

**Michaelmas 2012, NT III/IV, Solutions to Problem Sheet 5.**

- 1 Let  $R$  be a UFD, and let  $K$  be its quotient field. Suppose  $x \in K$  satisfies a monic equation

$$X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

with coefficients  $a_i$  in  $R$  and some  $n > 0$ . Then we have to show that  $x$  is already contained in  $R$ .

Recall that any  $x \in K$  can be written as  $\alpha/\beta$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are coprime in  $R$  (also  $\beta \neq 0$ ). Hence we have

$$(\alpha/\beta)^n + a_{n-1}(\alpha/\beta)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0,$$

and after multiplying by  $\beta^n$  we get

$$\alpha^n = -a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}\beta = \cdots - a_0\beta^n.$$

Now  $\beta$  divides any term on the right, hence must divide also the left hand side. But then it also must divide  $\alpha$ . (Use inductively the fact that if  $\beta$  divides  $\alpha\gamma$  and is coprime to  $\alpha$ , then it must divide  $\gamma$ .)

Hence  $\beta$  must be a unit, and we conclude that  $x$  is indeed already in  $R$ .

- 2 (i) We work in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

We know that  $R$  is Euclidean and hence a UFD.

Note that  $R^* = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ .

Put  $\alpha = a + bi$  and  $N = 2^3 \times 7^4 \times 37^5 \times 41$ . Then

$$a^2 + b^2 = 2^3 \times 7^4 \times 37^5 \times 41 = N, \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

may be written

$$\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N, \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]. \quad (1')$$

If  $\pi$  is an irreducible element of  $R$  (and hence a prime element of  $R$ , since  $R$  is a UFD) which divides  $\alpha$  then  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N$ .

So  $\pi$  divides one of the prime integer factors  $p$  ( $p = 2, 7, 37$  and  $41$ ) of  $N$ .

By results from the lectures, either  $p$  is itself irreducible or  $p = \pm\alpha_p\tilde{\alpha}_p = r^2 + s^2$ , where  $\alpha_p = r + is$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_p$  are irreducible (and  $r$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

By inspection (trying to solve  $p = r^2 + s^2$ ), we find:

$$2 = \alpha_2\tilde{\alpha}_2 = -i\alpha_2^2 \text{ where } \alpha_2 = 1 + i \text{ (so 2 ramifies).}$$

7 is prime (hence inert) in  $R$  (else  $7 = r^2 + s^2$  which can't be done.)

$$37 = \alpha_{37}\tilde{\alpha}_{37} \text{ where } \alpha_{37} = 6 + i, \text{ so 37 splits.}$$

$$41 = \alpha_{41}\tilde{\alpha}_{41} \text{ where } \alpha_{41} = 5 + 4i, \text{ so 41 splits.}$$

So  $\pi \sim \alpha_2, \alpha_{37}, \tilde{\alpha}_{37}, \alpha_{41}$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_{41}$ . And since these primes are non-associate  $\alpha$  may be written uniquely as a product of powers of these primes times a unit:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm 1 \\ \text{or} \\ \pm i \end{cases} \times \alpha_2^r \times 7^s \times \alpha_{37}^t \times \tilde{\alpha}_{37}^u \times \alpha_{41}^v \times \tilde{\alpha}_{41}^w.$$

where  $r, s, t, u, v, w$  are non-negative integers. To satisfy (1') the norm of the RHS must be  $N$  viz.

$$2^r \times 7^{2s} \times 37^{t+u} \times 41^{v+w} = 2^3 \times 7^4 \times 37^5 \times 41.$$

This holds iff  $r = 3, s = 2, t + u = 5$  (viz.  $t = 5 - u = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  or  $5$ ) and  $v + w = 1$  (viz.  $v = 1 - w = 0$  or  $1$ ).

Thus we have independent choices multiplying up to give

$$4 \text{ (for units)} \times 6 \text{ (for } (t, u)) \times 2 \text{ (for } (v, w)) = 48 \text{ choices}$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  satisfying (1') and hence 48 solutions  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying (1).

Now every “positive” solution,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , gives rise to 4,  $(\pm a, \pm b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . We easily see that neither  $a$  nor  $b$  can be 0 in (1). So all solutions arise in this way. So there are  $48/4 = 12$  solutions to (1) in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

- 2** (ii) Working as in (i) but with  $N = 3^3 \times 41 \times 43$  we find that 3 cannot be written  $r^2 + s^2$  so, like 7 in (i), 3 is inert in  $R$ . Proceeding as in (i) we find that, in this case, if  $\alpha\bar{\alpha} = N$  then the power to which 3 occurs in the factorization of  $\alpha$  has to be  $3/2$ , which is not possible. So, in this case there are no solutions.

- 2** (iii) Suppose that

$$a^2 + 25b^2 = 4 \times 29 \times 113^4 (= M, \text{ say}) \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (*)$$

Put  $\alpha = a + 5bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i] = R$ , say. So, as before,  $R$  is a UFD.

$$\text{We may then rewrite the equation of } (*) \text{ as } \alpha\bar{\alpha} = M. \quad (**)$$

We find  $2 = -i(1+i)^2$  ramifies and that  $29 = (2+5i)(2-5i)$  and  $113 = (7+8i)(7-8i)$  split. We take  $\alpha_2 = 1 + i$ ,  $\alpha_{29} = 2 + 5i$  and  $\alpha_{113} = 7 + 8i$ .

Factoring  $\alpha$  in terms of a unit and powers of these primes (and of their conjugates in the split cases), as in (i), we find that the solutions of (\*\*) are, without repetition:

$$\alpha = i^r \times \alpha_2^2 \times \alpha_{29}^t \times \bar{\alpha}_{29}^{(1-t)} \times \alpha_{113}^u \times \bar{\alpha}_{113}^{(4-u)} \quad (\dagger)$$

where  $r = 0, 1, 2$  or  $3$  and  $t = 0$  or  $1$  and  $u = 0, 1, 2, 3$  or  $4$ .

So there are  $4 \times 2 \times 5 = 40$  solutions to (\*\*) in  $R$ .

But which of these  $\alpha$  give a solution to (\*)?

They are those  $\alpha$  with imaginary part divisible by 5, that is, such that  $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{5R}$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, modulo  $5R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{113} &\equiv -2i(1+i), \\ \bar{\alpha}_{113} &\equiv 2(1+i) \text{ and} \\ \alpha_{29} &\equiv \bar{\alpha}_{29} \equiv 2. \end{aligned}$$

So with  $\alpha$  as given at ( $\dagger$ ):

$$\alpha \equiv i^{r+u}(-1)^u(1+i)^{2+4} \times 2^{1+4} \equiv (-1)^u i^{r+u+3}$$

(Note:  $(1+i)^2 = 2i$ ,  $2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ).

Thus  $\alpha$  is congruent to a rational integer mod  $5R$  iff the power of  $i$  here is even, that is, iff  $r \equiv u + 3 \pmod{2}$ .

But for any choice of  $t$  and  $u$  exactly half the possibilities for  $r$  satisfy this condition.

Thus half the  $\alpha$  of ( $\dagger$ ) give solutions to (\*), which therefore has 20 solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and 5 in  $(\mathbb{N})^2$  (cf. previous examples).

(Note that we have proved a bit more, namely that either the real part or the imaginary part of  $\alpha$  (but not both) is divisible by 5. This is equivalent to saying that in every solution of

$$a^2 + c^2 = M, \quad a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(and from ( $\dagger$ ) there are 40 of these) exactly one of  $a$  or  $c$  is divisible by 5. Maybe you can see a quick way of proving this fact (by reducing the equation mod 5). This would provide an alternative way of finishing the problem.)

- 2** (iv) We have 2 units, all three primes involved are split in  $\mathcal{O}_{-7}$ , norm of  $(a + b\sqrt{-7})/2$  is  $2 \cdot 23 \cdot 43$  (note that we should divide by 4 first and then use that  $\mathcal{O}_7$  is a UFD), hence we can find  $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 16$  solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , as well as 4 ones in  $\mathbb{N}$  (they come in packages of 4, as  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  is impossible), e.g.  $(a, b) = (53, 27)$ .

2 (v) Note that there should be a minus sign in the expression on the left hand side, giving  $a^2 - ab + b^2$ . There are 6 units  $\omega^j$  ( $j = 0, \dots, 5$ ) in  $R$ ; the three primes involved are 3 (ramified) as well as 7 and 61 (both split), all to exponent 1. For example, we find  $7 = N(2 + \sqrt{-3}) = N(3 + 2\omega) = N(1 - 2\omega)$  and  $61 = N(7 + 2\sqrt{-3}) = N(9 + 4\omega) = N(5 - 4\omega)$ . Overall, we get  $6 \times 1 \times 2 \times 2 = 24$  solutions, all of which are integer solutions. This time, they also come in packets of four, but for a different reason: with  $(a, b)$  also  $(b, a)$ ,  $(-a, -b)$  and  $(-b, -a)$  are solutions. Moreover, there is a further symmetry: with  $(a, b)$  also  $(a, a - b)$  gives a solution. With the help of those symmetries, we actually can group the solutions into two packets of 12, arising from  $(a, b) = (11, 40)$  and  $(16, 41)$ . Each packet of 12 contains precisely 4 solutions in natural numbers.

2 (vii) Suppose that  $a^2 - 2b^2 = 21$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $3 \mid b$  then  $3 \mid a$  and  $3^2 \mid a^2 - 2b^2 = 21$ . #

So  $3 \nmid b$  or  $a$ . And hence,  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

But  $a^2 \equiv 2b^2 \pmod{3}$ . So  $1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . #

Therefore  $a^2 - 2b^2 = 21$  has no solutions  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

2 (viii) We work in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ .

We know that  $R$  is Euclidean and hence a UFD.

We have already seen  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ .

Put  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-2}$  and  $N = 3^{14} \times 43^{10}$ . Then

$$a^2 + 2b^2 = 3^{14} \times 43^{10} = N, \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

may be written

$$\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N, \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]. \quad (1')$$

If  $\pi$  is a prime of  $R$  which divides  $\alpha$  then  $\pi$  divides  $\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N$ .

So  $\pi$  divides one of the prime integer factors (3 and 43) of  $N$

We proceed to factorise these in  $R$ . We see by inspection (i.e. we try to solve  $p = \pm\alpha_p\tilde{\alpha}_p = r^2 + 2s^2$ , where  $\alpha_p = r + s\sqrt{-2}$ ) that

$3 = \alpha_3\tilde{\alpha}_3$  where  $\alpha_3 = 1 + \sqrt{-2}$ , so 3 splits in  $R$

$43 = \alpha_{43}\tilde{\alpha}_{43}$  where  $\alpha_{43} = 5 + 3\sqrt{-2}$ , so 43 splits  $R$ .

So  $\pi \sim \alpha_3, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \alpha_{43}$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_{43}$ . And since these primes are non-associate  $\alpha$  may be written uniquely as a product of powers of these primes times a unit:

$$\alpha = \pm 1 \times \alpha_3^t \times \tilde{\alpha}_3^u \times \alpha_{43}^v \times \tilde{\alpha}_{43}^w.$$

where  $t, u, v, w$  are non-negative integers. To satisfy (1') the norm of the RHS must be  $N$  vis.

$$3^{t+u} \times 43^{v+w} = 3^{14} \times 43^{10}.$$

This holds iff  $t + u = 14$  (viz.  $t = 14 - u = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or 14) and  $v + w = 10$  (viz.  $v = 10 - w = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or 10).

Thus we have the following independent choices:

2 (for units)  $\times$  15 (for  $(t, u)$ )  $\times$  11 (for  $(v, w)$ ).

This gives 330 choices for the element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  satisfying (1') and hence 330 solutions  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying (1).

Now every "positive" solution,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , gives rise to 4,  $(\pm a, \pm b) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^*$ . This does not exhaust all the solutions in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  since there are also the solutions  $(\pm 3^7 43^5, 0)$ . So there are  $330 - 2 = 328$  solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^*$  and therefore  $328/4 = 82$  solutions to (1) in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

- 3 We work in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . We know (from the lectures) that  $R$  is Euclidean and hence a UFD. Moreover, we have already seen that the units of  $R$  are given by  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ .

One can re-interpret the equation

$$a^2 + 2b^2 = p^{11}q^{13} = N, \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

using norms, putting  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-2}$  and  $N = p^{11}q^{13}$ . Then

$$\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N, \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]. \quad (1')$$

Now we analyse the possible shape of primes dividing  $\alpha$ .

Any prime  $\pi$  of  $R$  which divides  $\alpha$  also divides  $\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N = p^{11}q^{13}$ , hence divides either one of the prime integer factors ( $p$  and  $q$ ) of  $N$ .

Since we work in a quadratic field, we can conclude that, up to sign,  $\pi$  has norm  $p$ ,  $p^2$ ,  $q$  or  $q^2$ . In fact, since we work in an imaginary quadratic field, only positive norms occur, so

$$N(\pi) \in \{p, p^2, q, q^2\}.$$

We will now restrict further by showing that  $p^2$  and  $q^2$  cannot occur. More precisely, we have the

**Claim:** Both  $p$  and  $q$  split in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ .

It suffices to show the claim for  $p$ , as  $q$  can be treated completely analogously.

The power of  $p$  dividing  $N$  is odd, hence there must be a prime  $\alpha_p$  of norm  $p$  dividing  $\alpha$  (here we use that there is at least one solution to (1')); so  $p$  cannot be inert. But  $p$  cannot be ramified either:  $p = \alpha_p\tilde{\alpha}_p$  with  $\alpha_p \sim \tilde{\alpha}_p$  would necessarily entail  $\alpha_p = -\tilde{\alpha}_p$  (since  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ , and  $\alpha_p = \tilde{\alpha}_p$  would imply  $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{Q}$ ), so if we write  $\alpha_p = c + d\sqrt{-2}$ , then we must have  $c = 0$  and so  $\alpha_p = d\sqrt{-2}$  with  $p = N(\alpha_p) = 2d^2$ . This contradicts our assumption that  $p$  is odd.

Conclusion: Any prime  $\pi$  of  $R$  dividing  $\alpha$  is associate to either one of  $\alpha_p, \tilde{\alpha}_p, \alpha_q$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_q$ .

Since these primes are non-associate,  $\alpha$  may be written uniquely as a product of powers of these primes times a unit:

$$\alpha = \pm 1 \times \alpha_p^t \times \tilde{\alpha}_p^u \times \alpha_q^v \times \tilde{\alpha}_q^w.$$

where  $t, u, v, w$  are non-negative integers. To satisfy (1') the norm of the RHS must be equal to  $N$ , which gives

$$p^{t+u} \times q^{v+w} = p^{11} \times q^{13}.$$

This holds iff  $t + u = 11$  (viz.  $t = 11 - u = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or  $11$ ) and  $v + w = 13$  (viz.  $v = 13 - w = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or  $13$ ).

Thus we have the following independent choices:

$$2 \text{ (for units)} \times 12 \text{ (for } (t, u)) \times 14 \text{ (for } (v, w)).$$

This gives 336 choices for the element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  satisfying (1') and hence 336 solutions  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying (1).

- 4 We work in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-11})/2]$ . From the lectures we know that  $R$  is a UFD, and we find again that  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ .

Put  $\alpha = X + Y\sqrt{-11}$  and  $N = 4p^{23}$ . Then

$$X^2 + 11Y^2 = 4p^{23} = N, \text{ with } X, Y \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

may be written

$$\alpha\tilde{\alpha} = N, \text{ with } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-11}]. \quad (1')$$

First consider the primes dividing 2: note that since there is no integer solution to  $c^2 + 11d^2 = 8$ , there is no element of norm 2 in  $R$ . So 2 is inert and prime in  $R$ .

Then analyse the decomposition of  $p$ : since  $p$  is not prime in  $R$ , we deduce  $p = \alpha_p \tilde{\alpha}_p$  for some  $\alpha_p = (c + d\sqrt{-11})/2 \in R$ .

We show that  $p$  does not ramify: if  $\alpha_p \sim \tilde{\alpha}_p$  then  $\alpha_p = -\tilde{\alpha}_p$  (since  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ ). This would imply  $c = 0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = d\sqrt{-11}/2$ , whence  $p = 11b^2/4$  and  $p$  must be 11. #

*Conclusion:* The prime integer  $p$  splits as  $p = \alpha_p \tilde{\alpha}_p$ .

Now if  $\pi$  is a prime of  $R$  which divides  $\alpha$  then  $\pi$  also divides  $\alpha \tilde{\alpha} = 2^2(\alpha_p \tilde{\alpha}_p)^{23}$ . Hence the possibilities for such  $\pi$  are  $\pi \sim 2$ ,  $\alpha_p$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}_p$ .

Since these primes are non-associate,  $\alpha$  may be written uniquely as a product of powers of these primes times a unit:

$$\alpha = \pm 1 \times 2^s \times \alpha_p^t \times \tilde{\alpha}_p^u,$$

where  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $u$  are non-negative integers. To satisfy (1') the norm of the RHS must be  $N$ , i.e.

$$2^{2s} \times p^{t+u} = 4p^{23}.$$

This holds iff  $s = 1$  and  $t + u = 23$ .

Note that, in (1),  $p \mid X \iff p \mid Y$ .

We are looking for solutions with  $p \nmid X$  and  $p \nmid Y \iff p (= \alpha_p \tilde{\alpha}_p) \nmid \alpha \iff$  either  $u = 0$  or  $v = 0$ .

Clearly, with this condition, we get just 4 elements  $\alpha$  of norm  $N$ .

Moreover, since for each of these we need  $s = 1$ , we find  $\alpha \in 2R \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-11}]$ .

So each  $\alpha$  yields a solution to our problem and there are therefore 4 such solutions.

## 5 The equation in question

$$X^2 + 11 = Y^3 \tag{1}$$

can be rewritten as

$$\alpha \tilde{\alpha} = y^3$$

with  $\alpha = X + \sqrt{-11}$ , and  $X \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We want to work in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-11}}{2}]$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-11}]$  since the former is a UFD (as we know from set work), and so we can apply our usual arguments.

For this, we first need to distinguish the primes dividing both  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  from the primes dividing precisely one of them.

The former are the primes  $\pi$  dividing a gcd of  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  (in a UFD, we can form a gcd of two numbers!), hence dividing also their sum  $\alpha + \tilde{\alpha} = 2X$ , of norm  $4X^2$ , and their difference  $\alpha - \tilde{\alpha} = 2\sqrt{-11}$ , of norm  $4 \cdot 11$ .

Now  $X$  cannot be divisible by 11 [otherwise 11 divides the LHS of (1) and hence also its RHS, but every exponent on the RHS is divisible by 3, while the LHS is not divisible by  $11^2$ ].

Hence the only possibility for a  $\pi$  dividing  $\gcd(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha})$  is  $\pi \mid 2$ .

We note that 2 is inert in  $R$  (as  $N(\frac{a+b\sqrt{-11}}{2}) = 2$  is impossible for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$ ), and so  $\pi \sim 2$  or  $\pi \sim 1$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  has the form

$$\alpha = u \times 2^{s_0} \pi_1^{s_1} \dots \pi_r^{s_r}$$

for some unit  $u$  and (mutually non-associate) irreducibles  $\pi_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) which are also non-associate to 2, and for  $\tilde{\alpha}$  we get the same powers but instead for the irreducibles  $\tilde{\pi}_i$ . Therefore

$$\alpha \tilde{\alpha} = u \tilde{u} \times 2^{2s_0} \pi_1^{s_1} \tilde{\pi}_1^{s_1} \dots \pi_r^{s_r} \tilde{\pi}_r^{s_r}$$

and we know that units in  $R$  must have the form  $u = \pm 1$ , so  $u\tilde{u} = 1$ . Furthermore, we can deduce that all exponents  $s_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, r$ ) are divisible by 3. This allows us to take a cube root  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$  in  $R$  by setting

$$\beta := 2^{s_0/3} \pi_1^{s_1/3} \dots \pi_r^{s_r/3}.$$

But  $\beta$  must also have the form  $\frac{m+n\sqrt{-11}}{2}$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$ . This yields the further constraint (we multiply both sides by 8 to get rid of denominators)

$$\begin{aligned} 8(X + \sqrt{-11}) = 8\alpha &= (2\beta)^3 = (m + n\sqrt{-11})^3 \\ &= m^3 - 33mn^2 + \sqrt{-11}(3m^2n - 11n^3). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of  $\sqrt{-11}$  gives the condition

$$n(3m^2 - 11n^2) = 8,$$

hence in particular  $n|8$ , which already cuts it down to only 8 possible cases,

$$n \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8\},$$

and this will produce only two solutions for the remaining factor: for  $n = -1$ , this leads to  $m = \pm 1$ , while  $n = 2$  allows a further solution  $m = \pm 4$ . All the other possibilities for  $n$  easily lead to a quadratic equation for  $m$  which has no integer solutions.

*Conclusion:* the only possible solutions are given for

$$\beta \in \left\{ \frac{1 - \sqrt{-11}}{2}, 2 + \sqrt{-11} \right\}$$

which leads, via  $\alpha = \beta^3$ , to the following solutions of (1):

$$(X, Y) = (\pm 4, 3) \quad \text{or} \quad (X, Y) = (\pm 58, 15).$$