2	3496	E	
2981	E	1/2	3229	E	1/2	3508	E	
2993	E	< 0.49	3252	E		3540	E	
3021	E	1/2	3261	E		3569	E	
3028	E	1/2	3281	E		3576	E	
3124	E	1/2	3305	N	13/9	3580	E	
3132	E	1/2	3316	E		3592	E	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
3596	E		3892	E		4104	E	< 0.55
3604	E		3941	E		4193	N	7/5
3624	E		3957	E		4212	H	7/2
3721	E	121/183	3969	H	7/3	4281	E	< 0.7
3732	E		3969	H	1	4312	N	11/4
3736	E		3973	E	1/2	4344	E	< 0.7
3753	E		3981	H	3/2	4345	N	7/5
3873	E		3988	N	19/8	4360	N	41/35
3877	E		4001	E	7/9	4364	E	
3889	N	13/7	4065	E	3/5	4409	E	
4481	E		4729	N	149/73	4860	E	
4489	E	53/67	4749	E		4892	E	
4493	E		4764	E	17/24	4933	E	
4596	E		4765	E		5073	E	
4597	E		4825	E		5081	E	
4628	E		4841	E		5089	N	17/11
4641	E		4844	E		5172	E	
4649	E		4852	E		5204	E	
4684	N	13/8	4853	E		5261	E	
4692	E	< 0.7	4857	E		5281	N	1
5297	N	21/11	5468	E		5629	E	
5300	E		5477	E		5637	E	
5325	E		5497	E		5684	N	9/2
5329	N	9/8	5521	N	23/7	5685	E	
5333	E		5529	E		5697	E	
5353	E		5556	E		5724	E	
5356	E		5613	E		5741	E	
5368	E		5620	E		5780	E	
5369	N	21/19	5621	E		5821	E	
5373	E		5624	E		5853	E	
5901	E		6153	E		6420	E	
5912	E		6184	E		6452	N	5/4
5925	E		6185	N	17/15	6453	E	
5940	E		6209	E		6508	E	
5980	E		6237	E		6549	E	
6053	E		6241	N	223/79	6556	E	
6088	E		6268	E		6557	E	
6092	E		6289	N	1	6584	E	
6108	E		6396	E		6588	E	
6133	E		6401	N	35/27	6601	E	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
6616	E		6901	E		7220	H	9/4
6637	E		6940	E		7224	E	
6669	E		6997	E		7244	E	
6681	E		7028	E		7249	E	
6685	E		7032	E		7273	N	973/601
6728	E		7053	H	2	7388	E	
6809	H	7/3	7057	E		7404	E	
6856	E		7084	E		7425	E	
6868	N	5/4	7117	E		7441	E	
6885	N	67/40	7148	E		7444	E	
7453	E		7601	E		7745	N	7/5
7464	E		7628	E		7753	E	
7465	N	1	7636	E		7796	E	
7473	E	< 0.89	7641	E		7816	E	
7481	N	1	7665	E	21/25	7825	E	
7528	N	17/14	7668	E		7873	N	29/13
7537	N	227/91	7673	E		7881	E	
7540	E		7700	E		7892	E	
7572	E		7709	E		7925	E	
7573	N	41/32	7721	E		7948	E	
8017	E		8281	H	9/7	8532	E	
8057	E		8285	E		8545	E	
8069	H	9/2	8289	E		8556	E	
8092	E		8308	N	67/50	8572	N	17/16
8113	N	13/7	8372	E		8597	E	4/5
8173	E		8373	E		8628	E	
8220	E		8396	E		8637	E	
8276	E		8468	H	5/3	8680	E	
8277	E		8472	E		8692	N	11/10
8281	H	23/16	8505	E		8713	E	
8745	E		8920	E		9217	N	17/11
8761	E		9044	E		9281	E	
8769	E		9045	E		9293	E	
8789	N	23/12	9073	N	7/5	9300	E	
8828	E		9076	E		9301	H	2
8829	N	3/2	9133	E		9325	N	13/8
8837	E		9149	E		9364	E	
8884	E		9153	E		9409	N	337/97
8905	N	8/5	9192	E		9413	E	
8909	E		9204	E		9428	E	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
9460	E		9812	E		10004	E	
9517	E		9813	E		10040	E	
9565	E	$\geq 4/5$	9833	E		10069	E	
9612	E		9836	E		10077	E	
9653	N	35/12	9869	E		10164	N	27/22
9676	E		9897	E		10172	E	
9745	N	67/23	9905	N	9/5	10200	E	
9749	E		9937	E		10216	N	7/4
9800	H	9/5	9980	E		10233	E	
9805	E		9996	H	4/3	10260	E	
10261	N	11/7	10540	E		10721	E	
10273	H	27/7	10552	E		10733	E	
10292	E	9/10	10561	N	11/7	10740	E	
10301	E		10580	E		10812	E	
10309	H	11/2	10609	E		10844	E	
10324	E		10636	E		10865	E	
10333	N	1	10641	E		10868	E	
10353	E		10661	E		10889	H	13/5
10457	N	27/25	10664	E		10904	E	
10484	E		10712	E		10929	E	
10941	E		11085	E		11316	E	
10949	E		11092	E		11321	E	
10997	E		11097	N	11/9	11324	H	3/2
11013	E		11109	E		11348	H	9/4
11020	E		11124	N	5/4	11380	E	
11028	E		11137	E		11401	N	167/151
11032	E		11188	N	5/4	11417	H	11/3
11045	E		11197	H	31/8	11421	N	49/36
11057	E		11289	E		11448	E	
11060	E		11293	E		11476	E	
11505	E		11697	E		11853	E	
11545	E		11705	N	213/193	11880	E	
11576	E		11757	E		11881	E	
11608	E		11772	E		11884	E	
11637	N	5/4	11777	N	27/17	11885	E	
11641	E		11789	E		11965	N	23/8
11656	N	11/8	11821	N	23/16	12001	E	
11665	E		11829	E		12065	N	1
11672	E		11848	E		12081	N	152/149
11688	E		11849	N	19/9	12092	E	

12140	E	< 0.85	12325	E		12657	E	< 0.9
12177	E		12333	E		12660	N	23/18
12188	E		12401	E	< 0.75	12664	E	
12197	N	3/2	12409	E	< 0.9	12685	E	
12216	E	< 0.95	12436	E		12700	E	37/40
12248	E		12441	E	781/837	12724	E	< 0.95
12269	E		12552	E	< 0.9	12744	E	< 0.8
12284	E		12577	N	49/19	12765	E	23/20
12309	E		12632	E		12788	E	
12317	N	25/22	12652	E		12821	E	< 0.97

Euclidean minima of totally complex quartic number fields

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
117	E	$\geq 1/7$	333	E		592	E	
125	E	$\geq 1/5$	392	E		605	E	
144	E	$1/4$	400	E	$5/16$	656	E	$1/2$
189	E	$\geq 1/3$	432	E		657	E	
225	E	$1/4$	441	E	$4/9$	697	E	
229	E		512	E	$1/2$	761	E	
256	E	$1/2$	513	E		784	E	$1/2$
257	E		549	E		788	E	
272	E	$1/4$	576	E		832	E	
320	E	$1/2$	576	E		837	E	
873	E		1076	E		1229	E	
892	E		1088	E		1257	E	
981	E		1088	E		1264	E	
985	E		1089	E		1280	N	$5/4$
1008	E		1129	E		1372	E	
1008	E		1161	E		1384	E	
1016	E		1168	E		1396	E	
1025	E		1197	E		1413	E	
1040	E		1197	E		1421	E	
1040	E		1225	E	$9/16$	1424	E	
1436	N		1600	E	$11/16$	1825	E	
1489	E		1616	E		1856	E	
1492	E		1629	E		1872	H	
1509	E		1728	E		1929	E	
1521	H	1	1737	E		1936	N	$5/4$
1525	E		1765	E		1937	E	
1552	E		1805	N		1940	E	
1556	E		1808	E		1953	E	
1568	E		1809	E		1953	E	
1593	E		1813	E		2021	E	
2048	E		2169	E		2312	E	
2048	N		2192	E		2320	E	
2057	E		2197	E		2320		
2061	E		2213			2349		
2089			2256	E		2368	E	
2112	E		2272	E		2429	E	
2112			2292	E		2448	H	
2133	E		2296	E		2457	H	
2156			2304	H		2457	H	
2156	E		2304	H	$5/2$	2493		

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
2560	N	5/4	2709			2889	H	
2560	N	5/4	2725	E		2917		
2597			2736			2920		
2597	E		2736	E		2925	H	
2601	E	13/16	2744			2960		
2624			2781	E		2960		
2673	E		2817			2981		
2677			2836	E		3024	E	
2704	N		2873			3024	H	
2709			2880	H		3025	H	
3028			3221	E		3429		
3033	E		3229			3528	H	
3072			3249	E	$\geq 7/9$	3573		
3072			3261			3600	H	
3088	N		3305			3600	H	
3136	H	9/8	3316	E		3600	H	
3136			3328			3624		
3136			3357			3625	H	
3141	E		3368	E		3636	H	
3173	E		3392	E	$\geq 50/53$	3648		
3648			3773			4001		
3681			3789			4032		
3700	H		3856			4032		
3725	N		3877			4077		
3728	N		3889			4112		
3732			3897	H		4113		
3753			3904	N		4212		
3753			3973			4221		
3757	E		3988			4221		
3760			3993			4225	H	

Euclidean minima of quartic number fields of mixed signature

d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$
−275	E	−688	E	−1192	E
−283	E	−731	E	−1255	E
−331	E	−751	E	−1323	E
−400	E	−775	E	−1328	E
−448	E	−848	E	−1371	E
−475	E	−976	E	−1375	E
−491	E	−1024	E	−1399	E
−507	E	−1099	E	−1423	E
−563	E	−1107	E	−1424	E
−643	E	−1156	E	−1456	E
−1472	E	−1823	E	−2000	E
−1472	E	−1856	E	−2048	E
−1475	E	−1879	E	−2051	E
−1588	E	−1927	E	−2068	E
−1600	E	−1931	E	−2092	E
−1728	E	−1963	E	−2096	E
−1732	E	−1968	E	−2116	E
−1775	E	−1975	E	−2151	E
−1791	E	−1984	E	−2183	E
−1792	E	−1984	E	−2191	E
−2219	E	−2480	E	−2764	E
−2243	E	−2488	E	−2767	E
−2284	E	−2563	E	−2787	E
−2312	E	−2608	E	−2816	E
−2319	E	−2619	E	−2824	E
−2327	E	−2687	E	−2843	E
−2375	E	−2696	E	−2859	E
−2412	E	−2704	E	−2911	E
−2443	E	−2736	E	−2943	E
−2475	E	−2763	E	−3008	E
−3052	E	−3284	E	−3475	E
−3119	E	−3303	E	−3504	E
−3163	E	−3312	E	−3544	E
−3175	E	−3312	E	−3559	E
−3188	E	−3376	E	−3571	E
−3216	E	−3407	E	−3600	E
−3223	E	−3411	E	−3632	E
−3267	E	−3424	E	−3723	E
−3271	E	−3431	E	−3747	E
−3275	E	−3436	E	−3751	E

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
-3775	E		-3951	E		-4152	E	
-3776	E		-3967	E		-4192	E	
-3776	E		-3984	E		-4204	E	
-3816	E		-4027	E		-4275	E	
-3875	E		-4027	E		-4287	E	
-3887	E		-4032	E		-4319	E	
-3888	E		-4063	E		-4384	E	
-3891	E		-4103	E		-4400	E	
-3899	E		-4107	E		-4423	E	
-3919	E		-4108	E		-4432	E	
-4475	E		-4615	E		-4775	E	
-4491	E		-4648	E		-4780	E	
-4492	E		-4652	E		-4799	E	
-4503	E		-4663	E		-4832	E	
-4544	E		-4671	E		-4864	E	
-4564	N		-4675	E		-4907	E	
-4568	E		-4703	E		-4944	E	
-4595	E		-4744	E		-4975	E	
-4608	E		-4748	E		-4979	E	
-4608	E		-4752	E		-4999	E	
-5036	E		-5348	E		-5552	E	
-5056	E		-5371	E		-5568	E	
-5184	E		-5424	E		-5591	E	
-5224	E		-5431	E		-5595	E	
-5231	E		-5432	E		-5616	E	
-5243	E		-5448	E		-5616	E	
-5260	E		-5476	E		-5636	E	
-5275	E		-5488	N	$\geq 9/7$	-5644	E	
-5323	E		-5491	E		-5675	E	
-5343	E		-5548	E		-5732	N	
-5748	E		-5987	E		-6331	E	
-5755	E		-6043			-6336	E	
-5792	E		-6064	E		-6336	E	
-5816	E		-6071	E		-6343	E	
-5867	E		-6075	E		-6371	E	
-5887	E		-6079	E		-6387	E	
-5888	E		-6091	E		-6399	E	
-5932	E		-6199	E		-6411	E	
-5963	E		-6275	E		-6444	E	
-5975	E		-6283	E		-6480		

d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$
−6484	E	−6656	E	−6775	E
−6507	E	−6664	E	−6791	E
−6571	E	−6687	E	−6800	E
−6571	E	−6691	E	−6848	E
−6571	E	−6700	E	−6848	H
−6591	E	−6724	E	−6863	E
−6592	E	−6739	E	−6880	E
−6603	E	−6763	E	−6883	E
−6604	E	−6768	E	−6883	E
−6611	E	−6768	E	−6883	
−6896	E	−6987	E	−7344	E
−6912		−7087		−7351	E
−6912	E	−7088	E	−7375	E
−6924	E	−7155	E	−7407	E
−6928	E	−7199	E	−7412	E
−6928	E	−7259	E	−7463	E
−6939	E	−7267	E	−7472	E
−6967	E	−7331	E	−7492	E
−6975	E	−7335	E	−7528	E
−6976	E	−7344	E	−7532	E
−7571	E	−7732	E	−7948	E
−7600	E	−7744	E	−7952	E
−7616	E	−7771	E	−7971	E
−7616	E	−7775	E	−7975	H
−7652	E	−7779	E	−7975	H
−7668	E	−7803	E	−7988	E
−7692	E	−7864	E	−8000	E
−7699	E	−7912	E	−8048	E
−7703	E	−7936	E	−8108	E
−7715	E	−7947	E	−8112	E
−8123		−8207	E	−8492	
−8127	E	−8208	E	−8571	E
−8128	E	−8236	E	−8579	E
−8131	E	−8248	E	−8587	E
−8152	E	−8256	E	−8591	E
−8172		−8275	E	−8619	E
−8180	E	−8287	E	−8619	E
−8183		−8303	E	−8624	E
−8196	E	−8375	H	−8640	E
−8203	E	−8392	E	−8640	E

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
−8640	E		−8752	E		−8912	E	
−8667			−8752	E		−8960	E	
−8672	E		−8752	E		−8972	E	
−8676	E		−8763	E		−8975	E	
−8684	E		−8787	E		−9004	E	
−8707	E		−8856	E		−9008	E	
−8712	E		−8867	E		−9008	E	
−8712	E		−8875	E		−9012	E	
−8724	E		−8896			−9015	E	
−8739	E		−8896	E		−9019	E	
−9028	E		−9187	E		−9408	E	
−9036	E		−9216			−9408	E	
−9059	E		−9247			−9423	E	
−9071	E		−9248			−9452	E	
−9099	E		−9251	E		−9463	E	
−9127			−9260	E		−9475	E	
−9136	E		−9356	E		−9484	E	
−9136	E		−9364			−9488	E	
−9155	E		−9384	E		−9491	E	
−9163			−9395	E		−9519	E	
−9527	E		−9728	E		−9896	E	
−9531	E		−9747	E		−9899	E	
−9583	E		−9748	E		−9972		
−9612	E		−9751	E		−10048	E	
−9663	E		−9783	E		−10059	E	
−9664	E		−9823	E		−10064	E	
−9667	E		−9843	E		−10079		
−9680	E		−9875	E		−10091		
−9687	E		−9887	E		−10120	E	
−9704	E		−9888	E		−10152	E	
−10156	E		−10288	E		−10476	E	
−10160	E		−10288	E		−10531		
−10163	E		−10296	E		−10559	E	
−10187			−10339	E		−10611	E	
−10192	E		−10348	E		−10640	E	
−10224	E		−10355			−10688		
−10224	E		−10367	E		−10691	E	
−10247	E		−10404	E		−10704	E	
−10252	E		−10475	H		−10719	E	
−10287	E		−10475	E		−10720	E	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
−10732	E		−10832	E		−11003	E	
−10735	E		−10859			−11043	E	
−10751	E		−10895	E		−11052	E	
−10771	E		−10912	E		−11112	E	
−10775	E		−10951	E		−11127	E	
−10796	E		−10960	E		−11155	E	
−10800	H		−10975	H		−11163	E	
−10800	E		−10975	E		−11200	E	
−10816	E		−11003	E		−11200	H	
−10816	E		−11003	E		−11252	E	
−11275	E		−11440	E		−11627		
−11275	E		−11448	E		−11675		
−11279	E		−11500	H		−11731	E	
−11280			−11552	E		−11812	E	
−11300	E		−11568			−11823	E	
−11403			−11588	E		−11843	E	
−11404	E		−11596	E		−11884	E	
−11407	E		−11600	H		−11907		
−11408	E		−11600	H		−11943	E	
−11419	E		−11607	E		−11944	E	
−11948	E							

Euclidean minima of real quartic number fields

d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$	d_K	$M(K)$
725	E	2777	E	5125	E
1125	E	3600	E	5225	E
1600	E	3981	E	5725	E
1957	E	4205	E	5744	E
2000	E	4225	E	6125	E
2048	E	4352	E	6224	E
2225	E	4400	E	6809	E
2304	E	4525	E	7053	E
2525	E	4752	E	7056	E
2624	E	4913	E	7168	E
7225	E	8525	E	10025	E
7232	E	8725	E	10273	E
7488	E	8768	E	10304	E
7537	E	8789	E	10309	E
7600	E	8957	E	10512	E
7625	E	9225	E	10816	E
8000	E	9248	E	10889	E
8069	E	9301	E	11025	E
8112	E	9792	E	11197	E
8468	E	9909	E	11324	E
11344	E	13068	E	14013	E
11348	E	13448	E	14197	E
11525	E	13525	E	14272	E
11661	E	13625	E	14336	E
12197	E	13676	E	14400	E
12357	E	13725		14656	E
12400	E	13768	E	14725	E
12544	E	13824	E	15125	
12725	E	13888	E	15188	E
13025	E	13968	E	15317	E
15529	E	17069	E	18496	E
15952	E	17417	E	18625	E
16225	E	17424	E	18688	E
16317	E	17428	E	18736	E
16357	E	17600	E	19025	E
16400		17609	E	19225	E
16448	E	17725		19429	E
16448	E	17989	E	19525	E
16609	E	18097	E	19600	E
16997	E	18432		19664	E

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
19773	E		21208	E		22221	E	
19796	E		21308	E		22545	E	
19821	E		21312	E		22592	E	
20032	E		21469	E		22676	E	
20225	E		21568	E		22784	E	
20308	E		21725	E		22896	E	
20808	E		21737	E		23252	E	
21025	H		21801	E		23297	E	
21056	E		21964	E		23301	E	
21200	E		22000			23377	E	
23525			24525	E		25808	E	
23552	E		24749	E		25857	E	
23600	E		24832	E		25893	E	
23665	E		24917	E		25961	E	
23724	E		25088	E		26032	E	
24197	E		25225	E		26125	E	
24336			25488	E		26176	E	
24400	E		25492	E		26224	E	
24417	E		25525	E		26225		
24437	E		25717	E		26525	E	
26541	E		27792	E		29248	E	
26569	E		28025	E		29268	E	
26825	E		28224	E		29813	E	
26873	E		28224	E		29952	E	
27004	E		28400			30056	E	
27225	E		28473	E		30056	E	
27329	E		28669	E		30125		
27472	E		28677	E		30273	E	
27648	E		28749	E		30400	E	
27725			29237	E		30512	E	
30544	E		31808	E		33344	E	
30725	E		32081	E		33424	E	
30776	E		32225			33428	E	
30972	E		32368	E		33452	E	
30976	E		32448	E		33489	E	
31225	E		32625			33525	E	
31288	E		32737	E		33625		
31532	E		32821	E		33709	E	
31600	E		32832	E		33725		
31744	E		33097	E		33813	E	

d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$	d_K		$M(K)$
33844	E		35152			36416	E	
34025	E		35225			36517	E	
34196	E		35312	E		36677	E	
34225	E		35392	E		36761	E	
34704	E		35401	E		36928	E	
34816			35537	E		37108	E	
34868	E		35537	E		37229	E	
35013	E		35537	E		37349	E	
35125	E		35856	E		37485	E	
35136	E		36025	E		37485	E	
37489	E		39528	E				
37525								
37773	E							
37885	E							
37952								
38000								
38225								
38720	E							
38725								
38864								

References

[1] R. Akhtar, *Cyclotomic Euclidean Number Fields*, Senior Thesis, Harvard Univ. 1995

[2] R. P. Bambah, Cambridge University Thesis 1950

[3] ——, *Nonhomogeneous binary quadratic forms I, II*, Acta Math. **86** (1951), 1–29, 31–56; Zbl 44.04201

[4] E.S. Barnes, H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic forms I*, Acta Math. **87** (1952), 259–323; Zbl 46.27601

[5] ——, ——, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic forms II*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 279–316; Zbl 047.28102

[6] ——, ——, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic forms III*, Acta Math. **92** (1954), 199-234; Zbl 56.27301

[7] E. Bedocchi, *L'anneau* $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{14})$ *et l'algorithme Euclidien*, Manuscripta math. **53** (1985), 199–216; Zbl 576.12003

[8] ——, *On the second minimum of a quadratic form and its applications*, (Ital.), Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **15** (1989), 175–190; Zbl 719.11036

[9] H. Behrbohm, L. Redei, *Der Euklidische Algorithmus in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **174** (1936), 192–205; Zbl 13.19802

[10] E. Berg, *Über die Existenz eines Euklidischen Algorithmus in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Kungl. Fysiogr. Saellsk. i Lund Förh. **5** (1935), 53–58; Zbl 12.10205

[11] D. Berend, W. Moran, *The inhomogeneous minimum of binary quadratic forms*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **112** (1992), 7–19; Zbl 780.11032

[12] G. B. Birkhoff, *Note on certain quadratic number systems for which factorization is unique*, Amer. Math. Monthly **13** (1906), 156–159

[13] J. Blöhmer, *Euklidische Ringe*, Diplomarbeit TU Berlin, 1989

[14] B. Bougaut, *Anneaux quasi-euclidiens*, Thèse, Université de Poitiers 1976

[15] B. Bougaut, *Anneaux quasi-euclidiens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **284** (1977), 133–136; Zbl 364.13010

[16] ——, *Algorithme explicite pour la recherche du P.G.C.D. dans certains anneaux principaux d'entiers de corps de nombres*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **11** (1980), 207–220; Zbl 425.13007

[17] G. Branchini, *Algoritmo del massimo comun divisore nel corpo delle radici quinte dell'unita*, Atti della Reale Accademia dei Lincei, Roma (5) **32-1** (1923), 68–72

[18] A. Brauer, *On the non-existence of the Euclidean algorithm in certain quadratic number fields*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 697–716; Zbl 24.10004

[19] E. Cahen, *Sur une note de M. Fontené relative aux entiers algebriques de la forme* $x+y\sqrt{-5}$, Nouv. ann. math. (4) **3** (1903), 444–447

[20] O. A. Campoli, *A principal ideal domain that is not a Euclidean domain*, American Math. Monthly **95** (1988), 868–871; Zbl 673.13012

[21] D. A. Cardon, *A Euclidean ring containing* $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}]$, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **19** (1997), 28–32

[22] J. W. S. Cassels, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic, ternary cubic, and quaternary quartic forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **48** (1952), 72–86; Zbl 46.04601; Addendum: ibid., 519–520; Zbl 46.27602; Corr.: F.J. van der Linden 1983

[23] J. W. S. Cassels, *Yet another proof of Minkowski's theorem on the product of two inhomogeneous linear forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **49** (1953), 365–366; Zbl 51.28302

[24] I. Castro Chadid, *Euclidean quadratic fields* (Span.), Bol. Mat. **18** (1984), 1–3, 7–21; Zbl 596.12003

[25] M. A. Cauchy, *Memoire sur de nouvelles formules relatives a la théorie des polynomes radicaux, et sur le dernier théorème de Fermat I*, Comptes rendus Paris **24** (1847), 469 ff; see also Œuvres X, extr. no. 358, 240–254;

[26] ——, *Memoire sur de nouvelles formules relatives a la théorie des polynomes radicaux, et sur le dernier théorème de Fermat II*, Comptes rendus Paris **24** (1847), 516 ff; see also Œuvres X, extr. no. 359, 254–268;

[27] S. Cavallar, *Alcuni esempi di campi di numeri cubici non euclidei rispetto alla norma ma euclidei rispetto ad una norma pesata*, Tesi di Laurea, Univ. Trento, 1995

[28] ——, F. Lemmermeyer, *The Euclidean Algorithm in Cubic Number Fields*, Proceedings Number Theory Eger 1996, (Györy, Pethö, Sos eds.), Gruyter 1998, 123–146

[29] ——, F. Lemmermeyer, *Euclidean Windows*, in preparation

[30] J.-P. Cerri, *Letter from Nov. 21, 1997*

[31] H. Chatland, *On the Euclidean algorithm in quadratic number fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 948–953; Zbl 35.30403

[32] ——, H. Davenport, *Euclids algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Canad. J. Math. **2** (1950), 289–296; Zbl 37.30802

[33] T. Chella, *Dimostrazione dell'essisenza di un algoritmo delle divisioni successive per alcuni corpi circolari*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (4) 1 (1924), 199–218

[34] W. Y. Chen, M. G. Leu, *On Nagata's pairwise algorithm*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), no. 1, 194–203; MR 95d:13023; Zbl 829.13012

[35] V. Cioffari, *The Euclidean condition in pure cubic and complex quartic fields*, Math. Comp. **33** (1979), 389–398; Zbl 399.12001

[36] D. A. Clark, *The Euclidean algorithm for Galois extensions of the rational numbers*, Ph. D. thesis, McGill University, Montreal (1992)

[37] ——, *A quadratic field which is Euclidean but not norm-Euclidean*, Manuscripta math. **83** (1994), 327–330; Zbl 817.11047

[38] ——, *Non-Galois cubic fields which are Euclidean but not norm-Euclidean*, Math. Comp. **65** (1996), 1675–1679; Zbl 853.11084

[39] ——, *On k-stage Euclidean Algorithms for Galois extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}$, Manuscripta Math. **90** (1996), 149–153; Zbl 865.11067

[40] ——, M. R. Murty, *The Euclidean Algorithm in Galois Extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **459** (1995), 151–162; Zbl 814.11049

[41] L. E. Clarke, *On the product of three non-homogeneous linear forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **47** (1951), 260–265; Zbl 42.04402

[42] H. Cohen, *Hermite and Smith normal form algorithms over Dedekind domains*, Math. Comp. **65** (1996), 1681–1699; Zbl 853.11100

[43] H. Cohn, J. Deutsch, *Use of a computer scan to prove that* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}})$ *and* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3+\sqrt{2}})$ *are Euclidean*, Math. Comp. **46** (1986), 295–299; Zbl 585.12002

[44] P. M. Cohn, *On the structure of the* $GL(2)$ *of a ring*, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **30** (1966), 365–413; Zbl 144.26301

[45] A. Coja-Oghlan, *Berechnung kubischer euklidischer Zahlkörper*, Diplomarbeit FU Berlin, 1999

[46] G. Cooke, *The weakening of the Euclidean property for integral domains and application to algebraic number theory I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **282** (1976), 133–156; Zbl 328.13013

[47] ——, *The weakening of the Euclidean property for integral domains and application to algebraic number theory II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **283** (1977), 71–85; Zbl 343.13008

[48] ——, P. J. Weinberger, *On the construction of division chains in algebraic number fields with application to* $SL(2)$, Comm. Algebra **2** (1975), 481–524; Zbl 315.12001

[49] H. Davenport, *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms II*, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wet. **50** (1947), 378–389; Zbl 29.01804; see also Coll. Works I, 174–185

[50] ——, *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms III*, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wet. **50** (1947), 484–491; Zbl 29.01804; see also Coll. Works I, 186–193

[51] ——, *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms IV*, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wet. **50** (1947), 741-749; Zbl 29.11201; see also Coll. Works I, 194–211

[52] ——, *On the product of three non-homogeneous linear forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **43** (1947), 137–152; see also Coll. Works I, 212–227; Zbl 29.01803

[53] ——, *Indefinite binary quadratic forms*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **1** (1950), 54–62; see also Coll. Works I, 395–403

[54] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in cubic fields of negative discriminant*, Acta Math. **84** (1950), 159–179; see also Coll. Works I, 374–394

[55] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in certain quartic fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 508–532; Zbl 39.03101; see also Coll. Works I, 404–428

[56] ——, *L'algorithme d'Euclide dans certains corps algebriques*, Coll. intern. du Centre de la recherche sci. XXIV; Zbl 41.01201; Algebrè et theorie des nombres (1950), 41–43

[57] ——, *Indefinite binary quadratic forms and Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **53** (1951), 65–82; Zbl 45.01402; see also Coll. Works I, 344-361

[58] ——, H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer *Products of n inhomogeneous linear forms*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **5** (1955), 474–499; see also Coll. Works of H. Davenport II, 566–591

[59] H. Décoste, *Sur les anneaux euclidiens et principaux*, Diss. Univ. Montreal 1978

[60] H. Décoste, *Des anneaux principaux non euclidiens*, Ann. Sci. Math. Quebec **5** (1981), 103–114
[61] R. Dedekind, *Suppl. to L. Dirichlet, "Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie"*, Braunschweig 1893
[62] L. E. Dickson, *Algebren und ihre Zahlentheorie*, Zürich-Leipzig 1927
[63] A. Dietz, *Ein kubischer Zahlkörper, welcher euklidisch aber nicht norm-euklidisch ist*, Staatsexamensarbeit, FU Berlin
[64] L. Dirichlet, *Recherches sur les forms quadratiques à coéfficients et à indeterminées complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **24** (1842), 291–371; see also Werke I, 533–618
[65] D. W. Dubois, A. Steger, *A note on the division algorithms in imaginary quadratic number fields*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1958), 285-286
[66] S. Egami, *Euclid's algorithm in pure quartic fields*, Tokyo J. Math. **2** (1979), 379–385
[67] ——, *On finiteness of numbers of Euclidean fields in some classes of number fields*, Tokyo J. Math. **7** (1984), 183–198
[68] G. F. Eisenstein, *Über einige allgemeine Eigenschaften der Gleichung, von welcher die Teilung der ganzen Lemniskate abhängt, nebst Anwendungen derselben auf die Zahlentheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **39** (1850), 224–287; see also Math. Werke II, 556–619
[69] V. Ennola, *On the first inhomogeneous minimum of indefinite binary quadratic forms and Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. A I **28** (1958), 58pp
[70] P. Erdös, Ch. Ko, *Note on the Euclidean algorithm*, J. London Math. Soc. **13** (1938), 3–8
[71] A. K. Feyziglu, *A very simple proof that* $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{-19})]$ *is a principal ideal domain*, Doga Mat. **16** (1992), 62–68
[72] G. Fontené, *Sur les entiers algébriques de la forme* $x+y\sqrt{-5}$, Nouv. ann. math. (4) **3** (1903), 209–214
[73] J. Fox, *Finiteness of the number of quadratic fields with even discriminant and Euclidean algorithm*, Ph.D. Thesis, Yale University 1935; see also Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1935), p. 186
[74] C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, 1801
[75] C. F. Gauss, *Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum II*, Werke II (1876), 93–148
[76] ——, *Zur Theorie der komplexen Zahlen*, Werke II (1876), 387–398
[77] F. A. W. George, *Using the Euclidean algorithm to identify principal ideals and their generators in imaginary quadratic fields*, manuscript 1994
[78] H. J. Godwin, *On the inhomogeneous minima of certain norm-forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **30** (1955), 114–119
[79] ——, *On a conjecture of Barnes and Swinnerton-Dyer*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **59** (1963), 519–522
[80] ——, *On Euclid's algorithm in some quartic and quintic fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 699–704
[81] ——, *On Euclid's algorithm in some cubic fields with signature one*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **18** (1967), 333–338
[82] ——, J. R. Smith, *On the Euclidean nature of four cyclic cubic fields*, Math. Comp. **60** (1993), 421–423
[83] R. Gupta, M. Murty, V. Murty, *The Euclidean algorithm for S-integers*, Canad. Math. Soc. Conference Proc. **7** (1987), 189–201
[84] B. Hainke, *Reellquadratische Zahlkörper mit euklidischem Algorithmen*, diploma thesis Univ. Mainz, 1997
[85] M. Harper, McGill Univ. thesis 1997
[86] H. Hasse, *Über eindeutige Zerlegung in Primelemente oder in Primhauptideale in Integritätsbereichen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **159** (1928), 3–12
[87] H. Heilbronn, *On Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **34** (1938), 521–526
[88] ——, *On Euclid's algorithm in cubic self-conjugate fields*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **46** (1950), 377–382
[89] ——, *On Euclid's algorithm in cyclic fields*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1950), 257–286; see also The collected papers of H. Heilbronn (1988)
[90] Heinhold, *Verallgemeinerung und Verschärfung eines Minkowskischen Satzes* Math. Z. **44** (1939), 659–688; ibid. **45** (1939), 176–184
[91] J. J. Hiblot, *Des anneaux euclidiens dont le plus petit algorithme n'est pas à valeurs finies*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér A **281** (1975), 411–414

[92] N. Hofreiter, *Quadratische Zahlkörper ohne Euklidischen Algorithmus*, Math. Ann. **110** (1935), 194-196

[93] ——, *Quadratische Zahlkörper mit und ohne Euklidischen Algorithmus*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **42** (1935), 397–400

[94] L. K. Hua, *On the distribution of quadratic non-residues and the Euclidean algorithm in real quadratic fields I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1944), 537–546

[95] ——, S.H. Min, *On the distribution of quadratic non-residues and the Euclidean algorithm in real quadratic fields II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1944), 547–569

[96] L. K. Hua, W. T. Shih, *On the lack of the Euclidean algorithm in* $R(\sqrt{61})$, Amer. J. Math. **67** (1945), 209–211

[97] A. Hurwitz, *Letter to L. Bianchi, 24.06.1895*, Opere di L. Bianchi **XI**, 103–105

[98] ——, *Zur Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Nachrichten von der k. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math. -phys. Klasse, (1895), 324–331; see also Math. Werke 2 (1932), 236–243

[99] ——, *Der Euklidische Divisionssatz in einem endlichen algebraischen Zahlkörper*, Math. Zeitschrift **3** (1919), 123–126; see also Math. Werke 2 (1932), 471–474

[100] K. Inkeri, *Über den Euklidischen Algorithmus in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A **41** (1947), 1–35

[101] ——, *Neue Beweise für einige Sätze zum Euklidischen Algorithmus in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Ann. Univ. Turku. A **IX** (1948), 1–15

[102] ——, *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms*, Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematiker Kongress Trondheim (1949), 216–224

[103] J. Mandavid, *Zahlkörper höheren Grades mit Euklidischem Algorithmus*, diploma thesis Univ. Mainz, 1998

[104] R. G. McKenzie, *The ring of cyclotomic integers of modulus thirteen is norm-euclidean*, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University 1988

[105] G. Kacerovsky, *Der euklidische Algorithmus in einigen biquadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Diss. Wien 1977

[106] E. Kaltofen, H. Rolletschek, *Computing greatest common divisors and factorizations in quadratic number fields*, Math. Comp. **53** (1989), no. 188, 697–720

[107] E. E. Kummer, *Letters to L. Kronecker, 2.10.1844 and 16.10.1844*, Coll. Papers I (1975), 87–92

[108] R. B. Lakein, *Euclid's algorithm in complex quartic fields*, Acta Arithm. **20** (1972), 393–400

[109] E. Landau, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Leipzig 1927

[110] F. Lemmermeyer, *Euklidische Ringe*, Diplomarbeit, Heidelberg 1989

[111] ——, *The Euclidean algorithm in algebraic number fields*, Expo. Math. **13**, No. 5 (1995), 385-416

[112] ——, *Gauss bounds of quadratic extensions*, Publ. Math., to appear

[113] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in quartic CM-fields*, in preparation

[114] H. W. Lenstra, *Lectures on Euclidean rings*, Bielefeld 1974

[115] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in cyclotomic fields*, Report 74-01, Dept. Math. Univ. Amsterdam (1974), 13pp

[116] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in cyclotomic fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **10** (1975), 457–465

[117] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in cyclotomic fields*, Tagungsbericht 33/75, Math. Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach 1975

[118] ——, *Euclidean number fields of large degree*, Invent. Math. **38** (1977), 237–254

[119] ——, *On Artin's conjecture and Euclid's algorithm in global fields*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 201–224

[120] ——, *Quelques examples d'anneaux euclidiens*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **289** (1978), 683–685

[121] ——, *Euclidean ideal classes*, Journées Arithmetiques de Luminy, Asterisque **61** (1979), 121–131

[122] ——, *Euclidean number fields 1*, Math. Intell. **2** (1979), 6–15

[123] ——, *Euclidean number fields 2*, Math. Intell. **2** (1980), 73-77

[124] ——, *Euclidean number fields 3*, Math. Intell. **2** (1980), 99–103

[125] A. Leutbecher, *Euklidischer Algorithmus und die Gruppe* $GL(2)$, Math. Ann. **231** (1977/78), 269–285

[126] ——, *Euclidean number fields having a large Lenstra constant*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **35** (1985), 83–106
[127] ——, *Ausnahmeeinheiten und euklidische Zahlkörper*, Tagungsbericht 35/86, Math. Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach 1986
[128] ——, *New Euclidean fields by Lenstra's method of exceptional units*, Proc. Conf. Number Theory and Arithm. Geometry Essen 1991; preprint 18/1991, Universität Essen, 79–80
[129] ——, J. Martinet, *Constante de Lenstra et corps de nombres Euclidiens*, Sémin. Théorie des nombres Univ. Bordeaux 1981/82 exp. no **4**
[130] ——, J. Martinet, *Lenstra's constant and Euclidean number fields*, Journées Arithmetiques Metz, Astérisque **94** 1982
[131] ——, G. Niklasch, *On cliques of exceptional units and Lenstra's construction of Euclidean number fields*, Number Theory Ulm 1987, Lecture Notes Math. **1380** (1989), 150–178
[132] F. J. van der Linden, *Euclidean number rings with two infinite primes*, Tagungsbericht Math. Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach **35/81** 1981
[133] ——, *Euclidean rings of integers of fourth degree fields*, Number theory Noordwijkerhout, Lecture notes in Math. **1068** (1983), 139–148
[134] ——, *Euclidean rings with two infinite primes*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Amsterdam 1984
[135] ——, *Euclidean rings with two infinite primes*, CWI Tract 15 Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica 1985
[136] S. Lubelsky, *Unpublished results on number theory. I. Quadratic forms in a Euclidean ring*, Acta Arith. **6** (1960/61), 217–224
[137] J. M. Masley, *On cyclotomic fields Euclidean for the norm map*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1972), A-813; Abstr. # 700 A-3
[138] ——, *On Euclidean rings of integers in cyclotomic fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **272** (1975), 45–48
[139] J. F. Mestre, *Corps Euclidiens, unites exceptionelles et courbes elliptiques*, J. Number Theory **13** (1981), 123–137
[140] T. Motzkin, *The Euclidean algorithm*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 1142–1146
[141] M. Nagata, *On Euclid algorithm*, C. P. Ramanujan, A tribute, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. **8** (1978), 175–186
[142] ——, *Some remarks on Euclid rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **25** (1985), no. 3, 421–422
[143] ——, *On the definition of a Euclid ring*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **11** (1987), 167–171; Zbl 701.13008
[144] ——, *A pairwise algorithm and its application to* $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}]$, Algebraic geometry Seminar, Singapore (1987), 69–74
[145] ——, *Pairwise algorithms and Euclid algorithms*, Collection of papers dedicated to Prof. Jong Geun Park on his sixtieth birthday (Korean), 1–9, Jeonbug, Seoul, 1989;
[146] ——, *Some questions on* $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{14}]$, Algebraic geometry and its applications (Ch. Bajaj ed.), Conf. Purdue Univ. USA, June 1–4, 1990, Springer Verlag (1994), 327–332
[147] G. Niklasch, *Ausnahmeeinheiten und Euklidische Zahlkörper*, Diplomarbeit TU München 1986
[148] ——, *On Clarks example of a Euclidean field which is not norm-euclidean*, manuscripta math. **83** (1994), 443–446
[149] ——, *Family Portraits of exceptional units*, to appear
[150] ——, R. Quême, *An improvement of Lenstra's criterion for euclidean number fields: The totally real case*, Acta Arith. **58** (1991), 157–168
[151] T. Ojala, *Euclid's algorithm in the cyclotomic fields* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})$, Math. Comp. **31** (1977), 268–273
[152] O. T. O'Meara, *On the finite generation of linear groups over Hasse domains*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **217** (1965), 79–108
[153] A. Oppenheim, *Quadratic fields with and without Euclid's algorithm*, Math. Ann. **109** (1934), 349–352
[154] J. Ouspensky, *Note sur les nombres entiers dependant d'une racine cinquième de l'unité*, Math. Ann. **66** (1909), 109-112; see also Moskau Math. Samml. 26, 1–17
[155] O. Perron, *Quadratische Zahlkörper mit Euklidischem Algorithmus*, Math. Ann. **107** (1932), 489–495
[156] G. Philibert, *L'algorithme d'Euclide dans les corps cyclotomiques*, Séminaire d'Arithmetique, Saint-Etienne 1990-91-92, exp. no. 5, 59–67

[157] G. Picavet, *Caracterisation de certains types d'anneaux euclidiens*, Enseignement Math. **18** (1972), 245–254

[158] A.V. Prasad, *A non-homogeneous inequality for integers in a special cubic field I, II*, Indag. Math. **11** (1949), 55–65, 112–124

[159] R. Quême, *A computer algorithm for finding new euclidean number fields*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, to appear

[160] L. Rédei, *Über den Euklidischen Algorithmus in reellquadratischen Zahlkörpern*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **183** (1941), 183–192

[161] ——, *Zur Frage des Euklidischen Algorithmus in quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Math. Ann. **118** (1942), 588–608

[162] R. Remak, , (1923)

[163] R. Remak, *Über den Euklidischen Algorithmus in reell-quadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Jahresber. DMV **44** (1934), 238–250

[164] P. A. Samet, *The product of non-homogeneous linear forms I*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **50** (1954), 372–379

[165] ——, *The product of non-homogeneous linear forms II*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **50** (1954), 380–390

[166] J. Sauvageot, *Algorithmes d'Euclide dans certains corps biquadratiques*, Sém. Delange-Pisot-Poitou, exp. no **15**, 1972/73, 3pp

[167] J. Schatunowsky, *Der grösste gemeinschaftliche Teiler von algebraischen Zahlen zweiter Ordnung mit negativer Diskriminante und die Zerlegung dieser Zahlen in Primfaktoren*, Diss. Strassburg (1911), Leipzig 1912

[168] R. Schroeppel, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[5]{2})$ *is norm-Euclidean*, unpublished, 1993

[169] V. Schulze, *Verallgemeinerte euklidische Algorithmen*, Arch. Math. **64** (1995), 313–315

[170] L. Schuster, *Reellquadratische Zahlkörper ohne Euklidischen Algorithmus*, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **47** (1938), 117–127

[171] J. R. Smith, *On Euclid's algorithm in some cyclic cubic fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **44** (1969), 577–582

[172] ——, *The inhomogeneous minima of some totally real cubic fields*, Computers in number theory, London (1971), 223–224

[173] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *The inhomogeneous minima of complex cubic norm forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **50** (1954), 209–219

[174] E. M. Taylor, *Euclid's algorithm in cubic fields with complex conjugates*, Ph.D. thesis London (1975)

[175] ——, *Euclid's algorithm in cubic fields with complex conjugates*, J. London Math. Soc. **14** (1976), 49–54

[176] C. Traub, *Theorie der sechs einfachsten Systeme complexer Zahlen, I, II*, Beilage zum Programm des Grossh. Lyceums, Mannheim 1867/1868

[177] S. Treatman, *Euclidean Systems*, Diss. Michigan, 1996

[178] P. Varnavides, *Note on non-homogeneous quadratic forms*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **19** (1948), 54–58

[179] ——, *Non-homogeneous quadratic forms*, Proc. Ned. Acad. Wet. **51** (1948), 396–404

[180] ——, *On the quadratic form* $x^2 - 7y^2$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **20** (1949), 124–128

[181] ——, *The Euclidean real quadratic number fields*, Indag. Math. **14** (1952), 111–122

[182] ——, *The nonhomogeneous minima of a class of binary quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **2** (1970), 333–341

[183] L. N. Vaserstein, *On the group* $SL(2)$ *for Dedekind domains of arithmetic type*, Mat. Sb. **89** (131) (1972), 313–322

[184] P. L. Wantzel, *Notes sur la théorie des nombres complexes*, Compt. Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences **24** (1847)

[185] ——, Extraits des Proces-Verbaux des Seances, Soc. Philomatique de Paris (1848), 19–22

[186] J. H. M. Wedderburn, *Non-commutative domains of integrity*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **167** (1932), 129–141; Fd M 58.0148.01, Zbl 003.20103

[187] P. J. Weinberger, *On Euclidean rings of algebraic integers*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **24** Analytic number theory, AMS, (1973), 321–332

[188] J. C. Wilson, *A principal ideal ring that is not a Euclidean ring*, Math. Mag. **46** (1973), 34–38; Zbl 284.13018; see also Selected Papers on Algebra (R. Brink, ed.), 1977, p. 79–82

Unclassified Material

[189] A. G. Agargün, C. R. Fletcher, *Euclidean rings*, Tr. J. Math. **19** (1995), 291–299

[190] K. Amano, *A remark on Euclidean algorithm*, Bull. Fac. Gen. Ed. Gifu Univ. 1981, no. **17** (1982), 55–56

[191] ——, *Correction to: "A remark on Euclidean algorithm"*, Bull. Fac. Gen. Ed. Gifu Univ. No. **18** (1982), 111

[192] ——, *A note on Euclidean ring*, Bull. Fac. Gen. Ed. Gifu Univ. No. **20** (1984), 13–15 (1985); MR 86f:13013

[193] ——, *On 2-stage Euclidean ring and Laurent series*, Bull. Fac. Gen. Ed. Gifu Univ. No. **22** (1986), 83–86 (1987)

[194] V. S. Atabekyan, *The Euclidean algorithm for integer quaternions and the Lagrange theorem* (Russ.), Erevan. Gos. Univ. Uchen. Zap. Estestv. Nauki (1993), no. 1 (179), 125–127

[195] M. Baica, *Baica's general Euclidean algorithm (BGEA) and the solution of Fermat's last theorem*, Notes Number Theory Discrete Math. **1** (1995), no. 3, 120–134

[196] E.S. Barnes, *Note on non-homogeneous linear forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **49** (1953), 360–362

[197] E.S. Barnes, *The inhomogeneous minima of binary quadratic forms IV*, Acta Math. **92** (1954), 235–264

[198] V. Brun, *Music and Euclidean algorithms* (Norwegian), Nordisk Mat. Tidskr. **9** (1961), 29–36; MR 24 # A705

[199] ——, *Euclidean algorithms and musical theory*, Enseignement Math. (2) **10** (1964), 125–137; MR 29 # 4718

[200] H. H. Brungs, *Left Euclidean rings*, Pacific J. Math. **45** (1973), 27–33

[201] J. W. S. Cassels, *The lattice properties of asymmetric hyperbolic regions I*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **44** (1948), 1–7

[202] ——, *The lattice properties of asymmetric hyperbolic regions II*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **44** (1948), 145–154

[203] ——, *The lattice properties of asymmetric hyperbolic regions III*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **44** (1948), 457–462

[204] L. E. Clarke, *Non-homogeneous linear forms associated with algebraic fields*, Quart. J. Math. (2) **2** (1951), 308–315

[205] H. J. Claus, *Über die Partialbruchzerlegung in nicht notwendig kommutativen euklidischen Ringen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **194** (1955), 88–100

[206] P. M. Cohn, *On a generalization of the Euclidean algorithm*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **57** (1961), 18–30

[207] ——, *A presentation of $SL(2)$ for Euclidean imaginary quadratic fields*, Mathematika **15** (1968), 156–163

[208] ——, *Euclid's algorithm—since Euclid*, Math. Medley **19** (1991), no. 2, 65–72;

[209] A. J. Cole, J. T. Davie, *A game based on the Euclidean algorithm and a winning strategy for it*, Math. Gaz. **53** (1969), 354–357; MR 41 #3119

[210] V. Collazo, A. Correa, M. Pablo, *Revisiting Euclid's algorithm: a geometric point of view*, Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Boca Raton, FL, 1994). Congr. Numer. **104** (1994), 7–17.

[211] M. J. Collison, *The unique factorization theorem: from Euclid to Gauss*, Math. Mag. **53** (1980), 96–100

[212] M. Cugiani, *Osservazioni relative alla questioni dell'esistenza di un algoritmo euclidei nei campi quadratici*, Boll. della Unione Math. Italiana **3** (1948), 136–141

[213] ——, *I campi quadratici e l'algoritmo Euclideo*, Periodico di Math. **28** (1950), 52–62, 114–129

[214] H. Davenport, *On the product of three homogeneous linear forms I*, J. London Math. Soc. **13** (1938), 139–145; see also Coll. Works I, 7–13

[215] H. Davenport, *On the product of three homogeneous linear forms II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **44** (1938), 412–431; see also Coll. Works I, 14–33

[216] H. Davenport, *On the product of three homogeneous linear forms III*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **45** (1939), 98–125; see also Coll. Works I, 34–61

[217] H. Davenport, *Note on the product of three homogeneous linear forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1941), 98–101; see also Coll. Works I, 62–65

[218] H. Davenport, *On the product of three homogeneous linear forms IV*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **39** (1943), 1–21; see also Coll. Works I, 66-68

[219] H. Davenport, *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms I*, Proc. Ned. Akad. Wet. **49** (1946), 815–821; Zbl 29.01804; see also Coll. Works I, 167–173; Zbl 60.11906

[220] H. Davenport, *Linear forms associated with an algebraic number field*, Quart. J. Math. (2) **3** (1952), 32–41; see also Coll. Works II, 552–561

[221] K. Dennis, B. Magurn, L. Vaserstein, *Generalized Euclidean group rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **351** (1984), 113–128

[222] L. Dirichlet, *Ueber die Reduktion der positiven quadratischen Formen mit drei unbestimmten ganzen Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **40** (1850), 209–277; see also Werke II, 27-48

[223] F. J. Dyson, *On the product of four non-homogeneous linear forms*, Ann. Math. **49** (1948), 82–109

[224] R. B. Eggleton, C. B. Lacampagne, J. L. Selfridge, *Euclidean quadratic fields*, Amer. Math. Monthly **99** (1992), 829–837

[225] H.R.P. Ferguson, R.W. Forcade, *Mulidimensional Euclidean algorithms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **334** (1982), 171–181

[226] B. Fine, *The Euclidean Bianchi groups*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), no. 8, 2461–2484; MR 92e:11033

[227] C. R. Fletcher, *Euclidean Rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **4** (1971), 79–82

[228] A. Förster, *Zur Theorie einseitig euklidischer Ringe*, Wiss. Z. Pädagog. Hochsch. "Karl Liebknecht" Potsdam **32** (1988), no. 1, 143–147

[229] ——, *Zur Theorie einseitig euklidischer Ringe. II*, Wiss. Z. Pädagog. Hochsch. "Karl Liebknecht" Potsdam **33** (1989), no. 1, 87–90

[230] ——, *Zur Theorie einseitig euklidischer Ringe. III*, Wiss. Z. Pädagog. Hochsch. "Karl Liebknecht" Potsdam **34** (1990), no. 1, 123–128; MR 92f:16052

[231] H. J. Godwin, *On the inhomogeneous minima of totally real cubic norm-forms*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 623–627

[232] H. J. Godwin, *Computations relating to cubic fields*, Computers in number theory, London (1971), 225–229

[233] D. S. Gorskov, *On the Euclidean algorithm in real quadratic fields* (Russ.), Ucenye Zapisky Kazan. Univ. **101** (1941), 31–37

[234] ——, *Real quadratic fields without an Euclidean algorithm* (Russ.), Ucenye Zapisky Kazan. Univ. **101** (1941), 37–42

[235] M. D. Hendy, *Euclid and the fundamental theorem of arithmetic*, Hist. Math. **2** (1975), 189–191

[236] D. Hensley, *The number of steps in the Euclidean algorithm*, J. Number Theory **49** (1994), no. 2, 142–182

[237] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Entwicklung komplexer Grössen in Kettenbrüche*, Acta Math. **11** (1887), 187–200

[238] ——, *Die unimodularen Substitutionen in einem algebraischen Zahlenkörper*, Nachrichten von der k. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Math. -phys. Klasse, (1895), 332-356; see also Math. Werke 2 (1932), 244–268

[239] K. Inkeri, V. Ennola, *The Minkowski constant for certain binary quadratic forms*, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser A I **25** (1957), 3–18

[240] D. H. Johnson, C. S. Queens, A. N. Sevilla, *Euclidean real quadratic number fields*, Arch. Math. **44** (1985), 344–368

[241] G. Kalajdzic, *On Euclidean algorithms with some particular properties*, Duro Kurepa memorial volume. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **57** (71) (1995), 124–134

[242] A. Kanemitsu, K. Yoshiba, *Euclidean rings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Math. **18** (1986), 1–5

[243] R. P. Kelisky, *Concerning the Euclidean algorithm*, Fibonacci Quart. **3** (1965), 219–223; MR 32 #5579

[244] H. Kilian, *Zur mittleren Anzahl von Schritten beim euklidischen Algorithmus*, Elem. Math. **38** (1983), no. 1, 11–15

[245] A. Knopfmacher, *Elementary properties of the subtractive Euclidean algorithm*, Fibonacci Quart. **30** (1992), no. 1, 80–83.

[246] ——, J. Knopfmacher, *The exact length of the Euclidean algorithm in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$*, Mathematika **35** (1988), no. 2, 297–304

[247] ——, ——, *Maximum length of the Euclidean algorithm and continued fractions in* $\mathbf{F}(x)$, Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. **3** (Pisa, 1988), 217–222, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990

[248] ——, ——, *The number of steps in the Euclidean algorithm over complex quadratic fields*, BIT **31** (1991), no. 2, 286–292

[249] W. Knorr, *Problems in the interpretation of Greek number theory; Euclid and the fundamental theorem of arithmetic*, Studies in Hist. and Phil. Sci. **7** (1976), 353–368

[250] Ch. Kolb, *Der euklidische Algorithmus in Körpern* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}\,)$, Kap. 3 der Zulassungsarbeit, Univ. Heidelberg 1976

[251] G. Kumar, *Quadratic Euclidean domains*, Math. Ed. **26** (1992), 180–185

[252] D. Lazard, *On the minimal algorithm in rings of imaginary quadratic integers*, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), no. 2, 143–148

[253] V. Lemmlein, *On Euclidean rings and rings of principal ideals*, Doklady kad. Nauk SSSR (NS) **97** (1954), 585–587

[254] A. Lepschy, G. A. Mian, U. Viaro, *Euclid-type algorithm and its applications*, Internat. J. Systems Sci. **20** (1989), no. 6, 945–956

[255] S. Lubelsky, *Algorytm Euklidesa*, Wiadom Mat. **42** (1937), 5–67

[256] M.L. Madan, C.S. Queen, *Euclidean function fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **262/263** (1973), 271–277

[257] R. Markanda, *Euclidean rings of algebraic numbers and functions*, J. Algebra **37** (1975), no. 3, 425–446

[258] ——, V. S. Albis Gonzales, *Euclidean algorithm in principal arithmetic algebras*, Tamkang J. Math. **15** (1984), no. 2, 193–196

[259] W.F. Meyer, *Anwendung des erweiterten Euklid'schen Algorithmus auf Resultantenbildungen*, Jahresber. DMV **16** (1907), 16–35

[260] S. H. Min, *On the Euclidean algorithm in real quadratic fields*, J. London Math. Soc. **22** (1947), 88–90

[261] ——, *Euclidean algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing Hua Univ. Ser. A **5** (1948), 190–223

[262] T. E. Moore, *On the least absolute remainder Euclidean algorithm*, Fibonacci Quart. **30** (1992), no. 2, 161–165.

[263] W. Narkiewicz, *Book review "Collected Papers of H. Heilbronn"*, DMV Jahresbericht **93** (1991), 4–5

[264] P. Noordzij, *Über das Produkt von vier reellen homogenen linearen Formen*, Monatsh. Math. **71** (1967), 436–445

[265] G. H. Norton, *On the asymptotic analysis of the Euclidean algorithm*, J. Symbolic Comput. **10** (1990), no. 1, 53–58

[266] A. Oneto, V. Ramirez, *Non-Euclidean principal domains* (Span.), Divulg. Mat. **1** (1993), 55–65

[267] H. Ostmann, *Euklidische Ringe mit eindeutiger Partialbruchzerlegung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **188** (1950), 150–161

[268] P. S. Papkov, *Der Euklidische Algorithmus im quadratischen Zahlkörper mit beliebiger Klassenzahl*, (Russ., German summary) Œuvres sci. Univ. Etat, Rostoff sur Don **1** (1934), 15–60; Zbl 9.15101

[269] ——, *Über eine Anwendung des Euklidischen Algorithmus im quadratischen Zahlkörper mit beliebiger Klassenzahl* (Russ., German summary), Œuvres sci. Univ. Etat, Rost. s, Don **1** (1934), 61–78; Zbl 9.15102

[270] F. Paulsen, B. Gordon, *On the parity of some quantities related to the Euclidean algorithm*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68** (1961), 900–901

[271] G. Pollak, *On types of Euclidean norms* (Russ.), Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **20** (1959), 252–268

[272] A. Popescu, *On the Euclideanity in rings*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **27** (1982), no. 2, 181–185

[273] C. S. Queen, *Arithmetic Euclidean rings*, Acta Arith. **26** (1972), 105–113

[274] G. Renault, *Anneaux principaux et anneaux euclidiens*, Gaz. Sci. Math. Quebec **1** (1977), 16–22

[275] F. Rivero, *Anillos con algoritmo débil* (Span.), Notas de Matemática **49**, Universidad de los Andes, 1982. ii+114 pp.

[276] K. A. Rodosskij, *On Euclidean rings*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **22** (1980), 186–189

[277] ——, *The Euclidean algorithm* (Russ.), Moskow 1988, 240 pp. ISBN: 5-02-013726-X

[278] H. Rolletschek, *The Euclidean algorithm for Gaussian integers*, EUROCAL'83, Lecture Notes Comp. Sci. **162** (1983), 12–23

[279] H. Rolletschek, *On the number of divisions of the Euclidean algorithm applied to Gaussian integers*, J. Symbolic Comput. **2** (1986), no. 3, 261–291

[280] ——, *Shortest division chains in imaginary quadratic number fields*, Symbolic and algebraic computation (Rome, 1988), 231–243, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 358, Springer, Berlin, 1989

[281] ——, *Shortest division chains in imaginary quadratic number fields*, J. Symbolic Comp. **9** (1990), 321–354

[282] B. Rosser, *A generalization of the Euclidean algorithm to several dimensions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27** (1941), 309–311

[283] ——, *A generalization of the Euclidean algorithm to several dimensions*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 59–95

[284] P. Samuel, *About Euclidean rings*, J. Algebra **19** (1971), 282–301

[285] P. Schreiber, *A supplement to J. Shallit's paper: "Origins of the analysis of the Euclidean algorithm"*, Historia Math. **22** (1995), no. 4, 422–424

[286] J. Shallit, *Origins of the analysis of the Euclidean algorithm*, Historia Math. **21** (1994), no. 4, 401–419; MR 95h:01015; Zbl 859.01004

[287] D. B. Shapiro, R. K. Markanda, E. Brown, *Some Euclidean properties for real quadratic fields*, Acta Arith. **47** (1986), 143–152

[288] H. M. Stark, *The papers on Euclid's algorithm*, The Collected papers of H. Heilbronn (1990), 586–587

[289] Y. Tanimura, *Euclidean algorithm in quadratic fields II*, Sci. Rep. Lib. Arts Ed. Gifu Univ. Natur. Sci. **3** (1964/65), 339-345

[290] ——, *Euclidean algorithm in quadratic fields III*, Sci. Rep. Lib. Arts Ed. Gifu Univ. Natur. Sci. **4** (1967), 13–18

[291] ——, *Euclidean algorithm in cubic fields I*, Sci. Rep. Lib. Arts Ed. Gifu Univ. Natur. Sci. **4** (1969), 135–137

[292] ——, *Non Euclidean point in field* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{97})$, Bull. Tokai Women's College **2** (1982), 39–44

[293] H. Tapia-Recillos, J. Valle Can, *Non-Euclidean principal ideal domains of algebraic integers* (Span.), Proceedings of the XIX-th National Congress of the Mexican Math. Soc. **1** (1986), 231–252

[294] J. G. Tena Ayuso, *Subannillos Euclideos de cuerpos quadraticos reales*, Rev. Math. Hisp.-Amer. **37** (1977), 43–50

[295] P. Varnavides, *Euclid's algorithm in real quadratic fields*, Prakt. Math. Athenon **24** (1949), 117–123

[296] E. M. Vechtomov, *On the general theory of Euclidean rings* (Russian), Abelian groups and modules, No. 9 (Russian), 3–7, 155, Tomsk. Gos. Univ., Tomsk, 1990; MR 94a:16059

[297] G. R. Veldkamp, *Remark on Euclidean rings* (Dutch), Nieuw Tijdschr. Wisk. **48** (1960/61), 268–270

[298] K. C. Yang, *Quadratic fields without Euclid's algorithm*, Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing-Hua Univ. **A 3** (1935), 261–264

[299] H. You, *Some notes on rings with Euclidean algorithms* (Chin.), Dongbei Shida Xuebao 1984, no. 2, 17–19

[300] T. Zaupper, *A quasi-Euclidean algorithm* (Hungar.), Bull. Appl. Math. **31**, no. 210 (1983), 127–145

[301] Y. M. Zheng, *The structure of Euclidean rings with unique division* (Chin.), J. Math. (Wuhan) **1** (1981), no. 2, 185–188

Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik, Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26, D-53225 Bonn / Germany

E-mail address: hb3ix.urz.uni-heidelberg.de,lemmerm@mpim-bonn.mpg.de