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The aim of the talk:

- To give very brief introduction to $L_\infty$: definition and relation to gauge theories.
- Explain the idea of $L_\infty$ bootstrap program as a generalization of a Gauge Principle. We promote the existence of $L_\infty$ to a guiding principle for bootstrapping unknown gauge theories or consistent deformation of well defined theories.
- Exemplify the proposed ideas constructing the gauge theories on the general NC space, with non-constant NC parameter $\Theta$.
- Construction of $L_{\text{gauge}}$ algebra $+$ recurrence relations.
- Explicit expressions for the non-commutative $su(2)$-like and non-associative octonionic-like deformations of the abelian gauge transformation in slowly varying field approximation.
- Construction of $L_{\text{full}}$ algebra for NC Chern-Simons theory.
Definition of $L_\infty$ in $\ell$-picture

- is a graded vector space: $X = \bigoplus_n X_n$,
- endowed with multi-linear maps: $\ell_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, of degree

$$\deg(\ell_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = n - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i),$$

- which are graded anti-symmetric,

$$\ell_n(\ldots, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (-1)^{1+\deg(x_1)\deg(x_2)} \ell_n(\ldots, x_2, x_1, \ldots),$$

- and satisfy the relations (generalized Jacobi identities):

$$J_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \sum_{i+j=n+1} (-1)^{i(j-1)} \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \chi(\sigma; x) \ell_j(\ell_i(x_{\sigma(1)}), \ldots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0,$$

where the permutations are restricted to the ones with:

$\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i), \sigma(i+1) < \cdots < \sigma(n)$, and the sign

$\chi(\sigma; x) = \pm 1$ can be determined from graded anti-symmetry.
The first $L_\infty$ relations read

\[
\ell_1(\ell_1(x)) = 0, \\
\ell_1(\ell_2(x_1, x_2)) = \ell_2(\ell_1(x_1), x_2) + (-1)^{x_1}\ell_2(x_1, \ell_1(x_2)),
\]

meaning that $\ell_1$ is a nilpotent derivation with respect to $\ell_2$, i.e., the Leibniz rule is satisfied.

\[
0 = \ell_1(\ell_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \ell_3(\ell_1(x_1), x_2, x_3) \\
+ (-1)^{x_1}\ell_3(x_1, \ell_1(x_2), x_3) + (-1)^{x_1+x_2}\ell_3(x_1, x_2, \ell_1(x_3)) \\
+ \ell_2(\ell_2(x_1, x_2), x_3) + (-1)^{x_2+x_3}\ell_2(x_2, x_3, x_1) \\
+ (-1)^{x_1+x_2+x_3}\ell_2(\ell_2(x_3, x_1), x_2),
\]

the Jacobi identity for $\ell_2$ is violated up to $\ell_1$ exact terms.

- Any Lie algebra $g$ can be represented as $L_\infty$, setting $X_0 = g$, and all other $X_n$ empty. Then $\ell_1 = 0$, and $\ell_2(x_1, x_2) = [x_1, x_2]$. 
Consider $X = X_0 \oplus X_{-1}$, with $X_0$ being the space of gauge parameters $f$, and $X_{-1}$ the space of gauge fields $A_a$. The graded anti-symmetry in this case means:

\[
\ell_n(\ldots, f, g, \ldots) = (-1)^{1+|f|\cdot|g|}\ell_n(\ldots, g, f, \ldots) = -\ell_n(\ldots, g, f, \ldots)
\]

\[
\ell_n(\ldots, f, A, \ldots) = -\ell_n(\ldots, A, f, \ldots),
\]

\[
\ell_n(\ldots, A, B, \ldots) = \ell_n(\ldots, B, A, \ldots).
\]

Since, $\deg(\ell_n) = n - 2$, the only non-vanishing brackets can be

\[
\ell_{n+1}(f, A^n) \in X_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) \in X_0,
\]

satisfying the relations

\[
\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) = 0,
\]

with $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) \in X_{-1}$, and $\mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) \in X_0$. 
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Consider $X = X_0 \oplus X_{-1}$, with $X_0$ being the space of gauge parameters $f$, and $X_{-1}$ the space of gauge fields $A_a$. The graded anti-symmetry in this case means:

$$\ell_n(\ldots, f, g, \ldots) = (-1)^{1+|f|\cdot|g|}\ell_n(\ldots, g, f, \ldots) = -\ell_n(\ldots, g, f, \ldots)$$
$$\ell_n(\ldots, f, A, \ldots) = -\ell_n(\ldots, A, f, \ldots),$$
$$\ell_n(\ldots, A, B, \ldots) = \ell_n(\ldots, B, A, \ldots).$$

Since, $\deg(\ell_n) = n - 2$, the only non-vanishing brackets can be

$$\ell_{n+1}(f, A^n) \in X_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) \in X_0,$$

satisfying the relations

$$\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) = 0,$$

with $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) \in X_{-1}$, and $\mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) \in X_0$. 
Gauge transformations

Gauge variations are given by:

$$\delta_f A = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_{n+1}(f, A, \ldots, A) = \ell_1(f) + \ell_2(f, A) + \ldots.$$  \n
Off-shell closure condition,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g] A = \delta_{-C(f,g,A)} A,$$

$$C(f, g, A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_{n+2}(f, g, A, \ldots, A),$$

follows from the $L_\infty$ relations, $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, g, A^n) = 0$.

While the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{\text{cycl}} [\delta_f, [\delta_g, \delta_h]] \equiv 0,$$

is equivalent to the $L_\infty$ relations, $\mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) = 0$. 
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Gauge field theory and $L^\text{full}_\infty$ algebra

It is remarkable that $L_\infty$ defines not only kinematics but also dynamics. Extend the vector space by $X_{-2}$, containing the eom $\mathcal{F}_a$, and so obtain $L^\text{full}_\infty$ with

$$X = X_0 \oplus X_{-1} \oplus X_{-2}. $$

Non-empty $X_{-2}$, implies additional non-vanishing brackets

$$\ell_n(A^n) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{n+2}(f, E, A^{n+1}),$$

as well as (infinitely) many non-trivial identities

$$\mathcal{J}_{n+1}(f, A^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_{n+2}(f, E, A^n) = 0. $$

The equations of motion can be written as

$$\mathcal{F} := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \ell_n(A^n) = 0,$$

$$\delta_f \mathcal{F} = \ell_2(f, \mathcal{F}) + \ell_3(f, \mathcal{F}, A) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_4(f, \mathcal{F}, A^2) + \ldots$$
We have an infinite number of brackets $\ell_n$, which are not arbitrary, since they should satisfy an infinite tower of $L_\infty$ relations.

- **Proposal**: represent initial undeformed theory as well as deformation as a part of new $L_\infty$ algebra; solving $L_\infty$ relations one completes $L_\infty$ algebra describing the consistent deformation of a given gauge theory.

- Start with $L_{\text{gauge}}^\infty$ algebra. Bootstrap input: $\ell_1(f) \in X_{-1}$, and $\ell_2(f, g) \in X_0$.

- Then from, $J_2(f, g) = 0$, one finds $\ell_2(f, A)$.

- After that, $J_3(f, g, h) = 0$, defines $\ell_3(f, g, A)$, etc.

- Once $L_{\text{gauge}}^\infty$ is constructed we specify the undeformed gauge theory by setting $\ell_1(A) \in X_{-2}$, with $\ell_1^2 = 0$.

- Then solving the corresponding $L_\infty$ relations we construct $L_{\text{full}}^\infty$ algebra.
Problem: non-constant $\Theta$

Given undeformed gauge theory, e.g., abelian Chern-Simons. The problem is to construct the consistent gauge theory on the NC space defined by $[x^i, x^j] = i \Theta^{ij}(x)$, which in the commutative limit, $\Theta \to 0$, reproduces the undeformed one.

One cannot simply substitute all point-wise products with a star products in the action, since the Leibniz rule is violated,

$$\partial_a (f \star g) = \partial_a f \star g + f \star \partial_a g + \frac{i}{2} (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + O(\Theta^2),$$

and the standard gauge principle is no longer applicable.

- **Old:** Hopf-algebra approach, generalized Leibniz rule (deformed co-product using twist); the corresponding twist element is known for very few $\Theta^{ij}(x)$.
- **One may use the inner derivatives, $D_i = c[x_i, \cdot]_\star$, leading to the problems with the commutative limit.**
- **New:** Consider this problem in the framework of $L_\infty$. 

---
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Construction of $L^\infty$ algebra, $X = X_0 \oplus X_{-1}$

Let: $\ell_1(f) = \partial_a f \in X_{-1}$; $(\ell_1(A) = 0$, since $X_{-2} = \emptyset)$ and

$$\ell_2(f, g) = i[f, g]_* = -\{f, g\} + O(\Theta^3) \in X_0.$$  

$\ell_2(f, A)$ can be non-zero and should be found from $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0$,

$$\ell_1(\ell_2(f, g)) = -\{\ell_1(f), g\} - \{f, \ell_1(g)\} - (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + O(\Theta^3),$$ 

which implies that

$$\ell_2(f, A) = i[f, A_a]_* - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f A_j + O(\Theta^3).$$

- Note that the solution is not unique, one may also set, e.g.,

$$\ell'_2(f, A) = \ell_2(f, A) + s^{ij}_a(x) \partial_i f A_j,$$

However, the symmetric part $s^{ij}_a(x) \partial_i f A_j$ can be always “gauged away” by $L^\infty$-QISO, physically equivalent to SW map, for more details see arXiv:1806.10314, and Matthias talk today.
Construction of $L_\infty$ gauge algebra, $X = X_0 \oplus X_{-1}$

Let: $\ell_1(f) = \partial_a f \in X_{-1}; (\ell_1(A) = 0, \text{since } X_{-2} = \emptyset)$ and

$$\ell_2(f, g) = i[f, g] = -\{f, g\} + O(\Theta^3) \in X_0.$$ 

$\ell_2(f, A)$ can be non-zero and should be found from $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0,$

$$\ell_1(\ell_2(f, g)) = -\{\ell_1(f), g\} - \{f, \ell_1(g)\} - (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f \partial_j g + O(\Theta^3),$$

$$= \ell_2(\ell_1(f), g) + \ell_2(f, \ell_1(g)).$$

which implies that

$$\ell_2(f, A) = i[f, A_a] - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i f A_j + O(\Theta^3).$$

- Note that the solution is not unique, one may also set, e.g.,

$$\ell'_2(f, A) = \ell_2(f, A) + s^{ij}_a(x) \partial_i f A_j, \quad s^{ij}_a(x) = s^{ji}_a(x).$$

However, the symmetric part $s^{ij}_a(x) \partial_i f A_j$ can be always "gauged away" by $L_\infty$-QISO, physically equivalent to SW map, for more details see arXiv:1806.10314, and Matthias talk today.
Next step is to check, $\mathcal{J}_3(f, g, h) = 0$, and define $\ell_3(f, g, A)$,

$$0 = \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), g) + \ell_3(\ell_1(f), g, h) + \ell_3(f, \ell_1(g), h) + \ell_3(f, g, \ell_1(h)).$$

The first line is a Jacobiator, which in the leading order reads,

$$\ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), g) = -\Pi^{ijk} \partial_i f \partial_j g \partial_k h,$$

$$\Pi^{ijk} := \Theta^{il} \partial_l \Theta^{jk} + \Theta^{kl} \partial_l \Theta^{ij} + \Theta^{jl} \partial_l \Theta^{ki}.$$

For associative NC deformations we may just set, $\ell_3(A, f, g) = 0$, while for non-associative one needs non-vanishing $\ell_3(A, f, g)$ to satisfy it,

$$\ell_3(A, f, g) = \frac{1}{3} \Pi^{ijk} A_i \partial_j f \partial_k g + \mathcal{O}(\Theta^3).$$
Then, we have to analyze $\mathcal{J}_3(f, g, A) = 0$, given by

\[
0 = \ell_2(\ell_2(A, f), g) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), A) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, A), f) + \\
\ell_1(\ell_3(A, f, g)) - \ell_3(A, \ell_1(f), g) - \ell_3(A, f, \ell_1(g)).
\]

We replace it with $\mathcal{J}_3(g, h, \ell_1(f)) = 0$, written in the form

\[
\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h) - \ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(h), g) = G(f, g, h),
\]

\[
G(f, g, h) := \ell_1(\ell_3(\ell_1(f), g, h))
\]

\[
+ \ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(f), g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), \ell_1(f)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, \ell_1(f)), g).
\]

By construction, $G(f, g, h) = -G(g, f, h)$. The graded symmetry of $\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h)$ implies the graded cyclicity (consistency condition) of $G(f, g, h)$:

\[
G(f, g, h) + G(h, f, g) + G(g, h, f) = 0.
\]

Below we show that it holds true as a consequence of the previous “Jacobi identities”, $\mathcal{J}_2(f, g) = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_3(f, g, h) = 0$. 
\[ G(f, g, h) + G(h, f, g) + G(g, h, f) = \\
\ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(h), f), g) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), \ell_1(h)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, \ell_1(h)), f) + \\
\ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(g), h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), \ell_1(g)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(f, \ell_1(g)), h) + \\
\ell_2(\ell_2(\ell_1(f), g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), \ell_1(f)) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, \ell_1(f)), g) + \\
\ell_1(\ell_3(\ell_1(f), g, h)) + \ell_1(\ell_3(f, \ell_1(g), h)) + \ell_1(\ell_3(f, g, \ell_1(h))). \]

Using \(J_2(f, g) = 0\), we rewrite it as

\[
\ell_1 [ \ell_2(\ell_2(f, g), h) + \ell_2(\ell_2(g, h), f) + \ell_2(\ell_2(h, f), g) + \\
\ell_3(\ell_1(f), g, h) + \ell_3(f, \ell_1(g), h) + \ell_3(f, g, \ell_1(h))] = \\
\ell_1 [J_3(f, g, h)] = 0.
\]

Thus, the combination (symmetrization in \(f\) and \(g\)):

\[
\ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h) = -\frac{1}{6} \left( G(f, g, h) + G(g, f, h) \right),
\]

has required graded symmetry and solves \(J_3(g, h, \ell_1(f)) = 0\).
Setting
\[ \ell_3(A, B, h) = \ell_3(\ell_1(f), \ell_1(g), h)|_{\ell_1(f)=A; \ell_1(g)=B}, \]

one gets in the leading order,
\[
\ell_3(A, B, f) = -\frac{1}{6} \left( G_{ijk} + G_{ijk} \right) A_i B_j \partial_k f \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \Pi^{ijk} (\partial_a A_i B_j \partial_k f - A_i \partial_a B_j \partial_k f) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{ijk} (\partial_i A_a B_j \partial_k f - A_i \partial_j B_a \partial_k f) \\
+ O(\Theta^3).
\]

with
\[
G_{a}^{ijk} = \frac{1}{3} \partial_a \Pi^{ijk} - \Theta^{im} \partial_m \partial_a \Theta^{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Theta^{jm} \partial_m \Theta^{ki} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \Theta^{km} \partial_m \Theta^{ij}.
\]

- The consistency condition (graded cyclicity) holds true as a consequence of $L_\infty$ construction.
- Even in the associative case one needs higher brackets to compensate the violation of the Leibnitz rule.
Non-associative case: $\mathcal{J}_4(f, g, h, A) = 0$, we substitute with $\mathcal{J}_4(f, g, h, \ell_1(k)) = 0$, written as

$$\ell_4(\ell_1(f), g, h, \ell_1(k)) + \ell_4(f, \ell_1(g), h, \ell_1(k)) + \ell_4(f, g, \ell_1(h), \ell_1(k)) = F(f, g, h, k),$$

with

$$F(f, g, h, k) := \ell_2(\ell_3(f, g, \ell_1(k)), h) + \ell_2(g, \ell_3(f, h, \ell_1(k))) - \ell_2(f, \ell_3(g, h, \ell_1(k))) + \ell_3(\ell_2(f, g), h, \ell_1(k)) - \ell_3(\ell_2(f, h), g, \ell_1(k)) + \ell_3(\ell_2(f, \ell_1(k)), g, h) - \ell_3(f, \ell_2(g, h), \ell_1(k)) + \ell_3(f, \ell_2(g, \ell_1(k)), h) + \ell_3(f, g, \ell_2(h, \ell_1(k))).$$

By the construction $F(f, g, h, k)$ is antisymmetric in first three arguments and the graded symmetry of $\ell_4(\ell_1(f), g, h, \ell_1(k))$ implies the graded cyclicity (consistency condition):

$$F(f, g, h, k) - F(k, f, g, h) + F(h, k, f, g) - F(g, h, k, f) = 0.$$
Again, the consistency condition holds true as a consequence of the previous Jacobi identities, graded symmetry and multi-linearity of the products $\ell_\nu$. One finds,

$$\ell_4(\ell_1(f), g, h, \ell_1(k)) = \frac{1}{8} (F(f, g, h, k) + F(k, g, h, f)) .$$

Then,

$$\ell_4(A, g, h, B) = \ell_4(\ell_1(f), g, h, \ell_1(k))\big|_{\ell_1(f)=A; \ell_1(k)=B} .$$

The explicit form in the leading order,

$$\ell_4(A, g, h, B) = \left[ \frac{1}{16} \prod^{jlm} \partial_m \Theta^{ki} + \frac{1}{16} \prod^{jkm} \partial_m \Theta^{li} - \frac{1}{16} \prod^{ilm} \partial_m \Theta^{kj} ight. \left. - \frac{1}{16} \prod^{ikm} \partial_m \Theta^{lj} - \frac{1}{24} \Theta^{km} \partial_m \prod^{ijl} - \frac{1}{24} \Theta^{lm} \partial_m \prod^{ijk} \right] \partial_i g \partial_j f A_k B_l .$$
Recurrence relations for $L^{\text{gauge}}_\infty$ algebra

For, $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(g, h, A^n) = 0, \ n > 1$ we proceed in the similar way. First we substitute them by $\mathcal{J}_{n+2}(g, h, \ell_1(f)^n) = 0,$

$$\ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h) - \ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(h), g) = G(f_1, \ldots, f_n, g, h),$$

The graded symmetry of $\ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h)$ implies the consistency condition,

$$G(f_1, \ldots, f_n, g, h) + G(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g, h, f_n) + G(f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h, f_n, g) = 0,$$

which follows from the previous $L_\infty$ relations and can be proved by the induction.

The solution is constructed by taking the symmetrization of the r.h.s. in the first $n + 1$ arguments, i.e.,

$$\ell_{n+2}(\ell_1(f)^n, \ell_1(g), h) = -\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \left( G(f_1, \ldots, f_n, g, h) + G(f_2, \ldots, f_n, g, f_1, h) + \cdots + G(f_n, \ldots, f_{n-1}, h) \right).$$
Identities: \( \mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, A^n) = 0, \ n > 1 \), are substituted by, \( \mathcal{J}_{n+3}(f, g, h, \ell_1(k)^n) = 0 \), written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n+3}(\ell_1(f), g, h, \ell_1(k)^n) + \ell_{n+3}(f, \ell_1(g), h, \ell_1(k)^n) \\
+\ell_{n+3}(f, g, \ell_1(h), \ell_1(k)^n) = F(f, g, h, k_1, ..., k_n).
\end{align*}
\]

The r.h.s. should satisfy the graded cyclicity which follows from the previous Jacobi identities, graded symmetry and multi-linearity of the products \( \ell_n \).

The solution is constructed by taking the corresponding symmetrization of r.h.s.:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n+3}(f, g, \ell_1(h), \ell_1(k)^n) &= -\frac{1}{n(n+2)} \bigg( F(f, g, h, k_1, ..., k_n) \\
&+ F(f, g, k_1, ..., k_n, h) + \cdots + F(f, g, k_n, h, k_1, ..., k_{n-1}) \bigg),
\end{align*}
\]

see arXiv:1805.12040 for details.
Slowly varying field approximation

The main aim here is to do some explicit calculations to illustrate the proposed ideas. Consider the limit of slowly varying, but not necessarily small gauge fields. We discard the higher derivatives terms and take, \( \ell_2(f, g) = -\{f, g\} \), as a Poisson bracket. Then

\[
\ell_2(f, A) = -\{f, A_a\} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a \Theta^{ij}) \partial_i fA_j .
\]

For some particular choices of \( \Theta \) we may do the all orders calculation. Taking, e.g., \( \Theta^{ij}(x) = 2\varepsilon^{ijk}x^k \), we may see that

\[
\delta_f A_a = \partial_a f + \{A_a, f\}_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{abc} A_b \partial_c f + \left( \partial_a fA^2 - \partial_b fA^b A_a \right) \chi(A^2) .
\]

From the gauge closure condition, \([\delta_f, \delta_g]A = \delta_{\{f, g\}} A\), one finds,

\[
\chi(t) = \frac{1}{t} \left( \sqrt{t} \cot \sqrt{t} - 1 \right) , \quad \chi(0) = -\frac{1}{3} .
\]

- NC su(2)-like deformation of the abelian gauge transformations in the slowly varying field approximation.
One can do the same with the quasi-Poisson structure isomorphic to the commutator algebra of the imaginary octonions,

$$\{\xi_A, \xi_B\}_{\eta} = 2\eta_{ABC} \xi_C,$$

$$\eta_{ABC} = +1 \text{ for } ABC = 123, 435, 471, 516, 572, 624, 673.$$ 

Since, $$\{\xi_A, \xi_B, \xi_C\} = -12\eta_{ABCD} \xi_D,$$ we have, $$\ell_{n+2}(f, g, \Phi^n) \neq 0,$$ implying the modification of the closure condition,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g] \Phi = \delta_{-C(f, g, \Phi)} \Phi,$$

with, see arXiv:1805.12040 for details,

$$C(f, g, \Phi) = -\{f, g\}_{\eta}$$

$$-2\eta_{ABCD} \partial_A f \partial_B g \Phi_C \left(\frac{\sin 2\sqrt{\Phi^2}}{\sqrt{\Phi^2}} \xi_D + 2 \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{\Phi^2}}{\Phi^2} \eta_{DEF} \Phi_E \xi_F\right).$$

In 3D, $$\eta_{ABCD} = 0,$$ and $$\{f, g\}_{\eta} = \{f, g\}_\varepsilon.$$
Slowly varying field approximation

The expression for the gauge variation reads,

$$\delta_f \Phi_A = \partial_A f + \{\Phi_A, f\}_{\eta} + \eta_{ABC} \Phi_B \partial_C f + \left( \partial_A f \Phi^2 - \partial_B f \Phi^B \Phi_A \right) \chi(\Phi^2).$$

- Non-associative octonionic-like deformation of the abelian gauge transformations for slowly varying fields.

Defining the coordinates and momenta in terms of $\xi_A$ as,

$$x^i = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \ell_s^3 R}{2\hbar}} \xi_{3+i}, \quad p_i = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \xi_i, \quad x^4 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^3 \ell_s^3 R}{2\hbar}} \xi_7,$$

we obtain from $\{\xi_A, \xi_B\}_{\eta} = 2 \eta_{ABC} \xi_C$:

$$\{x^i, x^j\}_\lambda = \frac{\ell_s^3}{\hbar^2} R^{4,ijk4} p_k \quad \text{and} \quad \{x^4, x^i\}_\lambda = \frac{\lambda \ell_s^3}{\hbar^2} R^{4,1234} p^i,$$

$$\{x^i, p_j\}_\lambda = \delta^i_j x^4 + \lambda \varepsilon^i_{jk} x^k \quad \text{and} \quad \{x^4, p_i\}_\lambda = \lambda^2 x_i,$$

$$\{p_i, p_j\}_\lambda = -\lambda \varepsilon_{ijk} p^k.$$

with $\lambda$ being the M-theory radius. Sending $\lambda \to 0$ one recover the constant R-flux algebra, see [Günaydin, Lüst, Malek’ 16].
The expression for the gauge variation reads,
\[
\delta_f \Phi_A = \partial_A f + \{ \Phi_A, f \}_\eta + \eta_{ABC} \Phi_B \partial_C f + \left( \partial_A f \Phi^2 - \partial_B f \Phi^B \Phi_A \right) \chi(\Phi^2).
\]

- Non-associative octonionic-like deformation of the abelian gauge transformations for slowly varying fields.

Defining the coordinates and momenta in terms of \( \xi_A \) as,
\[
\begin{align*}
x^i &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \ell_s^3 R}{2\hbar}} \xi_{3+i}, & p_i &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} \xi_i, & x^4 &= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^3 \ell_s^3 R}{2\hbar}} \xi_7,
\end{align*}
\]
we obtain from \( \{ \xi_A, \xi_B \}_\eta = 2 \eta_{ABC} \xi_C \):
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ x^i, x^j \}_\lambda &= \frac{\ell_s^3}{\hbar^2} R^{4,ijk4} p_k \quad \text{and} \quad \{ x^4, x^i \}_\lambda = \frac{\lambda \ell_s^3}{\hbar^2} R^{4,1234} p^i, \\
\{ x^i, p_j \}_\lambda &= \delta^i_j x^4 + \lambda \varepsilon^i_{jk} x^k \quad \text{and} \quad \{ x^4, p_i \}_\lambda = \lambda^2 x_i, \\
\{ p_i, p_j \}_\lambda &= -\lambda \varepsilon_{ijk} p^k.
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \lambda \) being the M-theory radius. Sending \( \lambda \to 0 \) one recover the constant R-flux algebra, see [Günaydin, Lüst, Malek’ 16].
The lower brackets (derivatives) are:

\[ \ell_1(f) = \partial_a f, \quad \ell_2(f, g) = -\theta \{f, g\}_\varepsilon \quad \ell_1(A) = \varepsilon^{ab} \partial_a A_b. \]

Corresponding EOM are

\[ \mathcal{F}_a := \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_b A_c + \theta \left( \varepsilon^{abc} \{A_b, A_c\} + 2A_b \partial_a A_b - A_a \partial_b A_b - A_b \partial_b A_a \right) \]

\[ + \theta^2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{abc} A_c \partial_b (A^2) - \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon^{abc} A^2 \partial_b A_c - 2\varepsilon^{abc} A_c A_i \partial_i A_b \right. \]

\[ - 2\varepsilon^{ijb} A_b A_j \partial_i A_b - \{A^2, A_a\} \bigg) + O(A^4) = 0. \]

In the limit \( \theta \to 0 \), reproduce undeformed CS eom and transform covariantly,

\[ \delta_f \mathcal{F} = \ell_2(f, \mathcal{F}) = \theta \{f, \mathcal{F}\}_\varepsilon. \]

We don’t have yet all order expression for \( \mathcal{F} \) (open problem).
Given undeformed gauge theory and anti-symmetric bi-vector field $\Theta^{ij}(x)$ describing the non-commutativity of the space, we have iterative procedure of the construction of NC gauge theory, which reproduce in the limit $\Theta \to 0$ the undeformed one.

Our construction is based on the principle that gauge symmetry should be realized by $L_\infty$ and works for any given $\Theta$.

NC-YM is constructed taking $\ell_1(A) = \Box A_a - \partial_a (\partial \cdot A)$.

Open questions:
- The relation with the previous approaches needs to be better understood.
- Physical consequences: interaction with the meter fields, quantization, etc.