Mixed Multiscale Methods for Heterogeneous Elliptic Problems Part 2: Mixed Multiscale Numerics

Todd Arbogast

Department of Mathematics

and

Center for Subsurface Modeling,

Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences (ICES)

The University of Texas at Austin

This work was supported by

- U.S. National Science Foundation
- U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences as part of the Center for Frontiers of Subsurface Energy Security
- KAUST through the Academic Excellence Alliance





Outline

- 1. Variational Multiscale Method
- 2. Some (Multiscale) Mixed Finite Elements
 - Microscale Structure from Homogenization and a New Mixed Multiscale Finite Element
- 3. An Error Analysis
- 4. Some Numerical Results
 - Some Channelized Flows
- 5. Summary and Conclusions





Second Order Elliptic PDE'S in Mixed Form

The differential problem:

$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nabla p$	in Ω
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = f$	in Ω
$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$	on $\partial \Omega$



Flow in porous media

The mixed variational problem:

Find $p \in W = L^2/\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V} = H_0(\text{div})$ such that

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} \text{ (Darcy's law)}$$

 $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, w) = (f, w) \quad \forall w \in W \text{ (conservation)}$

Remark: The mixed form preserves the conservation equation, and so allows locally conservative approximations. This is a critical property in many applications.







Define

 \mathcal{T}_h a reasonable finite element partition of Ω

- \mathcal{E}_h the set of edges of the finite elements
 - \boldsymbol{h} the maximal element diameter

 $W_h imes \mathbf{V}_h$ any inf-sup stable mixed finite element spaces in $W imes \mathbf{V}$

Find $p \in W_h \subset W$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}_h \subset \mathbf{V}$ such that

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_{h}, \mathbf{v}) = (p_{h}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h} \text{ (Darcy's law)}$$
$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}, w) = (f, w) \qquad \forall w \in W_{h} \text{ (conservation)}$$

Difficulty: Fine-scale variation in a_{ϵ} (the *permeability*) leads to fine-scale variation in the solution (\mathbf{u}, p) .

Solution: Define $V_h \times W_h$ to respect the scales:

- Multiscale finite elements (Babuška & Osborn 1983; Hou & Wu 1997; Chen & Hou 2003)
- Variational multiscale method (Hughes 1995, Arbogast, Minkoff & Keenan 1998, Arbogast & Boyd 2006)

Variational Multiscale Method







A Two-Scale Expansion

We base our expansion on local mass conservation.

Define a coarse computational grid T_h on Ω .

Pressure space: $W = \overline{W} \oplus W'$

 $\overline{W} = \{\overline{w} \in W : \overline{w} \text{ is constant on each coarse element } E\}$ $W' = \overline{W}^{\perp}$

Velocity space: $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{\bar{V}} \oplus \mathbf{V}'$

$$\mathbf{V}' = \{ \mathbf{v}' \in \mathbf{V} : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}' \in W', \ \mathbf{v}' \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial E \forall E \} \quad \text{(locality)}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{V}' \quad \text{(conservation)}$$

Then

(a) $\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{V}} = \overline{W}$ (coarse conservation) (b) $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}' = W'$ (fine subgrid conservation) (c) $\overline{\mathbf{V}} \simeq \{\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu \text{ on } \partial E : E \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$

Remark: To obtain subgrid locality in \mathbf{V}' , $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ has full normal velocity coupling on the coarse edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$.



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Separation of Scales

Separate scales uniquely via the direct sum as

 $\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u}' \in \bar{\mathbf{V}} \oplus \mathbf{V}'$ $p = \bar{p} + p' \in \bar{W} \oplus W'$

Coarse:

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u}'), \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = (\bar{p}, \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}$$
$$(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{w}) = (f, \bar{w}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{w} \in \bar{W}$$

Subgrid:

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\mathbf{\bar{u}} + \mathbf{u}'), \mathbf{v}') = (p', \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}') \qquad \forall \mathbf{v}' \in \mathbf{V}'$$
$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}', w') = (f, w') \qquad \forall w' \in W'$$

Lemma. The inf-sup condition holds over both $\overline{W} \times \overline{V}$ and $W' \times V'$, with constants independent of the coarse mesh and ϵ .

Theorem. Given $\bar{\mathbf{u}} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}$, there exists a unique solution $(p', \mathbf{u}') \in W' \times \mathbf{V}'$. Moreover,

$$||p'|| + ||\mathbf{u}'|| \le C\{||f|| + ||\bar{\mathbf{u}}||\}$$





The Closure Operator

Constant part: Define $(\tilde{p}', \tilde{\mathbf{u}}') \in W' \times \mathbf{V}'$ by $(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}', \mathbf{v}') = (\tilde{p}', \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}') \quad \forall \mathbf{v}' \in \mathbf{V}'$ $(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}', w') = (f, w') \quad \forall w' \in W'$

Linear part: For $\mathbf{\bar{v}} \in \mathbf{\bar{V}}$, define $(\hat{p}', \hat{\mathbf{u}}') \in W' \times \mathbf{V}'$

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\mathbf{\bar{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'), \mathbf{v}') = (\hat{p}', \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}') \qquad \forall \mathbf{v}' \in \mathbf{V}'$$
$$(\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}', w') = 0 \qquad \forall w' \in W'$$

Then

$$p' = \hat{p}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}) + \tilde{p}'$$
$$\mathbf{u}' = \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}'$$

Lemma. The operator $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}': \bar{\mathbf{V}} \to \mathbf{V}'$ is bounded and linear.





The Upscaled Equation

The coarse scale equation, in symmetric form, is: Find $(\bar{p}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \in \bar{W} \times \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ such that

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}})), (\bar{\mathbf{v}}+\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})))$$

= $(\bar{p}, \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}) - (a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}', \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \quad \forall \ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}$
 $(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{w}) = (f, \bar{w}) \quad \forall \ \bar{w} \in \bar{W}$

Full solution:

$$p = \bar{p} + \hat{p}'(\bar{u}) + \tilde{p}'$$
$$u = \bar{u} + \hat{u}'(\bar{u}) + \tilde{u}'$$

Remarks:

- No approximation has been made yet.
- The equations maintain strict local conservation on both scales.
- The subgrid and upscaled problems are well posed.
- Because $\mathbf{V}' \cdot \mathbf{\nu} = \mathbf{0}$ on each ∂E , $\hat{\mathbf{u}}'$ is locally defined:

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}})|_E = \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_E)$$



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Antidiffusion from the Correction Terms

We can also rewrite the problem as

Find $(\bar{p}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \in \bar{W} \times \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ such that

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{u}},\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - (a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}),\hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}})) = (\bar{p},\nabla\cdot\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - (a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}',\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{\mathbf{v}}\in\bar{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{w}) = (f, \bar{w}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{w} \in \bar{W}$$

Thus the subscale correction is antidiffusive on the coarse scale.





Fine 30×30

Average a coarse 6×6

Remark: This is the main reason effective parameters *cannot* work. Multiscale ideas are needed.



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Analytic Representation of the Permeability Term

Let $G_x(y)$ be the Greens function on a coarse element E

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot a_{\epsilon} \nabla G_x = \delta_x - 1/|E| & \text{in } E \\ -a_{\epsilon} \nabla G_x \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial E \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = (\mathbf{\bar{u}} \cdot \nu, a_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla_x G_x)_{\partial E} - (f, a_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla_x G_x)_E$$

and

$$(a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{u}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})_E = \int_E \int_E \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla_x \nabla_y G_x \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} \, dx \, dy - \int_E f' \nabla_x G_x \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} \, dx$$

So the upscaled permeability tensor is a nonlocal (but confined to E) operator

$$\hat{a}_{\epsilon}^{-1}(x,y) = \nabla_x \nabla_y G_x(y)$$

Moreover, there is an affine correction term related to f'.





Numerical Approximation

Choose any inf-sup stable mixed space $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_H \times \bar{W}_H$ on the coarse mesh. Formulation 1: Find $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_H, \bar{p}_H) \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}_H \times \bar{W}_H$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{H} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{H})), \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{H} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{H}) \end{pmatrix}$$

= $(\bar{p}_{H}, \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{H}) - (a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}', \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{H}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{H} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{H}$
 $(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{H}, \bar{w}_{H}) = (f, \bar{w}_{H}) \qquad \forall \ \bar{w}_{H} \in \bar{W}_{H}$

Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u}_H = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_H + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_H) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}'$$
$$p \approx p_H = \bar{p}_H + \hat{p}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_H) + \tilde{p}'$$

Formulation 2: Define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{H} &= \{ \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H} + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}'(\mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H}) : \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H} \in \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{H} \} \subsetneq \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{H} + \mathbf{V}' \\ \text{Find } \mathbf{u}_{H} \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{H} + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}' \text{ and } \mathbf{\bar{p}}_{H} \in \mathbf{\bar{W}}_{H} \text{ such that} \\ (a_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_{H}, \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H}) &= (\mathbf{\bar{p}}_{H}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H}) & \forall \mathbf{\bar{v}}_{H} \in \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{H} \\ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{H}, \mathbf{\bar{w}}_{H}) &= (f, \mathbf{\bar{w}}_{H}) & \forall \mathbf{\bar{w}}_{H} \in \mathbf{\bar{W}}_{H} \end{aligned}$$

Remark: We have some multiscale finite elements!





Some (Multiscale) Mixed Finite Elements







General Remarks

Pressure Spaces: In all cases, we take

 $W_h = \{ \overline{w} \in L^2(\Omega) : \overline{w} \text{ is constant on each coarse element } E \}$ We deal with the fact that $W_h \notin W = L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ in the usual way. Velocity Space: Since

$$\mathbf{\bar{V}} \simeq \{ \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \text{ on } \partial E : E \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$$

we need only specify $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}$ on coarse element edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$. We obtain the corresponding multiscale finite element \mathbf{v}_h by solving the local Neumann problem.





Raviart-Thomas Mixed FEM (RT0)—1

Define $\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{RT0}} \in V_h^{\mathsf{RT0}}$ for each coarse element edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$.

Element definition:

For each edge $e \subset \partial E$, solve

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{R}\mathsf{T}\mathbf{0}} = -\nabla\phi_{e}^{\mathsf{R}\mathsf{T}\mathbf{0}} & \text{in } E, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{R}\mathsf{T}\mathbf{0}} = \pm |e|/|E| & \text{in } E, \\ \mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{R}\mathsf{T}\mathbf{0}} \cdot \nu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } \partial E \setminus e, \\ 1 & \text{on } e, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$



Dual-support definition (rectangular case):







Theorem: (Raviart & Thomas, 1977)

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h^{\mathsf{RT0}}\|_0 \le C \|\mathbf{u}\|_1 h = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

Remark: These elements have no dependence on the scale ϵ . They are accurate only when $h < \epsilon$, i.e., h resolves the fine-scale heterogeneity.

Elements Based on the Heterogeneity

The main idea of multiscale finite elements is to use a_{ϵ} in their definition. In the boundary value problems used to define $\mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{RT0}} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\mathsf{RT0}}$, simply insert the coefficient a_{ϵ} .





Define $\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} \in V_h^{\mathsf{ME0}}$ for each coarse element edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$.

Element definition:

For each edge $e \in \partial E$, solve $\begin{cases}
\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} = -\mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nabla \phi_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} & \text{in } E, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} = \pm |e|/|E| & \text{in } E, \\
\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} \cdot \nu = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{on } \partial E \setminus e, \\
1 & \text{on } e,
\end{cases} \quad E_{e,1} & E_{e,2}
\end{cases}$

Theorem: (Arbogast '04; Chen & Hou '03; Arbogast & Boyd '06)

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_0 \le C \|\mathbf{u}\|_1 h,$$

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_0 \le C \Big\{ h \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_1 + \epsilon \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon/h} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{0,\infty} \Big\},$$

where \mathbf{u}_0 is a smooth function independent of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}.$ Thus,

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_0 = \mathcal{O}\left(\min\left\{\frac{h}{\epsilon}, h + \epsilon + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{h}}\right\}\right)$$



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Multiscale Dual-Support (MD) Elements

(Aarnes, 2004; Aarnes, Krogstad, Lie, 2006)

Define $\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{MD}} \in V_h^{\mathsf{MD}}$ for each coarse element edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$.

Dual support definition (rectangular case):

For each edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, solve $\begin{cases}
\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{MD}} = -\mathbf{a}_{\epsilon} \nabla \phi_e^{\mathsf{MD}} & \text{in } E_e, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{MD}} = \pm |e| / |E_{e,i}| & \text{in } E_{e,i}, \ i = 1, 2, \\
\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{MD}} \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial E_e.
\end{cases}$



A problem: Anisotropy!





Take a constant

$$a_{\epsilon}(x) = a = Q \wedge Q^T$$
 with $\wedge = \begin{pmatrix} 100 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q = 30^{\circ}$ rotation.

We have a genuine anisotropy, but no microstructure.



The space V_h^{MD} cannot reproduce constants, so the method cannot converge in any reasonable sense as $h \rightarrow 0$.



Normal trace on e





Brezzi, Douglas, Marini 1985; Arbogast 2000)

Standard BDM1 Elements: The BDM1 elements have two degrees of freedom per element edge. That is

 $\mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}|_e$ is a linear function for each edge $e \in \partial E$

Moreover

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}|_E$ is a constant on each element $E \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \in W_h$







Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Some Additional Elements

Oversampled elements (OS) (Hou et al., 1997, 2003)

Solve on a larger domain and restrict back to E. Leads to a nonconforming method.



Reduced dimension-based elements (Hou, Wu 1997)

Solve a reduced dimension problem on each edge $e \subset \partial E$ to set $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nu$ on e.

Generalized finite elements and partition of unity methods (Babuška et al. 1983, 1994, 2001)

Create a multiscale finite element basis from a partition of unity modified by local multiscale functions.

Local eigenfunction-based elements (Efendiev, Galvis 2009; Hetmaniuk, Lehoucq 2010)

Base $\mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}$ on solutions to local eigenfunction problems.





Microscale Structure from Homogenization and a New Mixed Multiscale Finite Element





Homogenization

Suppose that a_{ϵ} is locally periodic of period ϵ . Then

$$a_{\epsilon}(x) = a(x, x/\epsilon)$$

where a(x, y) is periodic in y of period 1 on the unit cube Y.

Let a_0 be the homogenized permeability matrix, defined by

$$a_{0,ij}(x) = \int_{Y} a(x,y) \left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial \omega_j(x,y)}{\partial y_i} \right) dy$$

where, for fixed x, $\omega_j(x,y)$ is the Y-periodic solution of

$$-\nabla_y \cdot (a \nabla_y \omega_j) = \nabla \cdot (a \mathbf{e}_j)$$

Homogenized solution: Let (\mathbf{u}_0, p_0) solve

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_0 = -a_0 \nabla p_0 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = f & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then (\mathbf{u}_0, p_0) is a smooth "approximation" of (\mathbf{u}, p) .





Microscale Structure

Theorem: Assume that $p_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $\alpha_0 = a_0^{-1}$ and define the fixed tensor independent of ϵ and the domain Ω

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij}(x,y) = \sum_{k,\ell} a_{ik}(x,y) \left(\delta_{k\ell} + \frac{\partial \omega_{\ell}(x,y)}{\partial y_k} \right) \alpha_{0,\ell j} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A} = a \left(I + D\omega \right) \alpha_0$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x, x/\epsilon)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}(x) \, \mathbf{u}_{0}(x) + \theta_{\epsilon}^{\Omega}(x)$$

where

$$\|\theta_{\epsilon}^{\Omega}\|_{0} \leq C\left\{\epsilon \|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{1} + \sqrt{\epsilon |\partial \Omega|} \|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{0,\infty}\right\} = \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon}\right)$$

Consequence:

 $\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} \approx \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{u}_{0} \implies \mathbf{V}_{h} \approx \{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} \text{ is some nice smooth function}\}.$

However, these finite elements lie outside $H(div; \Omega)$.



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Homogenization-Based Multiscale (HE) Element—1

Key idea. On each edge e, we use only the normal trace and piecewise constant approximation to define $\mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{HE}} \in V_h^{\mathsf{HE}}$.

$$\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \approx \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{u}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \sim \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = \alpha \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} + \beta \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \qquad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Step 1: On E_e (or a larger oversampled domain), find the periodic solution $\omega_j(x)$ of

$$-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla \omega_j) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_j}$$

Compute \mathcal{A}_{ϵ} from ω_1 and ω_2 , and extract $\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \nu$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \nu$ on e.





Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Homogenization-Based Multiscale (HE) Element—2





Remarks:

- This is *not* a dual-support element, but we use E_e in the definition.
- We sample the microstructure a_{ϵ} more thoroughly than ME0.
- It has twice the number of degrees of freedom as MD (same as ME1).





An Error Analysis





Optimal Error Estimates

Theorem (Arbogast 2004) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u}_h = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_h + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_h) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}'$

$$\|a_{\epsilon}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h})\|_{0} \leq \inf_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_{h}\in\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{h}+\mathbf{V}'\\\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_{h}=f}} \|a_{\epsilon}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}_{h})\|_{0}$$

Remarks:

- 1. We have assumed that the upscaling operator is solved exactly, since it can be well resolved on a fine grid.
- 2. The method is locally conservative on the (fully resolved) fine scale.
- 3. We can show optimal polynomial convergence rates in
 - h for the coarse part
 - h_f for the fine part
 - h_f for the divergence
- 4. Optimality is over the large space $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_h + \mathbf{V}'$, so the best approximation has an energy minimizing fine part with respect to the coarse part. That is, the optimal solution is in $\{\bar{\mathbf{v}}_h + \hat{\mathbf{u}}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_h) : \bar{\mathbf{v}}_h \in \bar{\mathbf{V}}_h\} \subsetneq \bar{\mathbf{V}}_h + \mathbf{V}'$





Multiscale Convergence

We present a multiscale error analysis for ME0.

- We quantify the error in terms of h and ϵ .
- The proofs are based on comparison to the homogenized solution.
- The style of proof is due to Hou, Wu, and Cai 1999. See also
 - Efendiev, Hou, and Wu 2000
 - Chen and Hou 2003 (mixed case)
 - Arbogast and Boyd 2006 (mixed case)

We present a simplified proof involving

- certain projection operators
- four key results
- we saw the first key result from homogenization theory

(1)
$$\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}(x) \mathbf{u}_{0}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$$

• a one line proof

We show where MD fails, and conjecture that HE works.





Quasi-Optimality

Assume $a_{\epsilon}(x)$ is smooth and positive definite:

$$a_*|\xi|^2 \leq \xi^T \alpha_\epsilon(x) \, \xi \leq a^*|\xi|^2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Let \mathcal{P}_{W_h} denote L^2 -projection into W_h .

Lemma: (Quasi-optimality) If $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_h \subset W_h$, then

(2)
$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{u}_{h}\|_{0} \leq \sqrt{\frac{a^{*}}{a_{*}}} \|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{v}\|_{0}$$

for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h$ such that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathcal{P}_{W_h} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}$.

Goal: Find any $\mathbf{v}_{\epsilon} \approx \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}$ in $\mathbf{V}_{h}^{\mathsf{M}}$ with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\epsilon} = \mathcal{P}_{W_{h}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon}$.





Homogenized Finite Elements—1

Key idea: To deal with the ϵ scale of our finite elements, define corresponding homogenized finite elements.

Replace the true coefficient in the definition of the finite elements with the corresponding homogenized one.

 $ME0: \quad a_{\epsilon} \longmapsto a_{0}$ $V_{0,h}^{ME0} = \underset{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}}{\text{span}} \{ \mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{ME0} \}$





Homogenized Finite Elements—2

Since our finite elements are defined by boundary value problems, the homogenization theorem applies.

Lemma: For each $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$

$$\mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{ME0}} = \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}} + \theta_{\epsilon}^{E_{e},\mathsf{ME0}}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_{\epsilon}^{E_{e},\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{0,E_{e}} &\leq C \Big\{ \epsilon \|\mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{1,E_{e}} + \sqrt{\epsilon} |\partial E_{e}| \|\mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{0,\infty,E_{e}} \Big\} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\Big(\Big\{ \frac{\epsilon}{h} + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{h}} \Big\} h^{d/2} \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Remark: We see numerical resonance (i.e., factors of ϵ/h) here in the estimate. These terms come from localizing to the element E_e .





Flux-Based Projection Operators

The average normal flux across $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$ is

$$\gamma_e = \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu_e \, ds$$

The Raviart-Thomas projection is

$$\pi^{\mathsf{RT0}}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \gamma_e \mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{RT0}} \in \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathsf{RT0}}$$

Similarly, define

$$\pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \gamma_e \mathbf{v}_e^{\mathsf{ME0}} \in \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_0^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \gamma_e \mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \in \mathbf{V}_{0,h}^{\mathsf{ME0}}$$

Lemma:

$$\nabla \cdot \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \pi^{\mathsf{RT0}} \mathbf{v} = \mathcal{P}_{W_{h}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$$



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Lemma:

(3)
$$\|\pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}\pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v}\|_{0} \leq C\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1}\left(\epsilon/h + \sqrt{\epsilon/h}\right)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}\pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \gamma_{e}(\mathbf{v}_{e}^{\mathsf{ME0}} - \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}\mathbf{v}_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \gamma_{e}\theta_{e}^{E_{e},\mathsf{ME0}} \\ & \|\pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon}\pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\mathbf{v}\|_{0,E} \leq \sum_{e \subset \partial E} |\gamma_{e}| \, \|\theta_{e}^{E_{e},\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{0,E} \\ & \leq C \sum_{e \subset \partial E} \left(h^{-d/2}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,E_{e}}\right) \left(\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{h} + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{h}}\right\}h^{d/2}\right) \\ & = C \sum_{e \subset \partial E} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,E_{e}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{h} + \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{h}}\right) \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Smooth Projection Approximation

Lemma: If $\mathbf{v}_0 = -a_0 \nabla \phi_0$, then

(4)
$$\|\mathbf{v}_0 - \pi_0^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{v}_0\|_0 \le C \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_1 h$$

Proof:

$$\psi = \mathbf{v} - \pi_0^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{v} = -a_0 \nabla \left(\phi_0 - \sum_{e \in \partial E} \gamma_e \phi_{0,e}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \right)$$
 in E

is a potential field satisfying the Neumann problem

$$\nabla \cdot \psi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathcal{P}_{W_h} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 \quad \text{in } E$$

$$\psi \cdot \nu_e = \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nu_e - \gamma_e \quad \text{on } e \subset \partial E$$

The standard energy estimate gives the result.

Remarks:

- The counterexamples show that similar results cannot hold for MD.
- Conjecture: A similar result holds for HE, since

$$\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \longrightarrow I$$
 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$





Convergence Theorem

Theorem: If Ω has elliptic regularity and $p_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{u}_{h}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{0} + \|\mathcal{P}_{W_{h}}p_{\epsilon} - p_{h}\|_{0} \\ &\leq C\Big\{\Big(\epsilon + \epsilon/h + \sqrt{\epsilon/h} + h\Big)\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{1} + \sqrt{\epsilon}\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{0,\infty}\Big\} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}^{\mathsf{ME0}} = \mathcal{P}_{W_{h}}f \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{u}_{h}^{\mathsf{ME0}})\|_{0} \leq C\|f\|_{1}h \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Proof:} \\ & \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} \approx \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0} \in \mathbf{V}_{h}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0} = \mathcal{P}_{W_{h}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{0} = \mathcal{P}_{W_{h}} \mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} \\ & \|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathbf{u}_{h}^{\mathsf{ME0}}\|_{0} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{0} \\ & \text{(2) Quasi-optimality} \\ & \leq C \Big\{ \|\mathbf{u}_{\epsilon} - \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{0} + \|\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} (\mathbf{u}_{0} - \pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0})\|_{0} + \|\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon} \pi_{0}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0} - \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{0} \Big\} \\ & \text{(1) Homogenization} \qquad (4) \text{ Smooth Proj.} \qquad (3) \text{ Multiscale Proj.} \end{aligned}$$

Divergence result follows trivially from the definitions. Pressure result follows from the inf-sup condition.

Remark: A similar proof holds for ME1.

Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



Inf-Sup Condition

Corollary: If Ω has elliptic regularity, then there is some $\beta > 0$, independent of ϵ , such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}}} \frac{(w_h, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h)}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_0 + \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h\|_0} \ge \beta \|w_h\|_0 \quad \forall w_h \in W_h$$

Proof: Solve

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 = w_h & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{v}_0 = -a_0 \nabla \phi_0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases} \implies \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_1 \le C \|w_h\|_0$$

Take

$$\mathbf{v}_h = \pi_{\epsilon}^{\mathsf{ME0}} \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{V}_h^{\mathsf{ME0}} \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h = \mathcal{P}_{W_h} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0 = w_h$$

Then





Some Numerical Results







Composite Numerical Grid for BDM1–RT0

We use RT0 for the fine scales in all cases.



• Pressure \otimes Coarse velocity (linear) \times Subgrid velocity We fully resolve a and f (using the variational multiscale correction affine correction term), but we only partially couple the dynamics.



Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin



A Constant, Anisotropic Permeability—1

• Constant

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 80.8 & 39.4 \\ 39.4 & 21.7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 100.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.99 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

- In this case, $\omega_j = 0$ for each j.
 - Half the basis functions have constant fluxes across coarse edges
 - Half the "basis functions" vanish, so HE = ME0. Reset to linear

Then HE = ME1 (and oversampling does not help).

- Unit square $\Omega = (0,1)^2$ with an injection well in the lower left corner and a production well of opposite strength in the upper right corner.
- We take BDM1 on a 160×160 grid as the exact solution.
- Color depicts speed and the arrows show the velocity direction (and speed).





Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin





- Solution using fixed resolution 1/h = 160
- \bullet MD exhibits a fluctuation of period H

A Constant, Anisotropic Permeability—3

	Coarse	Subgrid	Pressure		Velocity	
	mesh	mesh	Error		Error	
Method	$N \times N$	n imes n	ℓ^2	ℓ^∞	ℓ^2	ℓ^{∞}
HE	10	16	0.0525	0.315	0.252	0.343
	20	8	0.0017	0.019	0.060	0.192
	40	4	0.0007	0.007	0.019	0.046
	80	2	0.0006	0.006	0.012	0.016
MD	10	16	0.0551	0.286	0.371	0.358
	20	8	0.0197	0.139	0.264	0.545
	40	4	0.0077	0.060	0.144	0.357
	80	2	0.0016	0.014	0.055	0.134







A Streaked Permeability—1

Permeability pattern illustrated on 7×7 grid.

- One cell wide streaks
- Angle $\tan \theta = 1/2 \ (\theta = 26.565)$
- Alternate permeability 200 (black) and 1 (white)
- The arithmetic and harmonic means of 1 and 200 are 100.5 and 1.99

Up to the stair-step nature of the permeability streaks, these fields homogenize into the anisotropic tensor treated in the previous tests.







Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences Center for Subsurface Modeling, The University of Texas at Austin





- MD is more numerically diffusive and the speed is disjointed
- MD exhibits a fluctuation across the domain of period ${\cal H}$
- MD has difficulty since there is an induced anisotropy from the subgrid

Moderately Heterogeneous Permeability—1

- uniform $40 \times 40 \text{ m}^2$ grid
- geostatistically generated permeability, mildly correlated, locally isotropic
- Permeability shown on a log scale, varies from 0.32 to 3200 millidarcy







Moderately Heterogeneous Permeability—2



 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{MD} & \mathsf{HE} & \mathsf{HE-OS} \\ \bullet \ 40 \times 40 \ \text{fine grid}, \ 4 \times 4 \ \text{coarse grid with} \ 10 \times 10 \ \text{subgrid} \end{array}$

• Color depicts speed, on a log scale (arrows show velocity)

	Pres	sure	Velc	ocity
	Er	ror	Er	ror
Method	ℓ^2	ℓ^∞	ℓ^2	ℓ^{∞}
RT0	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03
ME0	0.16	0.21	0.29	0.26
ME1	0.10	0.16	0.19	0.17
MD	0.14	0.24	0.16	0.12
HE	0.13	0.20	0.14	0.14
HE-OS	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.11





Some Channelized Flows

These are far from periodic!







Simple Channelized Permeability—1

Local methods have difficulty with long-range correlations.



Perm: 10-white, 1-gray, 0.1-black



Fine BDM1 speed (log scale)



 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{HE-OS} & \text{HE} & \text{MD} \\ \text{Fine 30} \times 30 \text{ grid}, \ 3 \times 3 \text{ coarse grid with } 10 \times 10 \text{ subgrid} \end{array}$

	Pres	sure	Velc	ocity
	Er	ror	Er	ror
Method	ℓ^2	ℓ^∞	ℓ^2	ℓ^∞
RT0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04
ME0	1.10	0.18	0.47	0.34
ME1	0.49	0.12	0.28	0.32
MD	0.31	0.10	0.26	0.36
HE	0.28	0.07	0.20	0.31
HE-OS	0.28	0.07	0.15	0.22









Grid: The grid is 60×220 , upscaled to 6×22 .













	Pre	ssure	Velocity	
Method	L2 err	max err	L2 err	max err
RT0	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.18
ME0	1.89	1.52	0.71	0.87
ME1	1.28	1.04	0.66	0.86
MD	1.03	1.05	0.57	0.52
HE	1.50	1.27	0.48	0.50
HE-OS	1.59	1.29	0.49	0.50









Tracer Concentration







Grid: The grid is 60×220 , upscaled to 6×22 .









Speed







Relative Errors with Respect to BDM Solution						
	Pre	ssure	Velocity		Tracer	
	E	rror	E	Error		
Method	L2 err	max err	L2 err	max err	L2 err	
RT0	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.14		
ME0	0.27	0.10	0.72	0.53		
ME1	0.22	0.08	0.58	0.56	0.41	
MD	0.24	0.11	0.45	0.46	0.30	
HE	0.24	0.10	0.70	0.91	0.20	
HE-OS	0.24	0.11	0.35	0.55	0.18	









Tracer Concentration





Some Techniques for Controlling Errors

Limited global information (Aarnes 2004; Chen, Durlofsky 2006; Efendiev et al. 2006)

Use the solution to a full fine scale problem to set the proper BC's for $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ on edges $e \subset \partial E$. This is useful for

- nonlinear problems (solve a global linear problem)
- time dependent problems
- stochastic problems

A-posteriori error estimation and control (Arbogast, Pencheva, Wheeler, Yotov 2007; Pencheva, Vohralik, Wheeler, Wildey 2010) Include more scales where a-posteriori estimation shows high errors.

Preconditioners (Xu, Zikatanov 2004; Graham, Scheichl 2007)

Iterate the fine scale system to convergence using multiscale ideas as a preconditioner (or in defining prolongation operators in multigrid).





Summary and Conclusions







Summary and Conclusions—1

- 1. We presented the Variational Multiscale Method.
 - Upscaling is an affine and antidiffusive operation
 - Implicitly defines multiscale finite elements
- 2. We defined multiscale elements:
 - Multiscale elements (ME0 and ME1).
 - Multiscale dual-support (MD) elements.
 - These do not converge in the presence of anisotropy.
 - However, experience suggests they work well in a practically reasonable range of parameters ϵ and h.
 - A new homogenization-based element HE was defined from the microscale structure.





- 3. Error results were presented
 - Optimal approximations
 - Polynomial approximation theory
 - A simplified proof was presented for multiscale convergence of ME0:
 - (2) Quasi-optimality
 - (1) Microscale structure
 - (3) Multiscale projection approximation
 - (4) Smooth projection approximation
- 4. Numerical results show the methods work well
 - Except ME0
 - Perhaps HE-OS works best
 - MD works well in practice, but has some difficulty with anisotropy





